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Montgomery Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO)<br>Adopting the Draft Fiscal Years 2016-2019 Transportation Improvement Program


#### Abstract

WHEREAS, the Montgomery Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) is the organization designated by the Governor of the State of Alabama as being responsible, together with the State of Alabama, for implementing the applicable provisions of amended of 23 USC 134, 135 (as amended by MAP-21 Sections 1201 and 1202, July 2012); 42 USC 2000d-1, 7401; 23 CFR 450 and 500; 40 CFR Parts 51 and 93; and

WHEREAS, the U.S. Department of Transportation requires that transportation projects in the urbanized areas be included in a Transportation Improvement Program and adopted by vote of the Montgomery Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO); and


WHEREAS, consistent with the declaration of these provisions, the Montgomery Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) Planning Staff, in cooperation with the Alabama Department of Transportation, has prepared a Draft FY 2016-2019
Transportation Improvement Program (TIP); now
THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Montgomery Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) that the same does hereby adopt the Draft FY 2016-2019 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).

ADOPTED THIS THE $\qquad$ DAY OF AUGUST, 2015.

Charles Jinright, MPO Chairman

ATTEST:
Robert E. Smith, MPO Secretary
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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose

The Fiscal Years (FY) 2016-2019 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is a prioritized list of funded transportation projects developed by the Montgomery Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO). The 12 -member MPO has elected representatives from the Town of Coosada, the Town of Deatsville, the Town of Elmore, the City of Millbrook, the City of Montgomery, the Town of Pike Road, the City of Prattville, the City of Wetumpka, as well as County Commission representatives for Autauga, Elmore, and Montgomery Counties. The Alabama Department of Transportation Southeast Regional Engineer and the City of Montgomery Director of Planning also serve on the MPO as voting members and are not elected to an office. The MPO non-voting members also contribute to the planning process. The projects in the TIP are taken from the Montgomery Study Area 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) with the exception of safety, resurfacing, and few other special types of projects. In most cases, the 2040 LRTP projects must be done in phases through the TIP. The Moving Ahead for Progress in the $21{ }^{\text {st }}$ Century (MAP-21) legislation requires TIPs to be fiscally constrained. This means that the MPO cannot put in more projects in the TIP than it can fund.

### 1.2 MPO History

The Montgomery MPO was formed in 1973 after the 1970 Census. The 2000 Census put the Montgomery Area MPO under 200,000 urbanized area population at 196,892 and thus the MPO received ALDOT discretionary Surface Transportation Program Other Area funds in lieu of dedicated Transportation Management Area (TMA) funds. But as of 2010 Census, the Montgomery Urbanized Area was over 200,000 at 236,907, meaning it is designated as a TMA and has dedicated funding for TIP projects.

The City of Montgomery also receives Federal Transit Administration (FTA) formula and capital funds (Sections 5307 and 5309 respectively). Also, Autauga County also receives FTA Section 5311 funds for rural transportation in the area. The highway or transit project sponsor is responsible for providing the local match if local match is required.

The other projects shown in this TIP are State Discretionary Projects. They are as follows: Intelligent Transportation System (ITS), Bridge (BR), Interstate Maintenance (IM), National Highway System (NHS), State Surface Transportation Program (STP), Hazard Elimination and Safety (HES), STP Transportation Enhancement (TE), Other (OTH), and STP-Railroad (RR). The state also allocates FTA Section 5310 (vehicles for non-profits) and FTA Section 5311 (for rural public transportation).

### 1.3 MAP-21 Regulations for the TIP

The FY2016-2019 TIP was developed in accordance with Moving Ahead for Progress in the $21^{s t}$ Century (MAP-21), signed into law in July 2012. MAP-21 re-establishes the metropolitan planning process as a cooperative, continuous, and comprehensive (3-C) framework for making transportation investment decisions in metropolitan areas.

Each metropolitan planning organization, as a condition of the receipt of federal highway and transit capital or operating assistance, is required to have a transportation improvement program (TIP).
(a) The MPO, in cooperation with the State(s) and any affected public transportation operator(s), shall develop a TIP for the metropolitan planning area. The TIP shall cover a period of no less than four years, be updated at least every four years, and be approved by the MPO and the Governor. However, if the TIP covers more than four years, the FHWA and the FTA will consider the projects in the additional years as informational. The TIP may be updated more frequently, but the cycle for updating the TIP must be compatible with the STIP development and approval process. The TIP expires when the FHWA/FTA approval of the STIP expires. Copies of any updated or revised TIPs must be provided to the FHWA and the FTA. In nonattainment and maintenance areas subject to transportation conformity requirements, the FHWA and the FTA, as well as the MPO, must make a conformity determination on any updated or amended TIP, in accordance with the Clean Air Act requirements and the EPA's transportation conformity regulations (40 CFR part 93).

Regulatory authority for development of a Transportation Improvement Program may be found in 23 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 450.324(a).

### 1.3.1 Consistency with Other Plans

There are general and specific directions in MAP-21 (Section 1201) carried forward from SAFETEA-LU for the consistency requirement. In revising 23 USC 134, Section 1201(a) $\S 134(\mathrm{~g})(3)$ states "The secretary shall encourage each metropolitan planning organization to consult with officials responsible for other types of planning activities, such as, economic development, environmental protection, airport operations, and freight movements to coordinate its planning process with such planning activities. Under the metropolitan planning process, transportation plans and TIPs shall be developed with due consideration of other related planning activities" TIP specificity is found in 1201(a) § 134(j)(3)(C): "Each project shall be consistent with the long-range transportation plan...." The latter is an implied instruction to include all plans in the TIP development process and is carried forward in FHWA interpretation of the revised 23 USC 134, and is to be found in 23 CFR 450.324.

The MPO addresses this requirement by including planning and economic development personnel from the state and local level on the Technical Coordinating Committee (TCC). In addition, the MPO consults with agencies and officials responsible for other planning activities within the Study Area that are affected by transportation when developing the Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) and Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). This includes Federal, State and Local agencies responsible for:

- Economic growth and development
- Environmental protection
- Airport operations
- Freight movement
- Land use management
- Natural resources
- Conservation
- Historic preservation
- Human service transportation providers

A contact list of these officials and agencies has been developed and is maintained. These agencies are invited to attend all MPO, TCC and CAC and meetings, so as to be involved in the transportation planning process continuously. In addition, a request to these agencies is made to compare the draft LRTP and TIP with their plans, maps, and inventories. Incorporating these key individuals in the transportation planning process allows for broad acknowledgement of transportation planning and land use development activities at the local and regional level which can afford opportunities for cooperation and coordination. The spirit and intent of MAP-21, Section 1201, as continued from SAFETEA-LU, are clear. In accordance with Public Law 112-141 policy provisions and subsequent agency interpretation, the TIP should acknowledge consistency with other plans that include transportation and land use components: Regional, Long Range, municipal and county Comprehensive and Master Plans (Airport, Seaport, Multimodal, Transit, Utility, and independent bridge authorities), Congestion Management Plans, Air Quality Conformity Determination, Freight, Bicycle/Pedestrian, Public Participation Process, and Environmental Plans.

### 1.4 Title VI in Preparation of the TIP

The Montgomery Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) is committed to ensuring public participation in the development of all transportation plans and programs. It is the overall goal of the MPO that the transportation planning process be open, accessible, transparent, inclusive, and responsive. As a continuing effort by the MPO to provide public access and the means by which to engage in the planning process, the

MPO has established the following public participation goals for all documents and programs:

- An Open Process - To have an open process that encourages early and continued public participation. All MPO and committee meetings are open to the public.
- Easy Information Access - To provide complete and timely information regarding plans, programs, procedures, policies, and technical data produced or used during the planning process to the general public and the media.
- Notice of Activities - To provide timely and adequate public notice of hearings, meetings, reviews, and availability of documents.
- Public Input and Organizational Response - To demonstrate consideration and recognition of public input and comments and to provide appropriate responses to public input.
- An Inclusive Process - To encourage participation in the planning process by traditionally under-represented segments of the community; low-income groups, minorities, persons with disabilities, and the elderly.

Additionally, the Montgomery Area MPO will be compliant with and follow all Title VI laws, processes, and programs, with the exception of the Rehabiliation Act of 1973 and Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA), to include the following:

- Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 USC 2000d, et seq., which prohibits exclusion from participation in any federal program on the basis of race, color, or national origin.
- 23 USC 324 which prohibits discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation, adding to the landmark significance of 2000d. This requirement is found in 23 CFR 450.334(1).
- Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29 USC 701 Section 504, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of a disability, and in terms of access to the transportation planning process.
- Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 , which prohibits discrimination based solely on disability. ADA encourages the participation of people with disabilities in the development of transportation and paratransit plans and services. In accordance with ADA guidelines, all meetings conducted by the MPO will take place in locations which are accessible by persons with mobility limitations or other impairments.
- Executive Order 12898 or referred to as Environmental Justice, which requires that federal programs, policies and activities affecting human health or the environment will identify and avoid disproportionately high and adverse effects on minority or low-income populations. The intent was to ensure that
no racial, ethnic, or socioeconomic group bears a disproportionate share of negative environmental consequences resulting from government programs and policies.
- Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Plan which is required by Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Executive Order 13166, and FTA Circular C 4702.1B, October 2012. The Montgomery Area MPO has completed a Four Factor Analysis of the Montgomery Area Metropolitan Planning Area (MPA) to determine requirements for compliance with the Limited English Proficiency (LEP) provisions. Based on the analysis, the MPO has identified a population within the MPA that may require MPO assistance in participating in the planning process. A Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Plan has been developed and can be accessed on the MPO website.

In order to further support the public participation goals of the Montgomery Area MPO, the public is encouraged to participate in the development of the TIP. The 2016 TIP process will include two public involvement meetings designed to obtain input from the public concerning the TIP process in the Montgomery Area Metropolitan Planning Area (MPA). In addition, once the draft TIP is approved, it will be subject to a 30-day public comment period before adoption of the final document. A summary of the public outreach activities and results are included in the Appendices. All Montgomery Area MPO meetings are open to the public. At these meetings, the MPO committees review and approve the draft and final TIP documents. Interested individuals may also review and comment upon these documents in tandem with the MPO committees. Individuals may address their concerns to the MPO committees directly at any meetings they attend. The Transportation Planner at the Montgomery Area MPO should be contacted to coordinate an address to the MPO committees and to obtain draft and final documents.

Detailed public participation procedures are outlined in the 2013 Public Participation Plan (PPP), adopted February 24, 2014 which can be found on the MPO website at http://montgomerympo.org/.

The MPO is cooperating with ALDOT and FHWA in becoming compliant with the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 by July of 2016. Please see the Memorandum of Understanding in Appendix 3.6 (Self-Certification) and the compliance requirement notification letter circulated to all MPOs July 20th 2015.

### 1.5 Scope of the Planning Process

In developing the TIP, the MPO is required to consider the SAFETEA-LU (Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users)

Planning Factors, retained in MAP-21 (Moving Ahead for Progress in the $21^{\text {st }}$ Century) as the Scope of the Planning Process. The MPOs must consider projects and strategies that will:
(1) Support the economic vitality of the metropolitan area, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productivity, and efficiency;
(2) Increase the safety of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users;
(3) Increase the security of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users;
(4) Increase the accessibility and mobility options available to people and for freight;
(5) Protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation, improve the quality of life, and promote consistency between transportation improvements and state and local planned growth and economic development patterns;
(6) Enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight;
(7) Promote efficient system management and operation; and
(8) Emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system.

The TIP was prepared based on the eight factors of the Scope of the Planning Process. The Scope factors are addressed by each TIP task and are listed on each at the end of each task's pages in this document.

### 1.6 Livability Principles and Indicators

Increasingly, federal and state agencies are using Performance Measures as a way of ensuring greater accountability for the expenditure of public funds in an ever growing number of programs and activities across a variety of disciplines. Within the transportation sector and the planning processes associated with transportation infrastructure development, ALDOT has adopted the Livability Principles and Indicators as a sustainability measurement against future actions.
All planning tasks must be measured against these Livability Principles:

1) Provide more transportation choices
2) Promote equitable, affordable housing
3) Enhance economic competitiveness
4) Support existing communities
5) Coordinate policies and leverage investment
6) Value Communities and neighborhoods

As a measure of sustainability of these principles, the MPO will provide the following Livability Indicators:

1) Percent of jobs and housing located within one-half (1/2) mile of transit service
2) Percent of household income spent on housing and transportation
3) Percent of workforce living within a thirty (30) minute or less commute from primary job centers
4) Percent of transportation investment dedicated to enhancing accessibility of existing transportation systems
5) Percent of transportation projects where more than one federal funding source is utilized
6) Percent of housing located in walkable neighborhoods with mixed use destinations located nearby

Livability Indicator data can be found in Section 3. In the Appendices.

### 1.7 Bicycle and Pedestrian Consideration

Federal laws require MPOs and states to consider bicycle and pedestrian needs in all comprehensive transportation plans. The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) guidelines related to this law state that bicyclist and pedestrians will be accommodated in the design of new and improved transportation facilities. In addition, the decision not to consider the needs of bicyclist and pedestrians should be the exception and not the rule.

ALDOT received a written directive from FHWA - Alabama Division, June 12, 2009, that the MPO's must "include a policy statement that bicycling and walking facilities will be incorporated into all transportation projects unless exceptional circumstances exist." This guidance was reinforced by a USDOT email broadcast March 17, 2010, in which recommendations were forwarded to state DOTs with regard to bicycle and pedestrian policy. These two directives effectively modified 23 USC 217 in implementing improvements using federal funds to state routes under ALDOT jurisdiction.

This is now ALDOT bicycle and pedestrian policy and it carries over to the short-range TIP subset and new bicycle and pedestrian plans and updates. The MPO will comply with these provisions.

### 1.8 TIP Process

The Montgomery MPO Transportation Planning Staff used estimated funding levels from the ALDOT's urban area funding availability report for the Surface Transportation

Attributable program for the updated FY 2016-2019 TIP. Also, projects that were in the ALDOT's Comprehensive Project Management System (CPMS) and new Transportation Economic and Land Use System (TELUS) were consulted for projects that are planned and have Federal and State project numbers for FY 2016-2019. The TELUS is an internet based program that interfaces with the ALDOT CPMS and automatically updates when ALDOT's CPMS is modified. MPO Staff has access to TELUS. The Urban Area Funding Availability Report is derived from the CPMS and is for MPO jurisdiction projects only.

Fiscal constraint for projects proposed and administered by ALDOT is demonstrated in the FY 2016-2019 TIP/STIP Financial Plan.

### 1.9 TIP Amendment Process

The FY 2016-2019 TIP document can and will be amended periodically, to adjust funding, time-frames or other factors relevant to projects. New projects may be added if appropriate and if funding is available.

The amendment process is a formal process, whereby the MPO, TCC and CAC will publically meet in order to take formal action to approve or deny a proposed amendment, such as a cost increase, decrease or add a new project.

An Amendment is a major STIP/TIP revision that:

- Affects air quality conformity, regardless of the cost of the project or the funding source.
- Adds a new project, or deletes a project, that utilizes federal funds from a statewide line item, exceeds the thresholds listed below, and excludes those federally-funded statewide program projects.
- Adds a new project phase(s), or increases a current project phase, or deletes a project phase(s), or decreases a current project phase that utilizes federal funds, where the revision exceeds the following thresholds:
- $\$ 5$ million or 10 percent, whichever is greater, for ALDOT federallyfunded projects and Transportation Management Area (TMA) attributable projects.
- The lesser amount of $\$ 1$ million or 50 percent, of project cost for nonTMA MPOs.
- $\$ 750,000$ for the county highway and bridge program.
- Involves a change in the Scope of Work to a project(s) that would:
- Result in an air quality conformity reevaluation.
- Result in a revised total project estimate that exceeds the thresholds established between ALDOT and the Planning Partner (not to exceed any federally-funded threshold contained in this MOU).
- Results in a change in the Scope of Work on any federally-funded project that is significant enough to essentially constitute a New Project.
- Level of Effort (LVOE) planned budget changes, exceeding 20\% of the original budgeted amount per ALDOT region.

The initial submission and approval process of the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), will establish federal funding for Level of Effort (LVOE) project groups. Subsequent placement of individual projects in the STIP that are LVOE will be considered Administrative Modifications.

Approval by the MPO (or cooperative effort with an RPO) is required for Amendments. The MPO/RPO must then request ALDOT Central Office approval, using the electronic Financial Constraint Chart (FCC) process. An FCC must be provided (in Excel format), which summarizes previous actions, the requested adjustments, and after the changes, an updated TIP. ALDOT's Central Office will review, approve, and forward to the appropriate federal agency for review and approval, with copies to other partner federal agencies.

All revisions shall be identified and grouped as one action on an FCC, demonstrating both project and program fiscal constraint. The identified grouping of projects (the entire amendment action) will require approval by the cooperating parties. In the case that a project phase is pushed out of the TIP four - year cyc le, the Planning Partner will demonstrate, through a Fiscal Constraint Chart, fiscal balance of the subject project phase, in the second period of the respective Long Range Transportation Plan.

An Administrative Modification is a minor STIP/TIP revision that:

- Adds a project from a level of effort category or line item, utilizing 100 percent state or non-federal funding, or an MPO TIP placement of the federally-funded, Statewide Program, or federal funds from a statewide line item that do not exceed the thresholds established by the Planning Partner.
- Adds a project for emergency repairs to roadways or bridges, except those involving substantive or functional adjustments, or location and capacity changes.
- Draws down, or returns funding, from an existing STIP/TIP Reserve Line Item, and does not exceed the threshold established between ALDOT and the Planning Partners.
- Adds federal or state capital funds from low-bid savings, de-obligations, release of encumbrances, from savings on programmed phases, and any other project-cost modification sent to and approved by FHWA or FTA, to another programmed project phase or line item.

Administrative Modifications do not affect air quality conformity, nor involve a significant change in a project scope of work that would trigger an air quality conformity reevaluation; do not exceed the threshold established in the MOU between ALDOT and the Planning Partners, or the threshold established by this MOU (as detailed in the Revisions: Amendments and Administrative Modifications section); and do not result in a change in scope on any federally-funded project that is significant enough to essentially constitute a new project.

Administrative Modifications do not require federal approval. ALDOT and the Planning Partner will work cooperatively to address and respond to any FHWA or FTA comments. FHWA and FTA reserve the right to question any administrative action that is not consistent with federal regulations or with this MOU, where federal funds are being utilized.

### 1.10 Public Participation Process

Public participation is essential to the development of the TIP. The public is invited to participate in all advertised meetings and hearings. The MPO conducts all meetings in accordance with the provisions of the Alabama Open Meetings Act, passed into law on October 1, 2005. The following public participation efforts are made as a part of the TIP development process:
Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) -The CAC comprises citizens from each of the MPO's member organizations. CAC members are charged with the responsibility of formal citizen review of transportation planning documents and the local transportation planning process as a whole. CAC members review the TIP (in draft and final form) and offer comments and suggestions to the Technical Advisory Committee and the MPO Policy Board. Approval of Draft and Final versions are voted on and recommendations forwarded to the Policy Board.

Public Comment Period - After the TIP is approved in draft form, the public is invited to offer comments. To announce the TIP public comment period several measures are taken: (1) a display ad is placed in the local newspaper with the largest circulation, (2) flyers are placed at Montgomery MPO and (3) the MPO webpage will have information
on the comment period under the MPO News section. Copies of the draft TIP and comment forms are placed at public review sites and the MPO offices; digital copies of the draft TIP and comment form are placed on the MPO webpage.

### 1.10.1 Environmental Justice

The Montgomery MPO makes a point to seek out and consider the needs of those traditionally underserved by existing transportation systems, such as low-income and minority households, who may face challenges accessing employment and other services. This is of primary concern when considering adverse community impacts at the project level. All projects are reviewed by the Montgomery MPO for possible community impacts prior to inclusion in the TIP. The Montgomery MPO places transportation meeting flyers in areas where low-income and minority households are known to exist in an effort to inform those persons of upcoming transportation meetings and inform them of the opportunity to be involved in the transportation planning process. All such meetings are subject to the provisions of the Alabama Open Meetings Act.

### 1.10.2 Americans with Disabilities Act

The Montgomery MPO endeavors to comply with all applicable provisions of 42 USC 126 and 28 CFR 35 (et seq). Access to meetings by persons with disabilities is encouraged through selection of venues with wheelchair ramps and handrailings, distribution of timely meeting notices, and support of ADA amenities on all roadway and pedestrian improvements. The Montgomery MPO further encourages an active role in TIP development and all transportation planning by the physically impaired through membership in the Citizen Advisory Committee (CAC).

### 1.11 Environmental Mitigation

Under MAP-21, MPOs are expected to take into account potential environmental impacts associated with the long-range transportation plan and try to mitigate those impacts. Closely related to this concept is the requirement that MPOs consult with other agencies to eliminate or minimize conflicts caused by transportation projects. In response to the rules the MPO Staff consulted with different agencies and had them review the Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) in order to see if it conflicted or was consistent with agency plans or laws regarding proposed transportation projects. Some of the agencies consulted for review and comment of the LRTP were the U.S. Fish and Wild Life Service, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, the Alabama Department of Environmental Management and the Alabama Historical Commission. Projects in the TIP are consistent
with the LRTP with the exception maintenance projects, which generally don't have impacts, but just only temporary disruptions.

### 1.11.1 Climate Change Consideration

"According to the FHWA report Integrating Climate Change into the Transportation Planning Process, there is general scientific consensus that the earth is experiencing a long-term warming trend and that human-induced increases in atmospheric greenhouse gases (GHGs) may be the predominant cause. The combustion of fossil fuels is by far the biggest source of GHS emissions. In the United States, transportation is the largest source of GHG emissions, after electricity generation. Within the transportation sector, cars and trucks account for a majority of emissions. Opportunities to reduce GHG emissions from transportation include switching to alternative fuels, using more fuel efficient vehicles, and reducing the total number of miles driven. Each of these options requires a mixture of public and private sector involvement. Transportation planning activities, which influence how transportation systems are built and operated, can contribute to these strategies. In addition to contributing to climate change, transportation will likely also be affected by climate change. Transportation infrastructure is vulnerable to predicted changes in sea level and increases in severe weather and extreme high temperatures. Longterm transportation planning will need to respond to these threats" (Introduction to Integrating Climate Change into the Transportation Planning Process, Federal Highway Administration, Final Report, July 2008).

In order to address transportation induced climate change, the Montgomery MPO contains a section in the 2040 LRTP that discusses and provides a plan of action transportation projects that are considered friendly to the environment, such as mass transportation (bus, freight and passenger rail) and other smart growth transportation oriented planning projects. As more is learned on the subject the TIP and long-range transportation plan will be modified accordingly.

### 1.12 Air Quality

The Clean Air Act (CAA) was originally adopted in 1963 and most recently amended in 1990. The purpose of the CAA is to improve air quality and to protect human health and the environment. The CAA requires the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to set National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for six pollutants, including groundlevel ozone. The two pollutants monitored statewide in Alabama on a regular basis are ozone $\left(\mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ and Particulate Matter $\left(\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}\right)$.

In 2014, EPA lowered the NAAQS for ozone from . 084 to .075 parts per million. To date the standards have not impacted the Montgomery area. EPA will announce a

In 2014, EPA lowered the NAAQS for ozone from . 084 to .075 parts per million. To date the standards have not impacted the Montgomery area. EPA will announce a proposal for new standards for ozone in the Fall of 2015. Designations of nonattainment will be issued in 2017, with mitigation measures the following year. Nonattainment status will place additional requirements on the MPO. Chief among these will be the air quality conformity determination of the long-range transportation plan, the TIP, and transportation projects. Conformity is achieved when new NAAQS violations are not created, the frequency or severity of NAAQS violations are not increased, and the attainment of the NAAQS is not delayed. These conditions could prevent the inclusion of some capacity projects in the TIP, unless justified that they won't impact the environment or air quality. Once designations are announced, the MPO will have one year to demonstrate conformity for the LRTP.

The process will require the TIP to be amended to include a conformity determination report and possibly the addition or removal of projects. If the conformity process is not complete by the deadline following designation, new federally funded transportation projects will be limited to exempt projects; e.g., safety and mass transit.

### 1.13 Level of Effort

Projects in the STIP/TIP, referred to as Level of Effort (LVOE) projects, represent grouped projects not considered of appropriate scale to be identified individually. Projects may be grouped by function, work type, and/or geographical area, using the applicable classifications under 23 CFR 771.117 (c) and (d), and/or 40 CFR part 93. In nonattainment and maintenance areas, project classifications must be consistent with the exempt project classifications contained in the EPA transportation conformity regulations (40 CFR part 93).

LVOE projects are placed in the STIP/TIP according to selected funding programs, with the planned funding amounts for each year. ALDOT, and the affected MPOs, will be required to make a formal amendment to the STIP/TIPs for any adjustment of funding of an LVOE group that exceeds 20 percent of it originally-planned funding to a particular Region. The selected statewide funding programs include:

- Transportation Alternative Program (TAP)
- Safety Projects [Hazard elimination, roadway and rail, high-speed passenger rail, seatbelt, blood alcohol content, and others.]
- Recreational Trails [Funds are transferred to ADECA.]
- Federal-Aid Resurfacing Program for each ALDOT Region
- County Allocation Funds [Off-system bridges and STP non-urban.]
- Federal Transit Programs: 5307 (urbanized), 5311 (non-urban), 5310 (Elderly and Disabilities), and 5339 (Buses and Bus Facilities)

Addition or deletion of individual LVOE projects are considered an administrative modification, and do not require any further MPO action prior to authorization, subject to the dollar thresholds established in the sections above. ALDOT will maintain a matrix listing, on the STIP website, of LVOE projects for each of the five ALDOT Regions. The MPOs will be notified as soon as any specific projects within their urban areas, are identified and selected, and will have ten (10) days to decline the project. Additionally, the MPOs will be notified as soon as any specific projects are modified or deleted within their urban areas, and will have ten (10) days to decline the project deletion or change.

Level of Effort (LVOE) holds funds that are not dedicated to specific projects, and may be used to cover cost increases, or add new projects or project phases. LVOE shall not exceed the thresholds, or the requirements, of any other items that require an amendment. LVOE may include the Statewide Transportation Alternative Program (TAP), Safety Projects, Federal-Aid Resurfacing, Off-System Bridge, STP Non-urban, and FTA Programs 5307, 5310, 5311, and 5339 (see listing above).

Level of Effort resurfacing shall be programmed annually for the five (5) ALDOT Regions, and shown as line items in each category for each Region. Projects or project lists will be added as soon as available, and MPOs will be notified of all changes that occur in the list.

### 1.14 Financial Constraint

The TIP is financially constrained, which means that project costs are balanced against expected revenue. Using their project management system, ALDOT determines the projects that can be undertaken during the TIP time frame with expected federal and state funds. The only exception to this method involves projects funded with the Surface Transportation Attributable program and matched with local government funds. ALDOT calculates the funding levels for this program based on the urban area population of each MPO. The MPO Transportation Planning Staff balances these projects based on projects proposed and selected for inclusion into the TIP with the calculated revenue. The appendix contains the financial plan for the TIP and financial constraint table for local government projects.

### 1.15 Project Selection and Prioritization

Through the Continuing, Cooperative, and Comprehensive (3-C) Planning Process, the Montgomery MPO's Public Involvement Plan (PIP), Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP), and Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP) together comprise and define the
project selection and prioritization process utilized by the MPO.

The PIP and LRTP processes culminate in the development of the TIP where local governments coordinate with the public and transportation stakeholders to set the priority of the LRTP's program of projects based on funding availability through the Surface Transportation Attributable program and agreement on project priority by MPO member governments. TIP project priority is a dynamic, organic, and temporal process which considers specific local factors such as traffic volume, traffic patterns, safety, demographics, development patterns, and land use in identifying project need. With input and advice from the MPO's Citizen Advisory Committee (CAC) and Technical Coordinating Committee (TCC), the MPO Policy Board (MPO), projects are selected and ordered based upon need.

Once the TIP project list is established, the TIP is approved in draft form by the MPO, ALDOT and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). Next, the TIP goes through the formal public review and comment process. Comments received are then documented, evaluated and acted upon before the TIP is approved in final form by the MPO, ALDOT, and FHWA.

### 1.16 Safety Planning

Safety Planning has been comprehensively addressed in Section 8 of the 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan, in conjunction with Congestion Management Plan. The Draft FY 2016 Unified Planning Work Program indicates that the MPO staff, working with the Technical Coordinating Committee of the local MPO, will continue to identify facilities, establish efficiency measures and performance standards, collect and maintain relevant data, evaluate facility performance, and establish strategies for the improvement of intermodal facilities in the Montgomery Urbanized Area.

The Technical Coordinating Committee (TCC) of the local Metropolitan Planning Organization has identified several projects that have been incorporated in this TIP for improvements. These projects are programmed in Table 2.4.11. The improvements have been prioritized based upon need and the availability of federal funds within the next four years. These projects are subject to change, based upon the latest data findings of the TCC, as well as any changes to the federal funding structure during the next four years.

### 1.17 Regionally Significant Projects

The TIP is required to include all regionally significant projects that are funded with federal and/or nonfederal funds. All regionally significant projects that will be fully or partially funded with FHWA, FTA, and state funds are included in the project listings in Chapter 2. The Montgomery MPO does not have knowledge of other regionally
significant projects that are proposed in the next four years that would be funded with funds other than FHWA, FTA, or state funds.

Regionally Significant project is defined in 23 CFR 450.104 as "a transportation project (other than projects that may be grouped in the TIP and/or STIP or exempt projects as defined in EPA's transportation conformity regulation (40 CFR part 93)) that is on a facility which serves regional transportation needs (such as access to and from the area outside the region; major activity centers in the region; major planned developments such as new retail malls, sports complexes, or employment center or transportation terminals) and would normally be included in the modeling of the metropolitan area's transportation network. At a minimum, this includes all principal arterial highways and all fixed guideway transit facilities that offer a significant alternative to regional highway travel."

### 2.0 TELUS

2.1 Web TELUS

ALDOT utilizes the Transportation Economic Land Use System (TELUS) as a system for information exchange between the Department and the Alabama MPOs. TELUS is a fully functional, integrated, computerized information management and decision support system, designed specifically for the needs of metropolitan planning organizations and state departments of transportation. The main purpose of TELUS is to provide userfriendly, comprehensive, and efficient tools for managing Transportation Improvement Programs (TIPs), while meeting the planning and programming requirements of SAFETEA-LU. ALDOT employs Web TELUS, a web-based version of its desktop and network-based platforms. Using Web TELUS, MPOs can interface with ALDOT's main database to access project information. Reports from TELUS detail project information, such as ALDOT ID numbers, project descriptions, project types, and estimated costs, among other information. (For more information, go www.telus-national.org.)

### 2.2 TELUS Project Descriptions

2.4.1 Surface Transportation Attributable Projects - Surface Transportation is a Federal-aid highway funding program that funds a broad range of surface transportation capital needs, including many roads, transit, seaport and airport access, vanpool, bicycle, and pedestrian facilities. This funding was originally established under TEA-21 and reinforced in SAFETEA-LU. An example would be: projects using funds coded STPMN in TELUS indicates Surface Transportation Urban Area funding allocated for Montgomery, $A L$.
2.4.2 Other Surface Transportation Program Projects - Surface Transportation funding has been discussed earlier. In addition, there are at least 37 different codes for fund sourcing under the category of Other Surface Transportation funding. These types of funds may be used for capacity, bridge work, intersection, and other operational improvements. In TELUS, for example, coding of STPAA indicates Surface Transportation Program Any Area.
2.4.3 National Highway Systems/Interstate Maintenance/NHS Bridge Projects - The National Highway System (NHS) includes the Interstate Highway System as well as other roads important to the nation's economy, defense, and mobility. The NHS was developed by the Department of Transportation (DOT) in cooperation with the states, local officials, and metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs). This category now includes Interstate Maintenance activities as well as NHS Bridge activities.
2.4.4 Appalachian Highway System Projects - TEA-21 provided funding under Section 1117 for funding of highway corridor projects in 13 states to promote economic
development. This program was continues under SAFETEA-LU, but not MAP-21. This category will remain in place until all program funds are expended and project completed.
2.4.5 Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) -This program was authorized under MAP-21 (Section 1122) and replaces most of the project activities under SAFETEA-LU Transportation Enhancement guidelines and provides some flexibility in shifting fund to and from other programs, a feature not available under the former program.

23 USC 213(b) should be reviewed carefully for eligible and ineligible applications under the TAP provision, and with particular attention to eligible project sponsors.

Eligible activities under TAP (truncated) [23 USC 213(b)]:

- Construction, planning, and design of on-road and off-road activities for pedestrians, bicyclists, and other non-motorized forms of transportation.
- Construction, planning, and design of infrastructure-related projects.(Safe Routes and ADA projects are included here)
- Conversion and use of abandoned railroad corridors.
- Construction of turnouts, overlooks, and viewing areas.
- Community Improvement activities, such as:
- Control of outdoor advertising.
- Preservation and rehabilitation of historic transportation facilities.
- Vegetation management in rights-of-way.
- Archaeological activities relating to project impacts mitigation
- Environmental mitigation activity, including pollution prevention and abatement, and mitigation to:
- Address stormwater management and control, and water pollution prevention and abatement related to highway runoff.
- Reducing wildlife mortality and maintain connectivity among habitats.
- Recreational trails program (23 USC 206).
- Safe Routes to School program projects under 1404(f) of SAFETEA-LU.
- Infrastructure-related.
- Non-infrastructure-related.
- Safe Routes to School Coordinator.
- Planning, Design, or construction of boulevards and other roadways in the ROW of former Interstate System routes or other divided highways.
2.4.6 Bridge Proejcts (State and Federal) - This includes new facility construction, existing bridge repair, and/or replacement. Projects selected by ALDOT are based on
regional needs, maintenance, and inspection criteria (sufficiency ratings), and available funding. If sufficiency ratings fall below a certain point, the bridge is automatically scheduled for repair or replacement.
2.4.7 State Funded Projects - These are typically smaller projects or phases of larger projects for which there is no Federal funding available, a county or municipality is participating with the state to proceed on a project rather than wait on Federal assistance (funds either not available or cannot be used on a certain project type), or in which the state simply chooses to do certain projects or project types with state funds. Existing project examples would include a resurfacing, patching, and striping project within municipal city limits, a training program on non-reimbursable state grant, DBE training extended beyond Federal funding limits, or industrial access. There are a variety of scenarios in which this type of project would be done.
2.4.8 Enhancement Projects - This category is eliminated in MAP-21, with many of the activities covered under Enhancement now being covered under the Transportation Alternatives (TAP) program (see 2.4.5). The 2.4.8 remains in place, however, because there is still funding available under this program and the category will be taken down once funding is exhausted. Enhancement activities no longer covered under TAP include:
- Safety and educational activities for pedestrians and bicyclists.
- Acquisition of scenic easements or historic sites.
- Landscaping and scenic beautification.
- Historic preservation and rehabilitation, including railroad and canal facilities. (Some exceptions - see section 101(a)(29)(E).
- Archaeological planning and research. (Under TAP, certain mitigation measures related to project impacts are covered.)
- Establishment of Transportation museums.
2.4.9 Transit Projects - Local transit operators provide projects to the MPOs in priority order, and they in turn use these to develop a Four or Five Year Transit Development Plan (TDP). Transit projects are required for the Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) and the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and typically appear in the documents as funding actions, and carrying an ALDOT project number.
2.4.10 System Maintenance Projects - Roadway and bridge maintenance is provided according to system specifications, facility-life maintenance scheduling, and available funding. Projects are usually assigned a ' 99 ' code designation.
2.4.11 Safety Projects - MAP-21 retains the SAFETEA-LU and original TEA-21 Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) to provide more comprehensive funding to states for specific types of projects. The program requires a state to develop a Statewide Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) and the project must be included in the plan.
2.4.12 Other Federal and State Aid Projects - This is a miscellaneous category for projects that do not fit easily into other categories. Some sample funding codes are: PLN8 (Surface Transportation Metropolitan Planning), SPAR (State Planning and Research), STRP (State Revenue Sharing), UABC (Urban Extension), and CMAQ (Congestion Mitigation Air Quality).
2.4.14 High Priority and Congressional Earmark Projects - High Priority funding is project-specific funding provided by TEA-21 and extended by SAFETEA-LU and again in MAP-21. Congressional Earmarks are legislative actions providing funding for a specific purpose or project outside the normal funding allocation process. Although High Priority funding continues, Congressional Earmark designation remains only because some projects under this designation have not been completed.

Authorized Projects -The Federal Highway Administration requires Metropolitan Planning Organizations to publish project that were authorized in the previous fiscal year and involved federal highway or transit funds. Authorization is simply a statement that the project has federal approval to proceed. A project is considered authorized when a funding contract has been completed. The authorized project list includes those projects from the Montgomery area funded in a given fiscal year. A fiscal year runs from October 1 to September $30^{\text {th }}$.

## Project Report Format (TELUS)

### 2.3 Web TELUS Report Format



Total By Sponsor
Federal
$\$ 37,466,000$
All Funds
\$45, 332,500
1 - Sponsor, in this case, ALDOT. This is the sponsoring local government or agency. MPO staff enters this information.
2 - ALDOT Project ID, a nine digit identifying number within CPMS (Comprehensive Project Management System).
3 - Funding code and Federal Aid program number, in this case NH - 0006 (National Highway 0006).
4 - Route and Termini description. Route number is US-43, then the from and to description for the project.
5 - Project and funding type of the projects listed under this heading - National Highway System Projects.
6 - Scope or Phase of the projects. RW indicates Right-of-Way, CN is Construction, UT Utility, and so forth.
7 - Project Status. 'P' indicates Planned. 'A' is Authorized.
8 - Type of work actually being performed; in this example, Utility Adjustment.
9 - Map ID. Assigned to project maps and linked to tables.
10 - Year is the year opened to traffic. Air Quality Conformity would determine Exempt/Non-exempt status.
11 - FY or Fiscal Year 2012 is the year work will be performed.
12 - Funding sources and total project costs Year of Expenditure (YOE).

### 2.4 Project Listings

### 2.4.1 Surface Transportation Attributable Projects

| Sponsor: | ALDOT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project <br> Family ID | Project Number (FANBR) | Project Description | Project Length (miles) | SCP | STS | Project Type | FY | Map ID | Project Priority | Conform Year | Federal State Other | Estimated Total Cost |
| 29570 | $\begin{aligned} & 100055242 \text { DE- } \\ & \text { STPAA } \\ & \text { A203 (939) } \end{aligned}$ | WIDEN \& RESURFACE CR-75 (MCQUEEN SMITH RD) FROM SR-3 (US-31, S MEMORIAL DR) TO CR-2 (COBBS FORD RD) | 2.00 | RW | P | ADDITIONAL ROADWAY LANES | 2016 | 25.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 432,640 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 108,160 \end{aligned}$ | \$540,800 |
| Totals By Sponsor |  |  |  |  |  | Federal |  | \$432,640 |  |  | ALL Funds | \$540,800 |
| Sponsor: AUTAUGA COUNTY COMMISSION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Family ID | Project Number (FANBR) | Project Description | Project Length (miles) | SCP | STS | Project Type | FY | Map ID | Project Priority | Conform Year | Federal State Other | Estimated <br> Total <br> Cost |
| 39748 | $\begin{gathered} 100064369 \\ \text { STPMN } \\ 0115(\quad) \end{gathered}$ | RESURFACING AND TRAFFIC STRIPE ON CR-80 FROM PRATTVILLE CITY LIMITS TO CR-85 | 2.72 | PE | P | RESURFACING | 2016 | 38.000 | EXEMPT | NA | \$4,000 \$0 <br> \$1,000 | \$5,000 |
| 39748 | $\begin{aligned} & 100064370 \\ & \text { STPMN } \\ & 0115(\quad) \end{aligned}$ | RESURFACING AND TRAFFIC STRIPE ON CR-80 FROM PRATTVILLE CITY LIMITS TO CR-85 | 2.72 | CN | P | RESURFACING | 2016 | 38.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 176,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 44,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$220,000 |
| 39749 | $\begin{aligned} & 100064371 \\ & \text { STPMN } \\ & 0115(\quad) \end{aligned}$ | SAFETY WIDENING, PAVE SHOULDER, RESURFACING AND TRAFFIC STRIPE ON CR-23 FROM PRATTVILLE CITY LIMITS TO SR-3 (US-31) | 1.62 | PE | P | RESURFACING | 2017 | 39.000 | EXEMPT | NA |  | \$5,000 |
| 39749 | $\begin{gathered} 100064372 \\ \text { STPMN } \\ 0115(\quad) \end{gathered}$ | SAFETY WIDENING, PAVE SHOULDER, RESURFACING AND TRAFFIC STRIPE ON CR-23 FROM PRATTVILLE CITY LIMITS TO SR-3 (US-31) | 1.62 | CN | P | RESURFACING | 2017 | 39.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 200,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 50,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$250,000 |
| 39750 | $\begin{aligned} & 100064373 \\ & \text { STPMN } \\ & 0115(\quad) \end{aligned}$ | SAFETY WIDENING, PAVE SHOULDER, RESURFACING AND TRAFFIC STRIPE ON CR-85 FROM SR-3 (US-31)TO CR-40 | 4.25 | PE | P | RESURFACING | 2018 | 40.000 | EXEMPT | NA |  | \$5,000 |
| 39750 | $\begin{aligned} & 100064374 \\ & \text { STPMN } \\ & 0115(\quad) \end{aligned}$ | SAFETY WIDENING, PAVE SHOULDER, RESURFACING AND TRAFFIC STRIPE ON CR-85 FROM SR-3 (US-31)TO CR-40 | 4.25 | CN | P | RESURFACING | 2018 | 40.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 512,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 128,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$640,000 |
| Totals By Sponsor |  |  |  |  |  | Federal |  | \$900,000 |  |  | ALL Funds | \$1,125,000 |
| Sponsor: CITY OF MILLBROOK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project <br> Family ID | Project Number (FANBR) | Project Description | Project Length (miles) | SCP | STS | Project Type | FY | Map ID | Project Priority | Conform Year | Federal State Other | Estimated <br> Total <br> Cost |
| 39938 | $\begin{aligned} & 100064611 \\ & \text { STPMN } \\ & 2615(\quad) \end{aligned}$ | RESURFACE \& DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS ON CR-7 (DEATSVILLE HIGHWAY) FROM SR-14 TO LEGACY PARK (END OF MILLBROOK CITY LIMITS) | 2.00 | PE | P | RESURFACING | 2016 | 32.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 4,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 1,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$5,000 |
| 39938 | $\begin{aligned} & 100064612 \\ & \text { STPMN } \\ & 2615(\quad) \end{aligned}$ | RESURFACE \& DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS ON CR-7 (DEATSVILLE HIGHWAY) FROM SR-14 TO LEGACY PARK (END OF MILLBROOK CITY LIMITS) | 2.00 | CN | P | RESURFACING | 2016 | 32.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 100,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$500,000 |
| 39939 | $\begin{aligned} & 100064613 \\ & \text { STPMN } \\ & 2615(\quad) \end{aligned}$ | RESURFACE, DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS \& BIKE LANES ON BROWNS ROAD FROM SR-143 (MAIN STREET) TO SR-14 | 0.76 | PE | P | WIDENING \& RESURFACING (RDWY) | 2016 | 33.000 | EXEMPT | NA |  | \$10,000 |

2.4.1 Surface Transportation Attributable Projects

| 39939 | $\begin{gathered} 100064614 \\ \text { STPMN } \\ 2615(\quad) \end{gathered}$ | RESURFACE, DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS \& BIKE LANES ON BROWNS ROAD FROM SR-143 (MAIN STREET) TO SR-14 | 0.76 | CN | P | WIDENING \& RESURFACING (RDWY) | 2016 | 33.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 304,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 76,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$380,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Totals By Sponsor |  |  |  |  |  | Federal |  | \$716,000 |  |  | ALL Funds | \$895,000 |
| Sponsor: CITY OF MONTGOMERY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project <br> Family ID | Project Number (FANBR) | Project Description | Project Length (miles) | SCP | STS | Project Type | FY | Map ID | Project Priority | Conform Year | Federal State Other | Estimated Total Cost |
| 27010 | $\begin{aligned} & 100053061 \\ & \text { STPAA } \\ & 7733(602) \end{aligned}$ | WIDEN \& RESURFACE ANN STREET FROM HIGHLAND AVENUE TO BREWTON STREET (PHASE2) | 0.45 | RW | P | GRADE, DRAIN, BASE AND PAVE | 2016 | 1.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 973,322 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 243,331 \end{aligned}$ | \$1,216,653 |
| 31913 | $\begin{aligned} & 100054277 \\ & \text { STPAA } \\ & 7729(600) \end{aligned}$ | RESURFACE AND SIDEWALK BEAUTIFICATION SOUTH COURT STREET FROM CR-233 (FAIRVIEW AVENUE) TO SR-6 (US-331,SOUTH BOULEVARD) | 1.72 | CN | P | RESURFACING | 2016 | 2.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3,200,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 800,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$4,000,000 |
| 33124 | $\begin{gathered} 100055807 \\ \text { STPAA } \\ 7702(\quad) \end{gathered}$ | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT ON CR-33 (NARROW LANE ROAD) AT HANNON SLOUGH (BIN \# 003250 \& \# 003249) | 0.00 | CN | P | BRIDGE <br> REPLACEMENT | 2018 | 8.000 | EXEMPT | NA | ```$1,600,000 $0 $400,000``` | \$2,000,000 |
| 33124 | $\begin{aligned} & 100060091 \\ & \text { STPAA } \\ & 7702(601) \end{aligned}$ | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT ON CR-33 (NARROW LANE ROAD) AT HANNON SLOUGH (BIN \# 003250 \& \#003249) | 0.00 | UT | P | BRIDGE <br> REPLACEMENT | 2017 | 8.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 160,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 40,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$200,000 |
| 33124 | $\begin{aligned} & 100060092 \\ & \text { STPAA } \\ & 7702(601) \end{aligned}$ | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT ON CR-33 (NARROW LANE ROAD) AT HANNON SLOUGH (BIN \# 003250 \& \# 003249) | 0.00 | RW | P | BRIDGE <br> REPLACEMENT | 2017 | 8.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 60,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 15,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$75,000 |
| 33136 | $\begin{aligned} & 100060095 \\ & \text { STPAA } \\ & 7701(602) \end{aligned}$ | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT ON CR-39 (WOODLEY ROAD) @ WHITES SLOUGH \& TRIBUTARY (BIN \# 003047 \& 003048) | 0.00 | UT | P | BRIDGE <br> REPLACEMENT | 2016 | 3.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 160,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 40,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$200,000 |
| 33136 | $\begin{aligned} & 100060096 \\ & \text { STPAA } \\ & 7701(602) \end{aligned}$ | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT ON CR-39 (WOODLEY ROAD) @ WHITES SLOUGH \& TRIBUTARY (BIN \# 003047 \& 003048) | 0.00 | RW | P | BRIDGE <br> REPLACEMENT | 2016 | 3.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 60,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 15,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$75,000 |
| 39190 | $\begin{aligned} & 100063692 \\ & \text { STPMN } \\ & 5115(\quad) \end{aligned}$ | STREET LIGHT CONVERSION TO LED IN CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT (CITY OF MONTGOMERY) | 0.00 | PE | P | LIGHTING | 2017 | 9.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 625,600 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 156,400 \end{aligned}$ | \$782,000 |
| 39190 | $\begin{aligned} & 100063693 \\ & \text { STPMN } \\ & 5115(\quad) \end{aligned}$ | STREET LIGHT CONVERSION TO LED IN CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT (CITY OF MONTGOMERY) | 0.00 | CN | P | LIGHTING | 2019 | 9.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5,630,400 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 1,407,600 \end{aligned}$ | \$7,038,000 |
| 39191 | $\begin{gathered} 100063694 \\ \text { STPMN } \\ 5115(\quad) \end{gathered}$ | RESURFACE, CURB AND GUTTER, INLET CONVERSION, STRIPING, SIDEWALKS AND CONVERSION FROM 4 LANE TO 3 LANE WITH PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES ON FAIRVIEW FROM SOUTH COURT STREET TO NARROW LANE ROAD | 1.50 | PE | P | RESURFACING | 2017 | 10.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 218,736 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 54,684 \end{aligned}$ | \$273,420 |
| 24781 | $\begin{aligned} & 100064161 \\ & \text { STPMN } \\ & 5115(\quad) \end{aligned}$ | INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS PERRY HILL ROAD AT ATLANTA HIGHWAY | 0.00 | RW | P | INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS | 2018 | 7.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 800,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 200,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$1,000,000 |
| 24781 | $\begin{gathered} 100064162 \\ \text { STPMN } \\ 5115(\quad) \end{gathered}$ | INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS PERRY HILL ROAD AT ATLANTA HIGHWAY | 0.00 | CN | P | INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS | 2019 | 7.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5,600,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 1,400,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$7,000,000 |

2.4.1 Surface Transportation Attributable Projects

| 24781 | $100064179$ STPMN 5115 ( ) | INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS PERRY HILL ROAD AT ATLANTA HIGHWAY | 0.00 | PE | P | INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS | 2017 | 7.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 552,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 138,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$690,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37617 | $\begin{gathered} 100064606 \\ \text { STPMN } \\ 7714 \\ (600) \end{gathered}$ | WIDEN AND RESURFACE ZELDA ROAD FROM ANN STREET TO CARTER HILL ROAD | 1.00 | RW | P | WIDENING \& RESURFACING (RDWY) | 2017 | 4.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 200,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 50,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$250,000 |
| 37617 | $\begin{gathered} 100064607 \\ \text { STPMN } \\ 7714 \\ (600) \end{gathered}$ | WIDEN AND RESURFACE ZELDA ROAD FROM ANN STREET TO CARTER HILL ROAD | 1.00 | UT | P | WIDENING \& RESURFACING (RDWY) | 2018 | 4.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 40,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 10,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$50,000 |
| 24781 | $\begin{gathered} 100064608 \\ \text { STPMN } \\ 5115(\quad) \end{gathered}$ | CORRIDOR STUDY ON PERRY HILL ROAD FROM HARRISON ROAD TO THE INTERSECTION OF ATLANTA HIGHWAY | 2.40 | PE | P | CORRIDOR STUDY | 2016 | 6.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 80,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 20,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$100,000 |
| 37617 | $\begin{gathered} 100040817 \\ \text { STPMN } \\ 7714 \\ (600) \end{gathered}$ | WIDEN AND RESURFACE ZELDA ROAD FROM ANN STREET TO CARTER HILL ROAD | 1.00 | CN | P | WIDENING \& RESURFACING (RDWY) | 2019 | 4.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,248,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 312,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$1,560,000 |
| 27010 | $\begin{gathered} 100045392 \\ \text { STPMN } \\ 7733(\quad) \end{gathered}$ | RESURFACE AND WIDENING ANN STREET FROM HIGHLAND AVENUE TO BREWTON STREET PHASE 2 | 0.45 | CN | P | WIDENING \& RESURFACING (RDWY) | 2017 | 14.000 |  | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,473,844 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 368,461 \end{aligned}$ | \$1,842,304 |
| 33136 | 100055809 STPMN 7701 $(602)$ | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT ON CR-39 <br> (WOODLEY ROAD) @ WHITES SLOUGH \& TRIBUTARY (BIN \# 003047 \& 003048) | 0.00 | CN | P | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT | 2017 | 3.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,339,717 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 584,929 \end{aligned}$ | \$2,924,646 |
| 36113 | $\begin{aligned} & 100059721 \\ & \text { STPMN } \\ & 7780(\quad) \end{aligned}$ | RESURFACE, CURB AND GUTTER, INLET CONVERSION, TRAFFIC STRIPING AND SIDEWALKS ON FEDERAL DRIVE FROM ATLANTA HIGHWAY TO CSX RAILROAD CROSSING. | 2.13 | PE | P | RESURFACING | 2019 | 15.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 240,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 60,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$300,000 |
| 36121 | $\begin{gathered} 100059732 \\ \text { STPMN } \\ 5100(~) \end{gathered}$ | CORRIDOR STUDY FOR WIDENING (ADDING CAPACITY) AND RESURFACING CARTER HILL ROAD FROM ZELDA TO MCGEHEE ROAD | 1.06 | PE | P | CORRIDOR STUDY | 2018 | 11.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 240,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 60,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$300,000 |
| 36380 | $\begin{gathered} 100060097 \\ \text { STPMN } \\ 5113(~) \end{gathered}$ | BRIDGE PAINTING ON AIRBASE BOULEVARD (BIN\#011513, \#011514), MAXWELL AFB ENTRANCE (\#006013), UPPER WETUMPKA ROAD (\#008715 \& 008716), MADISON AVENUE (\#011480) | 0.00 | PE | P | BRIDGE PAINTING | 2018 | 12.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 40,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 10,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$50,000 |
| 36381 | 100060099 STPMN 5113 ( ) | RETROFITTING OF HANDICAP RAMPS ON VARIOUS STREETS IN THE CITY OF MONTGOMERY DOWNTOWN CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT | 0.00 | PE | P | SIDEWALK | 2018 | 13.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 64,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 16,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$80,000 |
| 38085 | $\begin{gathered} 100062338 \\ \text { STPMN } \\ 5115(~) \end{gathered}$ | FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR SIDEWALKS/MULTI-USE PATH ON (CR-626) VAUGHN ROAD FROM TAYLOR ROAD TO CHANTILLY PARKWAY | 4.40 | PE | P | SIDEWALK | 2017 | 35.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 240,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 60,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$300,000 |

### 2.4.1 Surface Transportation Attributable Projects

| 24781 | $\begin{gathered} 100063020 \\ \text { STPMN } \\ 7724 \\ (602) \end{gathered}$ | PROTECTIVE PURCHASE OF PROPERTY FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE RESURFACING OF PERRY HILL ROAD PHASE II PROJECT | 0.00 | RW | P | WIDENING \& RESURFACING (RDWY) | 2016 | 5.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 480,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 120,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$600,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Totals By Sponsor |  |  |  |  |  | Federal |  | \$26,325,619 |  |  | ALL Funds | \$32,907,024 |
| Sponsor: CITY OF PRATTVILLE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Family ID | Project Number (FANBR) | Project Description | Project Length (miles) | SCP | STS | Project Type | FY | Map ID P | Project Priority | Conform Year | Federal State Other | Estimated <br> Total <br> Cost |
| 29570 | $\begin{aligned} & 100050962 \\ & \text { STPAA } \\ & 7812(600) \end{aligned}$ | WIDEN \& RESURFACE CR-75 (MCQUEEN SMITH RD) FROM SR-3 (US-31, S MEMORIAL DR) TO CR-2 (COBBS FORD RD) | 2.00 | UT | P | ADDITIONAL ROADWAY LANES | 2017 | 25.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 49,713 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 12,428 \end{aligned}$ | \$62,141 |
| 34817 | $\begin{aligned} & 100054292 \\ & \text { STPAA } \\ & 7804(604) \end{aligned}$ | RESURFACE CR-2 (EAST MAIN STREET) FROM SR-3 (US-31, SOUTH MEMORIAL DRIVE) TO SHADY OAKS DRIVE | 1.01 | CN | P | RESURFACING | 2016 | 24.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 238,908 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 59,727 \end{aligned}$ | \$298,635 |
| 39186 | 100063684 STPMN 0115 ( ) | RESURFACING VARIOUS STREETS IN THE CITY OF PRATTVILLE | 2.00 | PE | P | RESURFACING | 2019 | 26.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 80,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 20,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \$100,000 |
| 39187 | 100063686 STPMN 0115 ( ) | ADD TURN LANES AT INTERSECTIONS ON SR-3 (US-31) BETWEEN 6TH STREET (PRATTVILLE) NORTH TO I-65 | 0.00 | PE | P | INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS | 2018 | 27.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 200,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 50,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$250,000 |
| 39188 | $\begin{gathered} 100063688 \\ \text { STPMN } \\ 0115(\quad) \end{gathered}$ | ADDITIONAL TURN LANES AT INTERSECTIONS ON SR-14 (FAIRVIEW AVE.) BETWEEN SR-3 (US-31) AND JASMINE TRAIL | 0.00 | PE | P | TURN LANES | 2019 | 28.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 240,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 60,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$300,000 |
| 34416 | 100057524 STPMN 0113 ( ) | RESURFACE VARIOUS CITY STREETS IN THE CITY OF PRATTVILLE | 2.00 | CN | P | RESURFACING | 2016 | 26.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 346,154 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 86,538 \end{aligned}$ | \$432,692 |
| Totals By Sponsor |  |  |  |  |  | Federal |  | \$1,154,775 |  |  | ALL Funds | \$1,443,469 |
| Sponsor: CITY OF WETUMPKA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Family ID | Project Number (FANBR) | Project Description | Project Length (miles) | SCP | STS | Project Type | FY | Map ID P | Project Priority | Conform Year | Federal State Other | Estimated <br> Total <br> Cost |
| 33315 | $\begin{gathered} 100056090 \\ \text { STPAA } \\ \text { CN11 ( ) } \end{gathered}$ | RESURFACE, SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENTS, LANDSCAPING AND PEDESTRIAN LIGHTING FIXTURES ON SOUTH MAIN ST FROM EAST BRIDGE ST TO US-231 (SR-9) | 1.40 | CN | P | RESURFACING | 2016 | 29.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 100,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$500,000 |
| 33315 | $\begin{gathered} 100057512 \\ \text { STPAA } \\ \text { UT12 ( ) } \end{gathered}$ | UTILITY RELOCATION AND STREET IMPROVEMENTS ON COMPANY STREET FROM LOGAN STREET TO EAST BRIDGE STREET AND SOUTH MAIN STREET FROM EAST BRIDGE STREET TO SR-9 (US-231) | 1.12 | UT | P | RESURFACING | 2016 | 29.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 90,889 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 22,722 \end{aligned}$ | \$113,611 |
| 34472 | $\begin{aligned} & 100057626 \\ & \text { STPMN } \\ & 2613(\quad) \end{aligned}$ | RESURFACE AND SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENTS ON HILL STREET FROM EAST BRIDGE STREET TO ORLINE STREET AND ORLINE STREET FROM HILL STREET TO FISH STREET | 0.00 | PE | P | RESURFACING | 2016 | 30.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 67,529 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 16,882 \end{aligned}$ | \$84,411 |

### 2.4.1 Surface Transportation Attributable Projects

| 34472 | $\begin{gathered} 100057627 \\ \text { STPMN } \\ 2613(\quad) \end{gathered}$ | RESURFACE AND SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENTS ON HILL STREET FROM EAST BRIDGE STREET TO ORLINE STREET AND ORLINE STREET FROM HILL STREET TO FISH STREET | 0.00 | CN | P | RESURFACING | 2017 | 30.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 240,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 60,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$300,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39121 | $\begin{aligned} & 100063025 \\ & \text { STPMN } \\ & 2614(\quad) \end{aligned}$ | RESURFACING ONLY COURT ST; EAST BRIDGE FROM S MAIN ST TO HILL ST; RESURFACE, SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENTS, LANDSCAPING AND DECORATIVE LIGHTING FIXTURES ON COMPANY, HILL ST AND ORLINE ST | 0.30 | CN | P | RESURFACING | 2016 | 31.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 385,926 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 96,482 \end{aligned}$ | \$482,408 |
| Totals By Sponsor |  |  |  |  |  | Federal |  | \$1,184,344 |  |  | ALL Funds | \$1,480,430 |
| Sponsor: ELMORE COUNTY COMMISSION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Family ID | Project Number (FANBR) | Project Description | Project Length (miles) | SCP | STS | Project Type | FY | Map ID | Project Priority | Conform Year | Federal State Other | Estimated Total Cost |
| 38769 | $\begin{gathered} 100063230 \\ \text { STPMN } \\ 2615 \\ (250) \end{gathered}$ | WIDENING ON CR-8 (REDLAND ROAD) FROM SR-9 (US-231) TO CR-4 (RIFLE RANGE ROAD) AND BRIDGE REPLACEMENT AT HARWELL MILL CREEK (BIN \#10936) AND INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS AT CR-8 (REDLAND ROAD) AND CR-4 (RIFLE RANGE ROAD) | 1.48 | RW | P | WIDENING \& RESURFACING (RDWY) | 2017 | 19.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 100,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$500,000 |
| 38769 | 100063232 STPMN 2615 $(250)$ | WIDENING ON CR-8 (REDLAND ROAD) FROM SR-9 (US-231) TO CR-4 (RIFLE RANGE ROAD) AND BRIDGE REPLACEMENT AT HARWELL MILL CREEK (BIN \#10936) AND INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS AT CR-8 (REDLAND ROAD) AND CR-4 (RIFLE RANGE ROAD) | 1.48 | UT | P | WIDENING \& RESURFACING (RDWY) | 2017 | 19.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 100,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$500,000 |
| 38769 | $\begin{aligned} & 100063233 \\ & \text { STPMN } \\ & 2615(\quad) \end{aligned}$ | WIDENING ON CR-8 (REDLAND ROAD) FROM SR-9 (US-231) TO CR-4 (RIFLE RANGE ROAD) AND BRIDGE REPLACEMENT AT HARWELL MILL CREEK (BIN \#10936) AND INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS AT CR-8 (REDLAND ROAD) AND CR-4 (RIFLE RANGE ROAD) | 1.48 | CN | P | WIDENING \& RESURFACING (RDWY) | 2018 | 19.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,400,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 600,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$3,000,000 |
| 39196 | $\begin{aligned} & 100063704 \\ & \text { STPMN } \\ & 2615(\quad) \end{aligned}$ | WIDEN TO ACCOMMODATE A CENTER TURN LANE ON RIFLE RANGE RD FROM DOZIER RD TO TOLL BRIDGE RD | 0.00 | PE | P | WIDENING \& RESURFACING (RDWY) | 2019 | 23.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 80,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 20,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$100,000 |
| 39200 | $100063714$ STPMN 2615 ( ) | RESURFACE HOGAN ROAD FROM SR-143 TO SR-111 | 4.40 | PE | P | RESURFACING | 2018 | 22.000 | EXEMPT | NA | \$4,000 \$0 <br> \$1,000 | \$5,000 |
| 39200 | $\begin{gathered} 100063715 \\ \text { STPMN } \\ 2615(\quad) \end{gathered}$ | RESURFACE HOGAN ROAD FROM SR-143 TO SR-111 | 4.40 | CN | P | RESURFACING | 2019 | 22.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 246,400 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 61,600 \end{aligned}$ | \$308,000 |
| 39202 | $\begin{gathered} 100063718 \\ \text { STPMN } \\ 2615(\quad) \end{gathered}$ | RESURFACE/PRESERVATION DEXTER ROAD/PIERCE CHAPEL ROAD FROM GRIER ROAD TO SR-170 | 4.40 | PE | P | RESURFACING | 2016 | 16.000 | EXEMPT | NA |  | \$5,000 |

### 2.4.1 Surface Transportation Attributable Projects



### 2.4.1 Surface Transportation Attributable Projects

| 11753 | $\begin{gathered} 100057526 \\ \text { STPMN } \\ 7903(\quad) \end{gathered}$ | RESURFACE AND WIDEN CR-85 (PIKE ROAD) FROM CR-83 (WALLAHATCHIE ROAD) TO SR-8 (US-80) | 5.88 | CN | P | RESURFACING | 2016 | 34.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 881,840 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 220,460 \end{aligned}$ | \$1,102,300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38085 | $\begin{gathered} 100062338 \\ \text { STPMN } \\ 5115(\quad) \end{gathered}$ | FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR <br> SIDEWALKS/MULTI-USE PATH ON (CR-626) VAUGHN ROAD FROM TAYLOR ROAD TO CHANTLLLY PARKWAY | 4.40 | PE | P | SIDEWALK | 2017 | 35.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 240,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 60,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$300,000 |
| Totals By Sponsor |  |  |  |  |  | Federal |  | \$3,613,520 |  |  | ALL Funds \$4,516,900 |  |

TIP Map ID Number: 1 Sponsor: City of Montgomery State Project Code: 100053061
Functional Classification: Minor Arterial

## Location: Ann St from Highland Ave to Brewton Ave (Phase II)

Project Description: Widen, Level, Resurface and Traffic Stripe on Ann St from Highland Ave to Brewton Ave (Phase II)

Distance: . 45 miles (STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN-5115 | RW | $\mathbf{1 0 1 / 1 5}$ | FY-16 | $\mathbf{\$ 9 3 5 , 8 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 3 3 , 9 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 1 6 9 , 8 5 9}$ |



Source: MPO Staff

TIP Map ID Number: 1

TIP Map ID Number: 2 Sponsor: City of Montgomery State Project Code: 100054277
Functional Classification: Minor Arterial

## Location: South Court St from Fairview Ave to South Blvd

Project Description: Resurface, improve drainage, traffic signals and signal improvements on South Court St from Fairview Ave to South Blvd

Distance: $\mathbf{0 . 8 5}$ miles (STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN 5115 | CN | 11/6/2015 | FY-16 | \$3,200,000 | \$800,000 | \$4,000,000 |



Source: MPO Staff

TIP Map ID Number:2
$\begin{array}{rrr}\text { TIP Map ID Number: } 3 \quad \text { Sponsor: City of Montgomery } & \text { State Project Code: } 100060095 \\ 100060096 \\ 100055809\end{array}$
Functional Classification: Minor Arterial
Location: Woodley Rd at Whites Slough (BIN \#003048 \& 003047)
Project Description: Bridge Replacement on Woodley Rd at Whites Slough (BIN \#003048 \& 003047)

Distance: N/A Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program Montgomery (STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN 5115 | UT | 11/1/2015 | FY-16 | \$160,000 | \$40,000 | \$200,000 |
| STPMN 5115 | RW | 11/1/2015 | FY-16 | \$ 60,000 | \$15,000 | \$75,000 |
| STPMN 5115 | CN | 11/1/2015 | FY-16 | \$ 60,000 | \$15,000 | \$75,000 |



Source: MPO Staff
TIP Map ID Number:3

TIP Map ID Number: 4 Sponsor: City of Montgomery State Project Code: 100061710 100064606 100064607
100040817
Functional Classification: Minor Arterial
Location: Zelda Rd from Ann St to Carter Hill Rd
Project Description: Widen, Level, resurface and Traffic stripe on Zelda Rd from Ann St to Carter Hill Rd

Distance: 1.18 miles (STPMN) Funds


Source: MPO Staff
TIP Map ID Number:4

TIP Map ID Number: 5 Sponsor: City of Montgomery State Project Code: 100063020
Functional Classification: N/A
Location: Southeast Property of Perry Hill Rd and Atlanta Highway
Project Description: Protective Purchase of Southeast Property of Perry Hill Rd and Atlanta Highway

Distance: N/A Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program Montgomery (STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN $\mathbf{5 1 1 5}$ | RW | $\mathbf{1 0 / 1 / 2 0 1 5}$ | FY-16 | $\mathbf{\$ 4 8 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |



Source: MPO Staff

TIP Map ID Number:5

TIP Map ID Number: 6 Sponsor: City of Montgomery State Project Code: 100064608

## Functional Classification: Minor Arterial

## Location: Perry Hill Rd from Harrison Rd to Atlanta Hwy

## Project Description: Corridor Study on Perry Hill Rd from Harrison Rd to Atlanta Hwy

Distance: N/A Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program Montgomery (STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN $\mathbf{5 1 1 5}$ | PE | $\mathbf{1 0 / 1 / 2 0 1 5}$ | FY-16 | $\mathbf{\$ 8 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |



Source: MPO Staff

TIP Map ID Number:6

TIP Map ID Number: $7 \quad$ Sponsor: City of Montgomery State Project Code: 100064179 100064162

Functional Classification: Minor Arterial

## Location: Intersection of Perry Hill Rd at Atlanta Hwy

Project Description: Intersection Improvements on Perry Hill Rd at Atlanta Hwy
Distance: N/A Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program Montgomery (STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN $\mathbf{5 1 1 5}$ | PE | $\mathbf{9 / 1 / 2 0 1 7}$ | FY-17 | $\$ 552,000$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 3 8 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 9 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| STPMN $\mathbf{5 1 1 5}$ | CN | $\mathbf{9 / 1 / 2 0 1 9}$ | FY-19 | $\mathbf{\$ 5 , 5 2 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 3 8 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 , 9 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |



Source: MPO Staff

[^1]$\begin{array}{rrr}\text { TIP Map ID Number: } \mathbf{8} \quad \text { Sponsor: City of Montgomery } & \text { State Project Code: } 100060091 \\ & 100060092 \\ & 100055807\end{array}$
Functional Classification: Collector
Location: Narrow Lane at Hannon Slough (BIN\# 003250)
Project Description: Bridge Replacement on Narrow Lane at Hannon Slough (BIN\# 003250)
Distance: N/A Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program Montgomery (STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN 5115 | UT | $\mathbf{7 / 1 / 2 0 1 7}$ | FY-17 | $\mathbf{\$ 1 6 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 4 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| STPMN 515 | RW | $\mathbf{5 / 1 / 2 0 1 7}$ | FY-17 | $\mathbf{\$ 6 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$ 75,000$ |
| STPMN 5115 | CN | $\mathbf{1 1 / 3 / 2 0 1 7}$ | FY-18 | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 6 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 4 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |



Source: MPO Staff
TIP Map ID Number:8

## Functional Classification: N/A

## Location: City of Montgomery Downtown Central Business District

Project Description: Street Light Conversion to LED in City of Montgomery Downtown Central Business District

Distance: N/A Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program Montgomery (STPMN)

## Funds

| FEDERAL |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN 5115 | PE | $\mathbf{1 0 / 1 / 2 0 1 6}$ | FY-17 | $\mathbf{\$ 5 0 0 , 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 5 , 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 2 6 , 0 0 0}$ |
| STPMN 5115 | CN | $\mathbf{6 / 1 / 2 0 1 8}$ | FY-18 | $\mathbf{\$ 4 , 8 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 2 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |



Source: MPO Staff

TIP Map ID Number:9

TIP Map ID Number: 10 Sponsor: City of Montgomery State Project Code: 100063694

## Functional Classification: Minor Arterial

## Location: East Fairview Ave from South Court St to Narrow Lane Rd

Project Description: Resurface, curb and gutter, inlet conversion, traffic striping sidewalks and conversion from 4 lanes to 3 lanes with pedestrian facilities on East Fairview Ave from South Court St to Narrow Lane Rd

Distance: N/A
Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program Montgomery (STPMN)

## Funds

| FEDERAL |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START <br> STAR | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN $\mathbf{5 1 1 5}$ | PE | $\mathbf{3 / 1 / 2 0 1 8}$ | FY-18 | $\mathbf{\$ 1 6 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 4 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |



Source: MPO Staff

TIP Map ID Number:10

TIP Map ID Number: 11 Sponsor: City of Montgomery State Project Code: 100059732
Functional Classification: Minor Arterial

## Location: Carter Hill Rd from Zelda Rd to McGehee Rd

Project Description: Corridor Study for widening and resurfacing on Carter Hill Rd from Zelda Rd to McGehee Rd

Distance: 1.06 miles (STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN $\mathbf{5 1 1 5}$ | PE | $\mathbf{3 / 2 / 2 0 1 8}$ | FY-18 | $\mathbf{\$ 2 4 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |



Source: MPO Staff

TIP Map ID Number:11

TIP Map ID Number: 12 Sponsor: City of Montgomery State Project Code: 100060097

## Functional Classification: N/A

Location: Bridge Structures on Maxwell Blvd, Upper Wetumpka Rd, Madison Ave and Airbase Blvd

Project Description: Bridge Painting on Maxwell Blvd, Upper Wetumpka Rd, Madison Ave and Airbase Blvd

Distance: N/A
Funds

| FEDERAL |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN $\mathbf{5 1 1 5}$ | PE | $\mathbf{3 / 3 / 2 0 1 8}$ | FY-18 | $\mathbf{\$ 4 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ |



Source: MPO Staff

# TIP Map ID Number: 13 Sponsor: City of Montgomery State Project Code: 100060099 

 100060100
## Functional Classification: N/A

## Location: Various Streets in Downtown Montgomery Central Business District

Project Description: Retrofitting of Handicap Ramps Various Streets in Downtown Montgomery Central Business District

Distance: N/A Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program Montgomery (STPMN)
Funds

| FEDERAL |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN 5115 | PE | $\mathbf{3 / 4 / 2 0 1 8}$ | FY-18 | $\$ 664,000$ | $\$ 16,000$ | $\$ 80,000$ |
| STPMN $\mathbf{5 1 1 5}$ | CN | $\mathbf{3 / 4 / 2 0 1 8}$ | FY-18 | $\mathbf{\$ 4 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |



Source: MPO Staff

TIP Map ID Number:13

TIP Map ID Number: 14 Sponsor: City of Montgomery State Project Code: $\mathbf{1 0 0 0}^{\mathbf{* * * * * *}}$ 1000******

Functional Classification: Various

## Location: State Routes Within the Montgomery Study Area

Project Description: Street Light Conversion to LED on State Routes within the Montgomery Study Area

Distance: N/A Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program Montgomery (STPMN)
Funds

| FEDERAL |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN 5115 | PE | 9/4/2018 | FY-18 | \$219,200 | \$54,800 | \$274,000 |
| STPMN 5115 | CN | 9/4/2019 | FY-19 | \$1,760,000 | \$440,000 | \$2,220,000 |



Source: MPO Staff

TIP Map ID Number:14

TIP Map ID Number: 15 Sponsor: City of Montgomery State Project Code: 100059721

## Functional Classification: Minor Arterial

Location: Congressman W L Dickinson Drive from South ROW of CSX RR to Atlanta Highway
Project Description: Resurface, curb and gutter, inlet conversion, traffic stripe and sidewalks, Congressman W L Dickinson Drive from South ROW of CSX RR to Atlanta Highway

Distance: $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 \text { miles }}$ (STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | PROJECT <br> START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN $\mathbf{5 1 1 5}$ | PE | $\mathbf{9 / 4 / 2 0 1 8}$ | FY-18 | $\mathbf{\$ 2 4 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |



Source: MPO Staff

TIP Map ID Number: 16 Sponsor: Elmore Co. Comm. State Project Code: 100063718
100063719
Functional Classification: Collector
Location: Dexter Rd/Pierce Chapel Rd from Grier Rd to SR 170
Project Description: Resurface and pavement preservation on Dexter Rd/Pierce Chapel Rd from Grier Rd to SR 170

Distance: 4.4 miles (STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN $\mathbf{2 6 1 5}$ | PE | $\mathbf{1 / 1 / 2 0 1 6}$ | FY-16 | $\mathbf{\$ 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$ 5,000$ |
| STPMN $\mathbf{2 6 1 5}$ | CN | $\mathbf{1 / 2 7 / 2 0 1 7}$ | FY-17 | $\mathbf{\$ 2 4 6 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 1 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 0 8 , 0 0 0}$ |



Source: MPO Staff

TIP Map ID Number:16

TIP Map ID Number: 17 Sponsor: Elmore Co. Comm. State Project Code: 100063728
100063729
Functional Classification: Collector
Location: Grier Rd from Weoka Rd to Dexter Rd
Project Description: Widen to State Standards and Resurface on Grier Rd from Weoka Rd to Dexter Rd

Distance: 4 miles
Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program Montgomery
(STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |  |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |  |
| STPMN 2615 | PE | $\mathbf{1 / 1 / 2 0 1 6}$ | FY-18 | $\mathbf{\$ 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$ 5,000$ |  |
| STPMN $\mathbf{2 6 1 5}$ | CN | $\mathbf{1 / 2 7 / 2 0 1 7}$ | FY-18 | $\mathbf{\$ 7 2 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 8 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 9 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |  |



Source: MPO Staff

TIP Map ID Number:17

TIP Map ID Number: 18 Sponsor: Elmore Co. Comm. State Project Code: 100064367

Functional Classification: Collector

## Location: Ingram Rd from SR-14 to Deatsville Highway

Project Description: Install safety widening, pave shoulders, traffic stripe and micro-surfacing on Ingram Rd from SR-14 to Deatsville Highway

Distance: 6.5 miles
(STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN 2615 | PE | $\mathbf{1 / 1 / 2 0 1 6}$ | FY-16 | $\$ 4,000$ | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 5,000$ |
| STPMN 2615 | CN | $\mathbf{5 / 2 7 / 2 0 1 6}$ | FY-16 | $\mathbf{\$ 7 8 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 9 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 9 7 5 , 0 0 0}$ |



Source: MPO Staff

TIP Map ID Number:18

| TIP Map ID Number: $19 \quad$ Sponsor: Elmore Co. Comm. State Project Code: | 100063230 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 100063232 |
|  | 100063233 |

Location: Redland Rd from SR-9 (US-231) to CR-4 (Rifle Range Rd) and Harwell Mill Creek (BIN\# 10936), CR-4 and CR-8

Project Description: Widening, Resurfacing, Bridge Replacement and Intersection improvements on Redland Rd from SR-9 (US-231) to CR-4 (Rifle Range Rd) and Harwell Mill Creek (BIN\# 10936), CR-4 and CR-8

Distance: 1.48 miles (STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN 2615 | RW | $\mathbf{1 1 / 1 / 2 0 1 6}$ | FY-17 | $\mathbf{\$ 4 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$ 500,000$ |
| STPMN 2615 | UT | $\mathbf{9 / 1 / 2 0 1 7}$ | FY-17 | $\$ 400,000$ | $\$ 100,000$ | $\$ 500,000$ |
| STPMN 2615 | CN | $\mathbf{1 1 / 3 / 2 0 1 7}$ | FY-18 | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 4 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |



Source: MPO Staff
TIP Map ID Number:19

TIP Map ID Number: $\mathbf{2 0}$ Sponsor: Elmore Co. Comm. State Project Code: 100063724
100063725
Functional Classification: Collector
Location: Coosada Rd/Rucker Rd from Blackwells Dr to SR-14
Project Description: Resurface and Pavement Preservation on Coosada Rd/Rucker Rd from Blackwells Dr to SR-14

Distance: $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ miles (STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN $\mathbf{2 6 1 5}$ | PE | $\mathbf{1 / 1 / 2 0 1 7}$ | FY-17 | $\$ 4, \mathbf{0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$ 5,000$ |
| STPMN $\mathbf{2 6 1 5}$ | CN | $\mathbf{1 / 2 6 / 2 0 1 8}$ | FY-18 | $\mathbf{\$ 1 8 4 , 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 4 6 , 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 3 1 , 0 0 0}$ |



Source: MPO Staff
TIP Map ID Number:20

# TIP Map ID Number: 21 Sponsor: Elmore Co. Comm. State Project Code: 100063726 <br> 100063727 

## Functional Classification: Collector

## Location: Kennedy Avenue from Coosada Rd to Airport Rd

Project Description: Resurface and Pavement Preservation on Kennedy Avenue from Coosada Rd to Airport Rd

Distance: 1.2 miles (STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN 2615 | PE | $\mathbf{1 / 1 / 2 0 1 7}$ | FY-17 | $\$ 4,000$ | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 5,000$ |
| STPMN 2615 | CN | $\mathbf{1 / 2 6 / 2 0 1 8}$ | FY-18 | $\mathbf{\$ 6 7 , 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 6 , 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 8 4 , 0 0 0}$ |



Source: MPO Staff

TIP Map ID Number:21

TIP Map ID Number: 22 Sponsor: Elmore Co. Comm. State Project Code: 100063714 100063715

Functional Classification: Collector
Location: Hogan Rd from SR-143 to SR-111
Project Description: Resurface and Pavement Preservation on Hogan Rd from SR-143 to SR-111
Distance: 4.4 miles (STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN $\mathbf{2 6 1 5}$ | PE | $\mathbf{1 / 1 / 2 0 1 8}$ | FY-18 | $\mathbf{\$ 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$ 5,000$ |
| STPMN $\mathbf{2 6 1 5}$ | CN | $\mathbf{5 / 1 / 2 0 1 9}$ | FY-18 | $\mathbf{\$ 2 4 6 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 1 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 0 8 , 0 0 0}$ |



Source: MPO Staff

TIP Map ID Number:22

TIP Map ID Number: $\mathbf{2 3}$ Sponsor: Elmore Co. Comm. State Project Code: 100063704
Functional Classification: Collector

## Location: Rifle Range Rd from Dozier Rd to Toll Bridge Rd

Project Description: Resurface and Widen on Rifle Range Rd from Dozier Rd to Toll Bridge Rd
Distance: 1 miles
Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program Montgomery
(STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN $\mathbf{2 6 1 5}$ | PE | $\mathbf{1 / 1 / 2 0 1 9}$ | FY-19 | $\mathbf{\$ 8 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |



Source: MPO Staff

TIP Map ID Number:23

TIP Map ID Number: $\mathbf{2 4}$ Sponsor: City of Prattville State Project Code: 100054292
Functional Classification: Principal Arterial
Location: East Main St from South Memorial Drive to Virginia St
Project Description: Resurface East Main St from South Memorial Drive to Virginia St

Distance: $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ miles (STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN $\mathbf{0 1 1 5}$ | CN | $\mathbf{1 0 / 3 0 / 2 0 1 6}$ | FY-16 | $\mathbf{\$ 2 2 7 , 4 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 6 , 8 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 8 4 , 3 0 5}$ |



Source: MPO Staff

TIP Map ID Number:24

TIP Map ID Number: $\mathbf{2 5}$ Sponsor: City of Prattville State Project Code: 100055242
100050962
Functional Classification: Collector
Location: McQueen Smith Rd from Cobbs Ford Rd to S. Memorial Drive (US-31)
Project Description: Intersection Improvements, Widen to 5 lanes and Resurface on McQueen Smith Rd from Cobbs Ford Rd to S. Memorial Drive (US-31)

Distance: $\mathbf{2}$ miles
Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program Montgomery
(STPMN) Funds


Source: MPO Staff

TIP Map ID Number:25

TIP Map ID Number: $\mathbf{2 6}$ Sponsor: City of Prattville State Project Code: 100057564 100057524 100063684
Functional Classification: Various

## Location: Various Streets in City of Prattville

## Project Description: Resurface on Various Streets in City of Prattville

Distance: $\mathbf{2}$ miles
(STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN 0115 | PE | 10/1/2015 | FY-16 | \$4,000 | \$1,000 | \$5,000 |
| STPMN 0115 | PE | 4/1/2019 | FY-19 | \$80,000 | \$20,000 | \$100,000 |
| STPMN 0115 | CN | 5/27/2016 | FY-16 | \$346,154 | \$86,539 | \$432,693 |



Source: MPO Staff

TIP Map ID Number:26

TIP Map ID Number: $\mathbf{2 7}$ Sponsor: City of Prattville State Project Code: 100063686
Functional Classification: Arterial
Location: SR-3 (US-31) between $6^{\text {th }}$ Street (Prattville) North to I-65
Project Description: Add turn lanes at intersections on SR-3 (US-31) between $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ Street (Prattville) North to I-65

Distance: N/A Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program Montgomery (STPMN)

## Funds



Source: MPO Staff

TIP Map ID Number: $\mathbf{2 8}$ Sponsor: City of Prattville State Project Code: 100063688
Functional Classification: Principal Arterial
Location: Intersections on SR-14 (Fairview Ave.) between SR-3 (US-31) and Jasmine Trail
Project Description: Add turn lanes at Intersections on SR-14 (Fairview Ave.) between SR-3 (US31) and Jasmine Trail

Distance: N/A Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program Montgomery (STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| $\mathbf{S T P M N} \mathbf{0 1 1 5}$ | PE | $\mathbf{4 / 1 / 2 0 1 9}$ | FY-19 | $\mathbf{\$ 2 4 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |



Source: MPO Staff

TIP Map ID Number:28

Functional Classification: Collector
Location: Company St from Logan St to East Bridge St and South Main St from East Bridge St to US-231

Project Description: Resurface and Sidewalk improvements Company St from Logan St to East Bridge St and South Main St from East Bridge St to US-231

Distance: 1.12 miles Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program Montgomery (STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN $\mathbf{2 6 1 4}$ | UT | $\mathbf{1 0 / 3 0 / 2 0 1 5}$ | FY-16 | $\mathbf{\$ 8 6 , 5 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 1 , 6 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 8 , 1 6 0}$ |
| STPMN $\mathbf{2 6 1 4}$ | CN | $\mathbf{9 / 1 / 2 0 1 7}$ | FY-17 | $\mathbf{\$ 4 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |



Source: MPO Staff
TIP Map ID Number:29

TIP Map ID Number: $\mathbf{3 0}$
Sponsor: City of Wetumpka
State Project Code: 100057626
100057627
Functional Classification: Collector
Location: Hill St from East Bridge St North to Orline St and Orline St from Hill St East to Fish St
Project Description: Resurface and Sidewalk improvements Hill St from East Bridge St North to Orline St and Orline St from Hill St East to Fish St

Distance: $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ miles (STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN 2614 | PE | $\mathbf{1 1 / 6 / 2 0 1 5}$ | FY-16 | $\$ \mathbf{5 4 , 0 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 3 , 5 0 6}$ | $\$ \mathbf{6 7 , 5 2 9}$ |
| STPMN 2614 | CN | $\mathbf{9 / 2 5 / 2 0 1 7}$ | FY-17 | $\mathbf{\$ 2 4 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |



Source: MPO Staff

TIP Map ID Number: 31 Sponsor: City of Wetumpka State Project Code: 100063568
Functional Classification: Collector
Location: Court St; East bridge St from South Main St; Company St from Hill St to Orline St, Orline St from Company St to Hill St, Hill St from Orline St to Company St

Project Description: Resurface, sidewalk improvements, landscaping and pedestrian lighting on Court St; East bridge St from South Main St; Company St from Hill St to Orline St, Orline St from Company St to Hill St, Hill St from Orline St to Company St

Distance: $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ miles (STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN $\mathbf{2 6 1 4}$ | PE | $\mathbf{1 1 / 6 / 2 0 1 5}$ | FY-16 | $\mathbf{\$ 6 5 , 9 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 6 , 4 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 8 2 , 4 1 1}$ |
| STPMN $\mathbf{2 6 1 4}$ | CN | $\mathbf{9 / 2 5 / 2 0 1 7}$ | FY-17 | $\mathbf{\$ 3 8 5 , 9 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 9 6 , 4 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 4 8 2 , 4 0 8}$ |



Source: MPO Staff
TIP Map ID Number:31

## Functional Classification: Collector

## Location: Deatsville Highway (CR-7) from SR-14 North to Legacy Park (End of Millbrook City Limits)

Project Description: Milling, resurface, improve drainage and traffic stripe on Deatsville Highway (CR-7) from SR-14 North to Legacy park (End of Millbrook City Limits)

Distance: $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ miles (STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN $\mathbf{2 6 1 4}$ | PE | $\mathbf{1 1 / 6 / 2 0 1 5}$ | FY-16 | $\mathbf{\$ 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 0 0}$ |
| STPMN $\mathbf{2 6 1 4}$ | CN | $\mathbf{9 / 2 5 / 2 0 1 7}$ | FY-17 | $\mathbf{\$ 4 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |



Source: MPO Staff

TIP Map ID Number: 33 Sponsor: City of Millbrook State Project Code: 100064613
100064614

## Functional Classification: Collector

Location: Browns Rd from SR-143 (Main Street) North to SR-14
Project Description: Bike Lanes, Intersection Improvements, Widen, Level, Resurface, Improve Drainage and Traffic stripe on Browns Rd from SR-143 (Main Street) North to SR-14

Distance: $\mathbf{0 . 7 6}$ miles (STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN $\mathbf{2 6 1 4}$ | PE | $\mathbf{1 0 / 1 / 2 0 1 5}$ | FY-16 | $\mathbf{\$ 8 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| STPMN $\mathbf{2 6 1 4}$ | CN | $\mathbf{5 / 3 0 / 2 0 1 6}$ | FY-16 | $\mathbf{\$ 3 0 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 7 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 8 0 , 0 0 0}$ |



Source: MPO Staff

TIP Map ID Number: $\mathbf{3 4}$
Sponsor: Montgomery Co Comm State Project Code: 100057526
Functional Classification: Collector Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan: N/A

## Location: CR-85 (Pike Rd) from Wallahatchie Rd (CR-84) to US-80

Project Description: Widen Level Resurface and Traffic Stripe on CR-85 (Pike Rd) from Wallahatchie Rd (CR-84) to US-80

Distance: 5.8 miles (STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN $\mathbf{2 6 1 4}$ | CN | $\mathbf{1 1 / 6 / 2 0 1 5}$ | FY-16 | $\mathbf{\$ 8 8 1 , 8 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 2 0 , 4 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 1 0 2 , \mathbf { 3 0 0 }}$ |



Source: MPO Staff

TIP Map ID Number:34

TIP Map ID Number: $\mathbf{3 5}$ Sponsor: Mont. Co Comm/City of Mont. State Project Code: 100062338
Functional Classification: Minor Arterial
Location: Vaughn Rd (CR-626) from Taylor Rd to Chantilly Parkway (SR-110)
Project Description: Feasibilty Study for Pedestrian Facilites on Vaughn Rd (CR-626) from Taylor Rd to Chantilly Parkway (SR-110)

Distance: 4.4 miles (STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL



Source: MPO Staff

TIP Map ID Number: 36 Sponsor: Mont. Co Comm.

## Functional Classification: Minor Arterial

## Location: Hyundai Boulevard (CR-42) from US-31 to US-331

Project Description: Resurfacing and traffic stripe on Hyundai Boulevard (CR-42) from US-31 to US-331

Distance: 2.7 miles Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program Montgomery (STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN $\mathbf{7 9 0 3}$ | PE | $\mathbf{1 / 1 / 2 0 1 9}$ | FY-19 | $\$ 4,000$ | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 5,000$ |
| STPMN $\mathbf{7 9 0 3}$ | CN | $\mathbf{4 / 3 0 / 2 0 1 9}$ | FY-19 | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 2 7 9 , 2 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 1 9 , 8 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 5 9 9 , 1 0 0}$ |



Source: MPO Staff

Functional Classification: Collector
Location: Anderson Rd/Lower Wetumpka Rd (CR 74\&75) from the Montgomery City Limits to US--231

Project Description: Safety Widening, Pave Shoulder, Resurfacing and Traffic Stripe on Anderson Rd/Lower Wetumpka Rd (CR 74\&75) from the Montgomery City Limits to US--231

Distance: $\mathbf{5 . 1}$ miles (STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL



Source: MPO Staff

TIP Map ID Number:37

TIP Map ID Number: 38
Sponsor: Autauga Co Comm.
State Project Code: 100064369
100064370
Functional Classification: Collector

## Location: CR-80 from Prattville City Limits North to CR-85

Project Description: Resurfacing and traffic stripe on CR-80 from Prattville City Limits North to CR-85

Distance: $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ miles (STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| STPMN | PE | $\mathbf{1 1 / 1 7 / 2 0 1 5}$ | FY-16 | $\$ 4,000$ | $\mathbf{4 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$ 5,000$ |
| STPMN | CN | $\mathbf{3 / 2 5 / 2 0 1 6}$ | FY-16 | $\mathbf{\$ 1 7 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 4 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 2 0 , 0 0 0}$ |



Source: MPO Staff

TIP Map ID Number:38

TIP Map ID Number: 39 Sponsor: Autauga Co Comm.

Functional Classification: Minor Arterial

## Location: CR-23 from Prattville City Limits to SR-31

Project Description: Safety Widening, pave shoulders, and traffic stripe on CR-23 from Prattville City Limits to SR-31

Distance: $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ miles Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program Montgomery (STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| NSTPMN | PE | $\mathbf{1 1 / 1 7 / 2 0 1 6}$ | FY-17 | $\mathbf{\$ 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$ 5,000$ |
| STPMN | CN | $\mathbf{6 / 3 0 / 2 0 1 7}$ | FY-17 | $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ |



Source: MPO Staff

TIP Map ID Number: 39

TIP Map ID Number: 40 Sponsor: Autauga Co Comm.

Functional Classification: Collector
Location: CR-85 from SR-3 (US-31) to CR-40
Project Description: Safety Widening, pave shoulders, and traffic stripe on CR-85 from SR-3 (US31) to CR-40

Distance: $\mathbf{4 . 2 4}$ miles (STPMN) Funds

| FEDERAL |  |  | PROJECT |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT | PROJECT | START | FISCAL | FEDERAL | LOCAL | TOTAL |
| NUMBER: | SCOPE: | DATE: | YEAR | FUNDS: | MATCH: | FUNDS: |
| NTPMN | PE | $\mathbf{1 1 / 1 7 / 2 0 1 7}$ | FY-18 | $\mathbf{\$ 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$ 5,000$ |
| STPMN | CN | $\mathbf{7 / 3 0 / 2 0 1 7}$ | FY-18 | $\mathbf{\$ 5 1 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 8 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 4 0 , 0 0 0}$ |



Source: MPO Staff

TIP Map ID Number: 40

### 2.4.2 Other Surface Transportation Program Projects

| Sponsor: | ALDOT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Family ID | Project Number (FANBR) | Project Description | Project Length (miles) | SCP | STS | Project Type | FY | Map ID | Project Priority | Conform Year | Federal State Other | Estimated Total Cost |
| 32108 | $\begin{gathered} 100064189 \\ \text { STPAA } \\ 0014(\quad) \end{gathered}$ | ADD LANE SR-14 FROM 0.5 MILE WEST CR3 (INGRAM RD) TO CR-153 (COOSADA PKWY) | 2.87 | RW | P | ADDITIONAL ROADWAY LANES | 2019 | 0.000 | EXEMPT |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,497,450 \\ & \$ 624,362 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | \$3,121,812 |
| 39146 | $\begin{array}{r} 100056610 \\ \text { STPAA-HSIP } \\ 0111 \\ (501) \end{array}$ | RESURFACING AND SAFETY WIDENING ON SR-111 FROM HOGAN ROAD TO SR-143 | 5.52 | FM | P | WIDENING \& RESURFACING (RDWY) | 2016 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 961,577 \\ & \$ 240,394 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | \$1,201,971 |
| 25176 | $\begin{aligned} & 100044976 \\ & \text { STPAA } \\ & 0014(509) \end{aligned}$ | SR-14 INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT AT SR-143 IN ELMORE (CSX RR) INCLUDES BRIDGES | 1.33 | CN | P | INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS | 2016 | 64.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 14,566,856 \\ & \$ 3,641,714 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | \$18,208,571 |
| 32108 | $\begin{aligned} & 100054493 \\ & \text { STPAA } \\ & 0014(\quad) \end{aligned}$ | ADD LANE SR-14 FROM 0.5 MILE WEST CR3 (INGRAM RD) TO CR-153 (COOSADA PKWY) | 2.87 | PE | P | ADDITIONAL ROADWAY LANES | 2019 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 665,987 \\ & \$ 166,497 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | \$832,483 |
| 39139 | $\begin{array}{r} 100053644 \\ \text { STPAA-HSIP } \\ 0014 \\ (530) \end{array}$ | RESURFACE \& 2' PAVEMENT WIDENING ON SR-14 FROM EASTERN CITY LIMITS OF AUTAUGAVILLE TO SR-6 (US-82) | 9.02 | FM | P | WIDENING \& RESURFACING (RDWY) | 2017 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,653,930 \\ & \$ 663,483 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | \$3,317,413 |
| 39139 | $\begin{array}{r} 100061514 \\ \text { STPAA-HSIP } \\ 0126 \\ (500) \end{array}$ | RESURFACE AND 2' SAFETY WIDENING SR126 FROM THE JCT OF ATLANTA HIGHWAY TO THE JCT OF CHANTILLY PARKWAY. EXCLUDING THE MONTGOMERY OUTER LOOP BASE AND PAVE PROJECT LIMITS | 10.74 | FM | P | WIDENING \& RESURFACING (RDWY) | 2016 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 472,049 \\ & \$ 118,012 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | \$590,062 |
| 39139 | $\begin{array}{r} 100061515 \\ \text { STPAA-HSIP } \\ 0293 \\ (500) \end{array}$ | RESURFACE AND 2' SAFETY WIDENING SR293 FROM SR-110 TO SR-126 | 2.50 | FM | P | WIDENING \& RESURFACING (RDWY) | 2016 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 346,077 \\ & \$ 86,519 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | \$432,597 |
| Totals By Sponsor |  |  |  |  |  | Federal |  | \$22,163,926 |  |  | ALL Funds | \$27,704,907 |
| Sponsor: AUTAUGA COUNTY COMMISSION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project <br> Family ID | Project Number (FANBR) | Project Description | Project Length (miles) | SCP | STS | Project Type | FY | Map ID | Project Priority | Conform Year | Federal State Other | Estimated <br> Total <br> Cost |
| 34944 | $\begin{gathered} 100061035 \\ \text { ACBR61035 } \\ \text { ATRP } \\ (009) \end{gathered}$ | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT ON CR-85 OVER PINE LEVEL BRANCH BIN \# 10077 (SUFF 19.3) | 0.00 | CN | P | BRIDGE <br> REPLACEMENT | 2016 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 360,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 90,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$450,000 |
| Totals By Sponsor |  |  |  |  |  | Federal |  | \$360,000 |  |  | ALL Funds | \$450,000 |
| Sponsor: CITY OF MONTGOMERY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Family ID | Project Number (FANBR) | Project Description | Project Length (miles) | SCP | STS | Project Type | FY | Map ID | Project Priority | Conform Year | Federal State Other | Estimated <br> Total <br> Cost |
| 27010 | $\begin{aligned} & 100053059 \\ & \text { STPAA } \\ & 7733(601) \end{aligned}$ | WIDEN \& RESURFACE ANN STREET FROM BREWTON ST. AND REALIGN TO FEDERAL DRIVE (PHASE 1) | 0.22 | CN | P | GRADE, DRAIN, BASE AND PAVE | 2019 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,860,600 \\ & \$ 465,150 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | \$2,325,750 |

### 2.4.2 Other Surface Transportation Program Projects

| 34944 | $\begin{gathered} 100058356 \\ \text { ACAA58356 } \\ \text { ATRP } \\ (007) \end{gathered}$ | RESURFACE, CURB AND GUTTER, INLET CONVERSION, STRIPING AND SIDWALKS ON CR-232 (CARTER HILL ROAD) FROM DECATUR STREET TO COLLEGE STREET | 0.80 | CN | P | GRADE, DRAIN, BASE AND PAVE | 2016 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,893,261 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 473,315 \end{aligned}$ | \$2,366,577 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34944 | $\begin{gathered} 100059590 \\ \text { ACAA59590 } \\ \text { ATRP } \\ (011) \end{gathered}$ | RESURFACE CR-626 (VAUGHN ROAD) FROM SR-271 (TAYLOR ROAD) TO SR-110 (CHANTILLY PARKWAY) | 4.40 | CN | P | RESURFACING | 2016 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3,043,200 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 760,800 \end{aligned}$ | \$3,804,000 |
| 34944 | $\begin{gathered} 100061048 \\ \text { ACAA61048 } \\ \text { ATRP } \\ (003) \end{gathered}$ | RESURFACE CR-233 (FAIRVIEW AVENUE) FROM 0.1 MILES SOUTH OF AIRBASE BOULEVARD TO I-65 | 1.21 | CN | P | RESURFACING | 2016 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,127,920 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 281,980 \end{aligned}$ | \$1,409,900 |
| 34944 | $\begin{gathered} 100061053 \\ \text { ACAA61053 } \\ \text { ATRP } \\ (017) \end{gathered}$ | RESURFACE WARES FERRY ROAD (CR-64) FROM MONTGOMERY CITY LIMITS EAST TO SR-126 (US-80) AT MT. MEIGS | 6.02 | CN | P | RESURFACING | 2016 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,080,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 270,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$1,350,000 |
| 34944 | $\begin{gathered} 100061038 \\ \text { ACBR61038 } \\ \text { ATRP } \\ (007) \end{gathered}$ | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT ON CR-85 OVER SOUTH MORTAR CREEK AND MORTAR CREEK (BIN \# 9760 SUFF 24.9 \& BIN \# 9761, SUFF 25) | 0.00 | CN | P | BRIDGE <br> REPLACEMENT | 2016 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 720,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 180,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$900,000 |
| Totals By Sponsor |  |  |  |  |  | Federal |  | \$9,724,981 |  |  | ALL Funds | \$12,156,226 |
| Sponsor: CITY OF PRATTVILLE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project <br> Family ID | Project Number (FANBR) | Project Description | Project Length (miles) | SCP | STS | Project Type | FY | Map ID | Project Priority | Conform Year | Federal State Other | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Estimated } \\ & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Cost } \end{aligned}$ |
| 34944 | $\begin{gathered} 100061024 \\ \text { ACAA61024 } \\ \text { ATRP } \\ (009) \end{gathered}$ | ADAPTIVE SIGNAL CONTROL TECHNOLOGY FOR COBBS FORD ROAD FROM I-65 TO SHADY OAKS LANE (11 INTERSECTIONS) | 2.78 | CN | P | SIGNALIZATION | 2016 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 100,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$500,000 |
| Totals By Sponsor |  |  |  |  |  | Federal |  | \$400,000 |  |  | ALL Funds | \$500,000 |
| Sponsor: MONTGOMERY COUNTY COMMISSION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Family ID | Project Number (FANBR) | Project Description | Project Length (miles) | SCP | STS | Project Type | FY | Map ID | Project Priority | Conform Year | Federal State Other | Estimated Total Cost |
| 34944 | $\begin{gathered} 100059590 \\ \text { ACAA59590 } \\ \text { ATRP } \\ (011) \end{gathered}$ | RESURFACE CR-626 (VAUGHN ROAD) FROM SR-271 (TAYLOR ROAD) TO SR-110 (CHANTILLY PARKWAY) | 4.40 | CN | P | RESURFACING | 2016 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3,043,200 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 760,800 \end{aligned}$ | \$3,804,000 |
| Totals By Sponsor |  |  |  |  |  | Federal |  | \$3,043,200 |  |  | ALL Funds | \$3,804,000 |

### 2.4.3 NHS / Interstate Maintenance / NHS Bridge Projects

| Sponsor: | ALDOT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Family ID | Project Number (FANBR) | Project Description | Project Length (miles) | SCP | STS | Project Type | FY | Map ID | Project <br> Priority | Conform Year | Federal State Other | Estimated Total Cost |
| 1351 | 100004312 BR 0102 ( ) | US-231 @ JENKINS CR(NB RDWY)BIN \#000735 STR \#6-51-17.5 | 0.01 | CN | P | BRIDGES AND APPROACHES | 2019 | 0.000 |  | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,383,748 \\ & \$ 595,937 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | \$2,979,685 |
| 1351 | 100004316 BR 0102 (508) | US-231 @ JENKINS CR(NB RDWY)BIN \#000735 STR \#6-51-17.5 | 0.01 | PE | P | BRIDGES AND APPROACHES | 2016 | 0.000 |  | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 166,100 \\ & \$ 41,525 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | \$207,625 |
| 1351 | $\begin{gathered} 100004317 \text { BR } \\ 0102(\mathrm{l} \end{gathered}$ | US-231 @ JENKINS CR(NB RDWY)BIN \#000735 STR \#6-51-17.5 | 0.01 | RW | P | BRIDGES AND APPROACHES | 2018 | 0.000 |  | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 56,193 \\ & \$ 14,048 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | \$70,241 |
| 1351 | 100038170 BR 0102 ( ) | SR-6 @ JENKINS CK(NB LANE)BIN \#000735 STR \#6-51-17.5 | 0.01 | UT | P | BRIDGES AND APPROACHES | 2019 | 0.000 |  | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 56,756 \\ & \$ 14,189 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | \$70,945 |
| 28867 | 100050029 BR 0008 (562) | REPLACE BRIDGE, BIN 002922, SR-8 (US80) OVER PINTLALA CREEK. (SUFF=51.8, STATUS=FO) | 0.40 | CN | P | BRIDGE <br> REPLACEMENT | 2016 | 0.000 |  | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3,317,411 \\ & \$ 829,353 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | \$4,146,764 |
| 35679 | $\begin{aligned} & 100059252 \\ & \text { BRF } \\ & { }_{1085(~)} \end{aligned}$ | BRIDGE WIDENING ON I-85 OVER LINE CREEK (INCLUDING TWO RELIEF BRIDGES) BIN \# 7555,7557,7567 | 0.48 | PE | P | BRIDGE WIDENING | 2019 | 0.000 |  | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 308,297 \\ & \$ 77,074 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | \$385,371 |
| 37932 | 100062113 BR 0006 ( ) | BRIDGE CULVERT REPLACEMENT ON SR-6 (US-82) (PRATTVILLE BYPASS) OVER PINE CREEK BIN \# 5805 | 0.43 | CN | P | BRIDGES AND APPROACHES | 2017 | 0.000 | EXEMPT | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,699,794 \\ & \$ 424,949 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | \$2,124,743 |
| 31828 | 100054175 IM I065 (406) | BRIDGE REPAIR ON I-65 (RELIEF BRIDGES 6 (BIN \# 10703), 7 (BIN \# 10705), \& 8 (BIN \# 10707) NB)(INCLUDES GIRDERS,CAPS,\& BEARING PADS) | 1.59 | CN | P | BRIDGE <br> MAINTENANCE | 2016 | 0.000 |  | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5,070,444 \\ & \$ 563,383 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | \$5,633,827 |
| 31927 | 100054300 IM I065 (407) | SIGN REHABILITATION ALONG I-65 FROM CONECUH CL THROUGH BUTLER, LOWNDES, MONTGOMERY, ELMORE, AND AUTAUGA TO CHILTON CL. | 92.47 | CN | P | SIGNING | 2018 | 0.000 |  | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3,502,155 \\ & \$ 389,128 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | \$3,891,283 |
| 31929 | 100054302 IM 1085 (333) | SIGN REHABILITATION ALONG I-85 FROM I65 THROUGH MONTGOMERY AND MACON COUNTY TO LEE COUNTY LINE | 47.46 | CN | P | SIGNING | 2018 | 0.000 |  | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,485,400 \\ & \$ 276,156 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | \$2,761,556 |
| 32632 | 100055200 IM 1065 (450) | RESURFACE I-65 FROM NORTH OF PINTLALA CREEK TO CATOMA CREEK | 5.60 | CN | P | RESURFACING | 2016 | 0.000 |  | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,656,669 \\ & \$ 184,074 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | \$1,840,743 |
| 32634 | 100055202 IM I065 (449) | RESURFACE I-65 FROM ELMORE COUNTY LINE TO SOUTH OF US-31 INTERCHANGE | 4.42 | CN | P | RESURFACING | 2016 | 0.000 |  | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,727,100 \\ & \$ 191,900 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | \$1,919,000 |
| 32638 | 100055206 IM 1065 ( ) | RESURFACE I-65 FROM 1 MILE SOUTH CR61 OVERPASS TO CHILTON COUNTY LINE | 8.02 | CN | P | RESURFACING | 2017 | 0.000 |  | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 7,678,715 \\ & \$ 853,191 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | \$8,531,906 |
| 32738 | 100055339 IM I065 (418) | INTERCHANGE LIGHTING ON I-65 @ SR-14 (EXIT 181) | 1.20 | CN | P | LIGHTING | 2018 | 0.000 |  | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,099,734 \\ & \$ 122,193 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | \$1,221,927 |

### 2.4.3 NHS / Interstate Maintenance / NHS Bridge Projects



### 2.4.4 Appalachian Highway System Projects

| Sponsor: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Family ID | Project Number (FANBR) | Project Description | Project SC Length (miles) | STS Project Type | FY | Map ID | Project <br> Priority | Conform Year | Federal State Other | Estimated <br> Total <br> Cost |

No Records Found

### 2.4.5 Transportation Alternatives

| Sponsor: CITY OF PRATTVILLE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project <br> Family ID | Project Number (FANBR) | Project Description | Project Length (miles) | SCP | STS | Project Type | FY | Map ID | Project Priority | Conform Year | Federal State Other | Estimated <br> Total <br> Cost |
| 38206 | 100062517 TAPMN TA14 $(902)$ | PEDESTRIAN WALKWAY-COOTERS POND PARK AT THE ALABAMA RIVER IN PRATTVILLE. | 0.00 | CN | P | SIDEWALK | 2016 | 0.000 | EXEMPT |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 194,688 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 48,672 \end{aligned}$ | \$243,360 |
| Totals By Sponsor |  |  |  |  |  | Federal |  | \$194,688 |  |  | ALL Funds | \$243,360 |
| Sponsor: CITY OF WETUMPKA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project <br> Family ID | Project Number (FANBR) | Project Description | Project Length (miles) | SCP | STS | Project Type | FY | Map ID | Project <br> Priority | Conform Year | Federal State Other | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Estimated } \\ & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Cost } \end{aligned}$ |
| 37511 | $\begin{aligned} & 100061624 \\ & \text { TAPAA } \\ & \text { TA13 (912) } \end{aligned}$ | COMMERCIAL BUSINESS DISTRICT REVITALIZATION AREA PEDESTRIAN CONNECTOR IN THE CITY OF WETUMPKA ON EAST BRIDGE STREET AND THE HILL, ORLINE AND COMPANY STREET INTERSECTION. | 0.00 | CN | P | UNCLASSIFIED | 2016 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 377,200 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 94,300 \end{aligned}$ | \$471,500 |
| 39935 | 100064605 TAPMN TA15 $(948)$ | MAPLE STREET SIDEWALK-HISTORIC DISTRICT IMPROVEMENTS FROM THE INTERSECTION OF SELMA HIGHWAY TO INTERSECTION WITH BRIDGE STREET IN THE CITY OF PRATTVILLE | 0.00 | CN | P | SIDEWALK | 2017 | 0.000 | EXEMPT |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 199,732 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 49,933 \end{aligned}$ | \$249,665 |
| 39940 | $\begin{gathered} 100064615 \\ \text { TAPMN } \\ \text { TA15 } \\ (949) \end{gathered}$ | DOWNTOWN COMMERCIAL BUSINESS DISTRICT STREETSCAPE PHASE I, ON SOUTH MAIN STREET IN THE CITY OF WETUMPKA. | 0.00 | CN | P | STREETSCAPE | 2017 | 0.000 | EXEMPT |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 200,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 50,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$250,000 |
| Totals By Sponsor |  |  |  |  |  | Federal |  | \$776,932 |  |  | ALL Funds | \$971,165 |
| Sponsor: TOWN OF PIKE ROAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Family ID | Project Number (FANBR) | Project Description | Project Length (miles) | SCP | STS | Project Type | FY | Map ID | Project <br> Priority | Conform Year | Federal State Other | Estimated <br> Total Cost |
| 38205 | $\begin{gathered} 100062516 \\ \text { TAPMN } \\ \text { TA14 } \\ (903) \end{gathered}$ | PIKE ROAD NATURE TRAIL, <br> WALLAHATCHIE ROAD PORTION IN THE TOWN OF PIKE ROAD. THIS PROJECT IS LOCATED ON WALLAHATCHIE ROAD AND CONNECTS WITH SR-110. | 0.00 | CN | P | UNCLASSIFIED | 2016 | 0.000 | EXEMPT |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,570 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 25,142 \end{aligned}$ | \$125,712 |
| 39102 | 100063542 TAPMN TA14 $(901)$ | PIKE ROAD MULTI-PURPOSE NATURE TRAIL, WALLAHATCHIE ROAD PORTION 2 IN PIKE ROAD. | 0.00 | CN | P | UNCLASSIFIED | 2017 | 0.000 | EXEMPT |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 197,619 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 49,405 \end{aligned}$ | \$247,024 |
| 39936 | 100064609 TAPMN TA15 $(951)$ | PIKE ROAD NATURAL TRAIL ON MARLER ROAD NORTH, WAUGH TOWN CENTER CONNECTOR, PART 2 (B) IN THE TOWN OF PIKE ROAD. | 0.00 | CN | P | UNCLASSIFIED | 2017 | 0.000 | EXEMPT |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 183,131 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 45,783 \end{aligned}$ | \$228,914 |

### 2.4.5 Transportation Alternatives

| 39937 | $\begin{gathered} 100064610 \\ \text { TAPMN } \\ \text { TA15 } \\ (950) \end{gathered}$ | PIKE ROAD NATURAL TRAIL ON MARLER ROAD NORTH, WAUGH TOWN CENTER CONNECTOR, PART 1 (A) IN THE TOWN OF PIKE ROAD. | 0.00 | CN | P | UNCLASSIFIED | 2017 | 0.000 | EXEMPT | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 184,529 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 46,132 \end{aligned}$ | \$230,661 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

### 2.4.6 Bridge Projects (State and Federal)

| Sponsor: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Family ID | Project Number (FANBR) | Project Description | Project SC Length (miles) | STS | Project Type | FY | Map ID | Project Priority | Conform Year | Federal State Other | Estimated Total Cost |

No Records Found

### 2.4.7 State Funded Projects

| Sponsor: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Family ID | Project Number (FANBR) | Project Description | Project SC Length (miles) | STS | Project Type | FY | Map ID | Project Priority | Conform Year | Federal State Other | Estimated Total Cost |

No Records Found

### 2.4.8 Enhancement Projects



No Records Found

### 2.4.9 Transit Projects

| Project <br> Family ID | Project Number (FANBR) | Project Description | Project Length (miles) | SCP | STS | Project Type | FY | Map ID | Project Priority | Conform Year | Federal State Other | Estimated Total Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28446 | $\begin{gathered} 100049523 \\ \text { FTA3C } \\ \text { TR39 ( ) } \end{gathered}$ | MONTGOMERY TRANSIT SECTION 5339 BUS AND BUS FACILITY FOR APPR FY 2016 | 0.00 | TR | P | UNCLASSIFIED | 2016 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 278,400 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 69,600 \end{aligned}$ | \$348,000 |
| 28447 | $\begin{gathered} 100049524 \\ \text { FTA3C } \\ \text { TR39 ( ) } \end{gathered}$ | MONTGOMERY TRANSIT SECTION 5339 BUS AND BUS FACILITY FOR APPR FY 2017 | 0.00 | TR | P | UNCLASSIFIED | 2017 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 264,800 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 66,200 \end{aligned}$ | \$331,000 |
| 31412 | $\begin{gathered} 100053592 \\ \text { FTA3C } \\ \text { TR39 ( ) } \end{gathered}$ | MONTGOMERY TRANSIT SECTION 5339 BUS AND BUS FACILITY FOR APPR FY 2018 | 0.00 | TR | P | UNCLASSIFIED | 2018 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 280,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 70,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$350,000 |
| 31413 | $\begin{gathered} 100053593 \\ \text { FTA3C } \\ \text { TR39 ( ) } \end{gathered}$ | MONTGOMERY TRANSIT SECTION 5339 BUS AND BUS FACILITY FOR APPR FY 2019 | 0.00 | TR | P | UNCLASSIFIED | 2019 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 224,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 56,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$280,000 |
| 28438 | $\begin{gathered} 100049515 \\ \text { FTA9 } \\ \text { TR07 ( ) } \end{gathered}$ | MONTGOMERY TRANSIT SECTION 5307 OPERATING FOR APPR FY 2016 | 0.00 | TR | P | UNCLASSIFIED | 2016 | 95.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,935,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 2,935,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$5,870,000 |
| 28439 | $\begin{aligned} & 100049516 \\ & \text { FTA9 } \\ & \text { TR07 ( ) } \end{aligned}$ | MONTGOMERY TRANSIT SECTION 5307 OPERATING FOR APPR FY 2017 | 0.00 | TR | P | UNCLASSIFIED | 2017 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3,320,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 3,320,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$6,640,000 |
| 28440 | $\begin{aligned} & 100049517 \\ & \text { FTA9 } \\ & \text { TR07 ( ) } \end{aligned}$ | MONTGOMERY TRANSIT SECTION 5307 OPERATING FOR APPR FY 2018 | 0.00 | TR | P | UNCLASSIFIED | 2018 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3,438,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 3,438,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$6,876,000 |
| 28448 | $\begin{aligned} & 100049525 \\ & \text { FTA9 } \\ & \quad \text { TR07 ( ) } \end{aligned}$ | MONTGOMEERY TRANSIT SECTION 5307 PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE FOR APPR FY 2016 | 0.00 | TR | P | UNCLASSIFIED | 2016 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 649,600 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 162,400 \end{aligned}$ | \$812,000 |
| 28449 | $\begin{gathered} 100049526 \\ \text { FTA9 } \\ \text { TR07 ( ) } \end{gathered}$ | MONTGOMERY TRANSIT SECTION 5307 PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE FOR APPR FY 2017 | 0.00 | TR | P | UNCLASSIFIED | 2017 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 720,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 180,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$900,000 |
| 28450 | $\begin{gathered} 100049527 \\ \text { FTA9 } \\ \text { TR07 ( ) } \end{gathered}$ | MONTGOMERY TRANSIT SECTION 5307 <br> PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE FOR APPR FY $2018$ | 0.00 | TR | P | UNCLASSIFIED | 2018 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 749,600 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 187,400 \end{aligned}$ | \$937,000 |
| 28451 | $\begin{aligned} & 100049528 \\ & \text { FTA9 } \\ & \text { TR09 ( ) } \end{aligned}$ | MONTGOMERY TRANSIT SECTION 5307 ADA PARATRANSIT FOR APPR FY 2016 | 0.00 | TR | P | UNCLASSIFIED | 2016 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 240,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 60,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \$300,000 |
| 28452 | $\begin{gathered} 100049529 \\ \text { FTA9 } \\ \text { TR07 ( ) } \end{gathered}$ | MONTGOMERY TRANSIT SECTION 5307 ADA PARATRANSIT FOR APPR FY 2017 | 0.00 | TR | P | UNCLASSIFIED | 2017 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 240,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 60,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$300,000 |
| 28453 | $\begin{aligned} & 100049530 \\ & \text { FTA9 } \\ & \text { TR07 ( ) } \end{aligned}$ | MONTGOMERY TRANSIT SECTION 5307 ADA PARATRANSIT FOR APPR FY 2018 | 0.00 | TR | P | UNCLASSIFIED | 2018 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 239,999 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 60,001 \end{aligned}$ | \$300,000 |
| 28442 | $\begin{gathered} 100049519 \\ \text { FTA9C } \\ \text { TR07 ( ) } \end{gathered}$ | MONTGOMERY TRANSIT SECTION 5307 OPERATING FOR APPR FY 2019 | 0.00 | TR | P | UNCLASSIFIED | 2019 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5,566,400 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 1,391,600 \end{aligned}$ | \$6,958,000 |
| 28941 | $\begin{gathered} 100050125 \\ \text { FTA9C } \\ \text { TR07 ( ) } \end{gathered}$ | MONTGOMERY TRANSIT SECTION 5307 ADA PARATRANSIT FOR APPR FY 2019 | 0.00 | TR | P | UNCLASSIFIED | 2019 | 100.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 240,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 60,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$300,000 |

### 2.4.9 Transit Projects

| 28944 | $\begin{gathered} 100050128 \\ \text { FTA9C } \\ \text { TR07 ( ) } \end{gathered}$ | MONTGOMERY TRANSIT SECTION 5307 <br> PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE FOR APPR FY 2019 | 0.00 | TR | P | UNCLASSIFIED | 2019 | 94.000 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 720,000 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 180,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$900,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39860 | $\begin{gathered} 100064524 \\ \text { UMTA } \\ \text { TR16( ) } \end{gathered}$ | SECTION 5310 CITY OF MONTGOMERY - <br> THE M TRANSIT, (FY 2013 <br> APPORTIONMENT) | 0.00 | TR | P | UNCLASSIFIED | 2016 | 0.000 | EXEMPT | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 204,800 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 51,200 \end{aligned}$ | \$256,000 |
| 39862 | $\begin{gathered} 100064526 \\ \text { UMTA } \\ \text { TR16 ( ) } \end{gathered}$ | SECTION 5310 CITY OF MONTGOMERY - <br> THE M TRANSIT, (FY 2014 <br> APPORTIONMENT) | 0.00 | TR | P | UNCLASSIFIED | 2016 | 0.000 | EXEMPT | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 243,200 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 60,800 \end{aligned}$ | \$304,000 |
| 39863 | $\begin{gathered} 100064527 \\ \text { UMTA } \\ \text { TR16 ( ) } \end{gathered}$ | SECTION 5310 CITY OF MONTGOMERY THE M TRANSIT, (FY 2015 APPORTIONMENT) | 0.00 | TR | P | UNCLASSIFIED | 2016 | 0.000 | EXEMPT | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 243,200 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 60,800 \end{aligned}$ | \$304,000 |
| 39865 | $\begin{gathered} 100064529 \\ \text { UMTA } \\ \text { TR16 ( ) } \end{gathered}$ | SECTION 5310 CITY OF MONTGOMERY - <br> THE M TRANSIT, (FY 2016 <br> APPORTIONMENT) | 0.00 | TR | P | UNCLASSIFIED | 2016 | 0.000 | EXEMPT | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 204,800 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 51,200 \end{aligned}$ | \$256,000 |
| 39866 | $\begin{gathered} 100064530 \\ \text { UMTA } \\ \text { TR17 ( ) } \end{gathered}$ | SECTION 5310 CITY OF MONTGOMERY THE M TRANSIT, (FY 2017 APPORTIONMENT) | 0.00 | TR | P | UNCLASSIFIED | 2017 | 0.000 | EXEMPT | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 243,200 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 60,800 \end{aligned}$ | \$304,000 |
| 39867 | $\begin{gathered} 100064531 \\ \text { UMTA } \\ \text { TR18 ( ) } \end{gathered}$ | SECTION 5310 CITY OF MONTGOMERY - <br> THE M TRANSIT, (FY 2018 <br> APPORTIONMENT) | 0.00 | TR | P | UNCLASSIFIED | 2018 | 0.000 | EXEMPT | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 243,200 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 60,800 \end{aligned}$ | \$304,000 |
| 39869 | $\begin{gathered} 100064533 \\ \text { UMTA } \\ \text { TR19 ( ) } \end{gathered}$ | SECTION 5310 CITY OF MONTGOMERY - <br> THE M TRANSIT, (FY 2019 <br> APPORTIONMENT) | 0.00 | TR | P | UNCLASSIFIED | 2019 | 0.000 | EXEMPT | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 204,800 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 51,200 \end{aligned}$ | \$256,000 |
| Totals By Sponsor |  |  |  |  |  | Federal |  | \$21,692,999 |  | ALL Funds \$ $34,386,000$ |  |

### 2.4.10 System Maintenance Projects

| Sponsor: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Family ID | Project Number (FANBR) | Project Description | Project SCP Length (miles) | STS Project Type | FY | Map ID | Project Priority | Conform Year | Federal State Other | Estimated Total Cost |

No Records Found

### 2.4.11 Safety Projects

| Sponsor: | ALDOT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Family ID | Project Number (FANBR) | Project Description | Project Length (miles) | SCP | STS | Project Type | FY | Map ID | Project Priority | Conform Year | Federal State Other | Estimated <br> Total <br> Cost |
| 39139 | $\begin{array}{r} 100053644 \\ \text { STPAA-HSIP } \\ 0014 \\ (530) \end{array}$ | RESURFACE \& 2' PAVEMENT WIDENING ON SR-14 FROM EASTERN CITY LIMITS OF AUTAUGAVILLE TO SR-6 (US-82) | 9.02 | FM | P | WIDENING \& RESURFACING (RDWY) | 2017 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 510,446 \\ & \$ 56,716 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | \$567,162 |
| 39139 | $\begin{array}{r} 100061514 \\ \text { STPAA-HSIP } \\ 0126 \\ (500) \end{array}$ | RESURFACE AND 2' SAFETY WIDENING SR126 FROM THE JCT OF ATLANTA HIGHWAY TO THE JCT OF CHANTILLY PARKWAY. EXCLUDING THE MONTGOMERY OUTER LOOP BASE AND PAVE PROJECT LIMITS | 10.74 | FM | P | WIDENING \& RESURFACING (RDWY) | 2016 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 59,006 \\ & \$ 6,556 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | \$65,562 |
| 39139 | $\begin{array}{r} 100061515 \\ \text { STPAA-HSIP } \\ 0293 \\ (500) \end{array}$ | RESURFACE AND 2' SAFETY WIDENING SR293 FROM SR-110 TO SR-126 | 2.50 | FM | P | WIDENING \& RESURFACING (RDWY) | 2016 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 43,260 \\ & \$ 4,807 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | \$48,066 |
| 39146 | $\begin{array}{r} 100056610 \\ \text { STPAA-HSIP } \\ 0111 \\ (501) \end{array}$ | RESURFACING AND SAFETY WIDENING ON SR-111 FROM HOGAN ROAD TO SR-143 | 5.52 | FM | P | WIDENING \& RESURFACING (RDWY) | 2016 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 120,197 \\ & \$ 13,355 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | \$133,552 |
| Totals By Sponsor |  |  |  |  |  | Federal |  | \$732,909 |  |  | ALL Funds \$814,343 |  |

### 2.4.12 Other Federal and State Aid Projects

| Sponsor: | CITY OF MON | GOMERY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Family ID | Project Number (FANBR) | Project Description | Project Length (miles) |  | STS | Project Type | FY | Map ID | Project <br> Priority | Conform Year | Federal State Other | Estimated Total Cost |
| 38209 | $\begin{aligned} & 100062522 \\ & \text { FLAP } \\ & \text { FLAP (004) } \end{aligned}$ | CONSTRUCT A PATH FROM THE CURRENT END OF THE MONTGOMERY RIVER (MATS INTERMODAL BRIDGE AND TOWER) TO WRIGHT BROTHERS PARK VIA THE PEDESTRIAN STAIRWAY | 0.19 | CN | P | SIDEWALK | 2016 | 0.000 | EXEMPT |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 591,250 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 232,577 \end{aligned}$ | \$823,827 |
| Totals By Sponsor |  |  |  |  |  | Federal |  | \$591,250 |  |  | ALL Funds \$823,827 |  |

2.4.13 Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Projects


No Records Found

### 2.4.14 High Priority and Congressional Earmark Projects

| Sponsor: | ALDOT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project <br> Family ID | Project Number <br> (FANBR) | Project Description | Project Length (miles) |  | STS | Project Type | FY | Map ID | Project Priority | Conform Year | Federal State Other | Estimated <br> Total <br> Cost |
| 29570 | $\begin{aligned} & 100055242 \text { DE- } \\ & \text { STPAA } \\ & \text { A203 (939) } \end{aligned}$ | WIDEN \& RESURFACE CR-75 (MCQUEEN SMITH RD) FROM SR-3 (US-31, S MEMORIAL DR) TO CR-2 (COBBS FORD RD) | 2.00 | RW | P | ADDITIONAL ROADWAY LANES | 2016 | 0.000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 999,829 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | \$999,829 |
| Totals By Sponsor |  |  |  |  |  | Federal |  | \$999,829 |  |  | ALL Funds \$999,829 |  |

Authorized Projects
Sponsor: ALDOT

| Program | Table No. | FA Nbr. | Project <br> Number | Scope | Project Description | Project Length (miles) | Start Date | Type of Work | Estimated Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SAAME | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STPNU-STPAA } \\ & 2614 \\ & (251) \end{aligned}$ | 100063630 | CN | INSTALL SCRUB SEAL, MICROSURFACING PAVEMENT, TRAFFIC STRIPE, MARKERS, AND MARKINGS ON DARK CORNERS RD FROM RIFLE RANGE RD TO FRIENDSHIP RD (SITE 1) AND OLD SALEM RD FROM SR-170 TO PLEASANT HILL RD (SITE 2) - ECP 26-0815 | 5.580 | 07/31/2015 | RESURFACING | \$144,849 |
| SNUME | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STPNU-STPAA } \\ & 2614 \\ & (251) \end{aligned}$ | 100063630 | CN | INSTALL SCRUB SEAL, MICROSURFACING PAVEMENT, TRAFFIC STRIPE, MARKERS, AND MARKINGS ON DARK CORNERS RD FROM RIFLE RANGE RD TO FRIENDSHIP RD (SITE 1) AND OLD SALEM RD FROM SR-170 TO PLEASANT HILL RD (SITE 2) - ECP 26-0815 | 5.580 | 07/31/2015 | RESURFACING | \$579,395 |
| SAAME | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STPAA } \\ & 5114(252) \end{aligned}$ | 100063625 | CN | RESURFACING CR-39 (MT. ZION RD.) FROM CR-70 TO WOODLEY RD. MCP 51-76-15 | 6.327 | 07/31/2015 | RESURFACING | \$1,310,752 |
| SAAME | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STPAA } \\ & 0110(500) \end{aligned}$ | 100050520 | RW | ADDITIONAL LANES ON SR 110 FROM CHANTILLY PKWY TO THE OUTER LOOP | 2.810 | 12/01/2014 | GRADE, DRAIN, BASE AND PAVE | \$3,350,000 |
| SAAME | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STPAA } \\ & 0014(509) \end{aligned}$ | 100049118 | UT | SR-14 INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT AT SR143 IN ELMORE (CSX RR) INCLUDES BRIDGES | 1.330 | 08/01/2015 | INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS | \$628,545 |
| STAA | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STPAA } \\ & 0014(509) \end{aligned}$ | 100049118 | UT | SR-14 INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT AT SR143 IN ELMORE (CSX RR) INCLUDES BRIDGES | 1.330 | 08/01/2015 | INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS | \$127,668 |
| STAAH | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STPAA } \\ & 0014(509) \end{aligned}$ | 100049118 | UT | SR-14 INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT AT SR143 IN ELMORE (CSX RR) INCLUDES BRIDGES | 1.330 | 08/01/2015 | INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS | \$277,060 |
| IM1ME | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IMF } \\ & \text { I085(338) } \end{aligned}$ | 100055187 | CN | PAVEMENT REHABILITATION ON I-85 FROM HALL ST TO JUST E OF ANN ST | 1.530 | 07/31/2015 | PAVEMENT REHABILITATION | \$9,050,079 |
| HSIPM | 11 | IM-HSIPF I085(348) | 100057711 | PE | GUARDRAIL INSTALLATION ON I-85 FROM ANN STREET TO SR-271 (TAYLOR ROAD) | 5.200 | 12/01/2014 | GUARDRAIL | \$5,200 |
| IMNT | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IM-HSIPF } \\ & \text { I085(348) } \end{aligned}$ | 100057711 | PE | GUARDRAIL INSTALLATION ON I-85 FROM ANN STREET TO SR-271 (TAYLOR ROAD) | 5.200 | 12/01/2014 | GUARDRAIL | \$10,400 |
| NH1ME | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NH} \\ & 0008(573) \end{aligned}$ | 100060036 | FM | RESURFACE SR-8 (US-80) FROM WESTERN CITY LIMITS OF MONTGOMERY TO THE JUNCTION OF I-65 | 4.295 | 12/05/2014 | RESURFACING | \$4,447,097 |
| SAAME | 2 | STPAA NR13(937) | 100060235 | CN | ADDING CURB RAMPS TO EXISTING SIDEWALKS OR REPAIRS TO CURB RAMPS THAT ARE NON-ADA COMPLIANT AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS (DISTRICT 3) | 0.000 | 02/27/2015 | SIDEWALK | \$700,194 |
| BRNME | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BR } \\ & 0006(559) \end{aligned}$ | 100062112 | PE | BRIDGE CULVERT REPLACEMENT ON SR-6 (US-82) (PRATTVILLE BYPASS) OVER PINE CREEK BIN \# 5805 | 0.430 | 12/01/2014 | BRIDGES AND APPROACHES | \$104,000 |

## Authorized Projects

| IM1ME | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IM } \\ & \text { IO65(456) } \end{aligned}$ | 100062206 | CN | SLOPE REPAIR ON I-65 ALONG SOUTH BOUND OUTSIDE SHOULDER JUST NORTH OF SR-3 (US-31) (EXIT 186) | 0.194 | 11/07/2014 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SLIDE } \\ & \text { CORRECTION } \end{aligned}$ | \$386,414 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IM01M | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IM } \\ & \text { I065(459) } \end{aligned}$ | 100062245 | PE | BRIDGE REPAIR ON I-65 (RELIEF BRIDGES 1, 2, 3, \& 11) (INCLUDES GIRDERS, CAPS, \& BEARING PADS) BIN NO. 10677, 10678, 10692, 10694, 10695, 10696, AND 10697 | 4.317 | 12/01/2014 | BRIDGE <br> MAINTENANCE | \$20,800 |
| RECAM | 12 | RECA NR14(914) | 100062371 | CN | CYPRESS CREEK STREAM AND WETLAND MITIGATION BANK IN THE CITY OF MONTGOMERY | 0.000 | 03/15/2015 | UNCLASSIFIED | \$1,144,000 |
| IMNT | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IM } \\ & \text { I065(461) } \end{aligned}$ | 100062244 | PE | BRIDGE REPAIR ON I-65 (RELIEF BRIDGES 4 \& 5) (INCLUDES GIRDERS, CAPS, \& BEARING PADS) BIN NOS. 10698, 10699, 10700, AND 10701 | 0.347 | 12/01/2014 | BRIDGE <br> MAINTENANCE | \$20,800 |
| NH1ME | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NH } \\ & 0009(555) \end{aligned}$ | 100061879 | FM | PLANING AND RESURFACING SR-9 (MP 107.588) FROM THE JUNCTION JUST N OF I85 TO THE JUNCTION OF US-231 (MP 111.920) | 4.332 | 12/05/2014 | RESURFACING | \$5,618,925 |
| HSIPM | 11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NH-HSIP } \\ & 0006(556) \end{aligned}$ | 100060876 | FM | RESURFACE AND 2FT SAFETY WIDENING SR-6 (US-82) FROM THE JCT OF SR-8 (US-80) TO PAVEMENT JOINT JUST SOUTH OF SR 271 AND WESTBOUND ONLY FROM MP 165.8 TO MP 166.441 | 7.773 | 01/29/2016 | WIDENING \& RESURFACING (RDWY) | \$0 |
| NH1ME | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NH-HSIP } \\ & 0006(556) \end{aligned}$ | 100060876 | FM | RESURFACE AND 2FT SAFETY WIDENING SR-6 (US-82) FROM THE JCT OF SR-8 (US-80) TO PAVEMENT JOINT JUST SOUTH OF SR 271 AND WESTBOUND ONLY FROM MP 165.8 TO MP 166.441 | 7.773 | 01/29/2016 | WIDENING \& RESURFACING (RDWY) | \$0 |
| IMNT | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IM } \\ & \text { IO65(460) } \end{aligned}$ | 100062242 | PE | BRIDGE REPAIR ON I-65 (RELIEF BRIDGES 6,7,\& 8)(INCLUDES GIRDERS,CAPS,\& BEARING PADS) BIN \# 10702, 10704, 10706 | 1.590 | 12/01/2014 | BRIDGE <br> MAINTENANCE | \$20,800 |
| IM04L | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IM } \\ & \text { IO65(451) } \end{aligned}$ | 100061788 | PE | RESURFACE I-65 FROM SOUTH OF SR-3 (US31) INTERCHANGE TO 1 MILE SOUTH CR-61 OVERPASS | 4.000 | 04/01/2015 | RESURFACING | \$156,000 |
| IARA | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IAR-051-000- } \\ & 009 \end{aligned}$ | 100063278 | CN | ADD A DECELERATION LANE OFF SR-8 (US80) FOR TRUCKS TO ENTER PROPERTY TO BENEFIT DYVIS EXPANSION IN THE CITY OF MONTGOMERY | 0.141 | 01/15/2015 | GRADE, DRAIN, BASE AND PAVE | \$184,400 |
| IARA | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IAR-051-000- } \\ & 010 \end{aligned}$ | 100063280 | CN | INDUSTRIAL ACCESS ROAD IMPROVEMENTS TO ADD A TRAFFIC SIGNAL AT THE ENTRANCE/EXIT OF HYUNDAI GLOVIS AND MONTGOMERY HYUNDAI BOULEVARD TO BENEFIT HYUNDAI GLOVIS | 0.100 | 01/15/2015 | UNCLASSIFIED | \$159,800 |
| HSIPE | 11 | HSIP <br> NR15(903) | 100063445 | SP | ROADSIDE HARDWARE ASSESSMENT PILOT PROGRAM FOR AUTAUGA, LOWNDES, MONTGOMERY, AND BUTLER COUNTIES | 0.000 | 06/01/2015 | UNCLASSIFIED | \$418,771 |
| ERLF8 | 12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ERPR } \\ & 8960(921) \end{aligned}$ | 100063459 | RW | SLIDE REPAIR ON CR-47 (GOLSON ROAD) APPROXIMATELY 1.1 MILES NORTH OF SR14 - FHWA DISASTER \#AL 13-1 (REPORT \#LH-AUT-01) | 0.090 | 04/01/2015 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SLIDE } \\ & \text { CORRECTION } \end{aligned}$ | \$20,043 |

Authorized Projects

| ERLF8 | 12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ERPR } \\ & 8960(921) \end{aligned}$ | 100060228 | CN | SLIDE REPAIR ON CR-47(GOLSON ROAD) APPROXIMATELY 1.1 MILES NORTH OF SR-14-FHWA DISASTER \#AL 13-1 (REPORT \#LH-AUT-01) | 0.094 | 06/26/2015 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SLIDE } \\ & \text { CORRECTION } \end{aligned}$ | \$1,240,139 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L24AC | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ERPR } \\ & 8960(921) \end{aligned}$ | 100060228 | CN | SLIDE REPAIR ON CR-47(GOLSON ROAD) APPROXIMATELY 1.1 MILES NORTH OF SR-14-FHWA DISASTER \#AL 13-1 (REPORT \#LH-AUT-01) | 0.094 | 06/26/2015 | SLIDE <br> CORRECTION | \$442,509 |
| 99046 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 99-606-513-271- \\ & 502 \end{aligned}$ | 100063502 | MC | ADDITION OF GUIDE SIGNS AT EXIT 9, THE INT. OF I-85 RAMP AND SR 271(TAYLOR RD) | 0.155 | 03/15/2015 | SIGNING | \$300,000 |
| STATO | 7 | $\begin{gathered} \text { ST-051-999-013 } \\ (\mathrm{)} \end{gathered}$ | 100063785 | PE | TRAFFIC AND ACCESS MANAGEMENT CORRIDOR STUDY FROM WEST OF TECHNACENTER DRIVE TO VAUGHN ROAD | 2.000 | 07/01/2015 | CORRIDOR STUDY | \$100,000 |
| L24AC | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ERPR } \\ & 8960(927) \end{aligned}$ | 100062299 | CN | REPAIR FRONTSLOPE SLIDE AND RESET GUARDRAIL ON SR-9 (US-331) AT RAIL ROAD BRIDGE (AL13-1) | 0.600 | 02/27/2015 | SLIDE <br> CORRECTION | \$74,724 |
| 99015 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 99-506-513-008- \\ & 505 \end{aligned}$ | 100064336 | MC | BRIDGE ABUTMENT SLOPE PROTECTION AND JOINT SEAL ON SR-8 (US-80) @ RAILROAD, BINS 15530, 15531 CROSSING NO 351225X | 0.000 | 09/25/2015 | BRIDGE <br> MAINTENANCE | \$200,000 |
| SOLB | 12 | $\begin{gathered} \text { LB-634-051-001 } \\ (\mathrm{r} \end{gathered}$ | 100064464 | LB | RENOVATION OF ENTIRE INTERIOR OF BUILDING TO INCLUDE UPDATING OF WALL DRESSING, NEW CARPET AND CEILING TILES, NEW FURNITURE, TELEVISIONS AND DOOR REPLACEMENT THROUGHOUT THE BUILDING | 0.000 | 07/15/2015 | CONSTRUCT BUILDING LAND AND BUILDING FUNDS ONLY | \$200,000 |
| Sponsor: AUTAUGA COUNTY COMMISSION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Program | Table No. | FA Nbr. | Project <br> Number | Scope | Project Description | Project Length (miles) | Start Date | Type of Work | Estimated Cost |
| RPTOC | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPTOC } \\ & \text { TR15( ) } \end{aligned}$ | 100056950 | TR | SECTION 5311 TRANSIT AUTAUGA COUNTY CAPITAL VEHICLE FY 2015 | 0.000 | 07/01/2015 | UNCLASSIFIED | \$152,443 |
| RPTO | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPTO } \\ & \text { TR15( ) } \end{aligned}$ | 100056951 | TR | SECTION 5311 TRANSIT AUTUAGA COUNTY OPERATING ASSISTANCE FY 2015 | 0.000 | 12/01/2014 | UNCLASSIFIED | \$253,600 |
| RPTO | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPTO } \\ & \text { TR15( ) } \end{aligned}$ | 100056952 | TR | SECTION 5311 TRANSIT AUTAUGA COUNTY ADMINISTRATION ASSISTANCE FY 2015 | 0.000 | 12/01/2014 | UNCLASSIFIED | \$87,550 |
| A2RDY | 2 | ACNU61036 ATRP(005) | 100061036 | CN | RESURFACE CR-57 FROM CR-40 TO CHILTON COUNTY LINE | 10.010 | 01/30/2015 | RESURFACING | \$1,841,515 |
| Sponsor: CITY OF MONTGOMERY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Program | Table No. | FA Nbr. | Project Number | Scope | Project Description | Project Length (miles) | Start Date | Type of Work | Estimated Cost |
| A2RDY | 2 | ACAA61049 ATRP(013) | 100061049 | CN | RESURFACE (CR-2120) ALABAMA RIVER PARKWAY FROM NORTHERN BOULEVARD TO NORTH OF COOSADA FERRY ROAD TO INCLUDE PLANING, STRIPING, HANDICAP SIDEWALK RAMPS AND SIGNAL LOOPS | 1.720 | 03/27/2015 | RESURFACING | \$1,167,966 |

Authorized Projects
A2RDY
2 ACAA61051 100061051 ATRP(003)
STMNM
1 STPMN 100063018 5114(251)

100063018

## CN

RESURFACE WOODLEY ROAD FROM SOUTH 0.94 BOULEVARD TO MCGEHEE ROAD
PE RESURFACE WARES FERRY ROAD FROM 2.530 ATLANTA HIGHWAY TO EASTERN BOULEVARD

Sponsor: ELMORE COUNTY COMMISSION

| Program | Table No. | FA Nbr. | Project Number | Scope | Project Description | Project Length (miles) | Start Date | Type of Work | Estimated Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STMNM | 1 | STPMN 2640(203) | 100057541 | CN | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT AND APPROACHES ON CR-3 (INGRAM ROAD) OVER COTTONFORD CREEK (BIN \# 7874) | 0.141 | 03/27/2015 | BRIDGE <br> REPLACEMENT | \$2,655,844 |
| STMNM | 1 | STPMN 7905(602) | 100057542 | CN | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT AND APPROACHES ON COOSADA PARKWAY OVER COOSADA CREEK BIN \# 4755 | 0.122 | 03/27/2015 | BRIDGE <br> REPLACEMENT | \$951,757 |
| A2RDY | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ACAA59598 } \\ & \text { ATRP(005) } \end{aligned}$ | 100059598 | CN | RESURFACE CR-211 (WEOKA ROAD) FROM SR-21 (US-231) TO CR-80 (GRASS FARM ROAD) | 9.800 | 01/30/2015 | RESURFACING | \$2,548,265 |
| A2RDY | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ACAA59599 } \\ & \text { ATRP }(013) \end{aligned}$ | 100059599 | CN | RESURFACE CR-88 (CHAPEL ROAD) FROM SR-14 TO CR-228 (CRENSHAW ROAD) | 3.800 | 01/30/2015 | RESURFACING | \$1,008,835 |
| A2RDY | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ACAA59600 } \\ & \text { ATRP }(013) \end{aligned}$ | 100059600 | CN | RESURFACE AND STRIPE CR-49 (BALM ROAD) FROM CR-425 (MT. ZION ROAD) TO SR-9 | 5.800 | 01/30/2015 | RESURFACING | \$827,863 |
| STMNM | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STPMN } \\ & 2600(210) \end{aligned}$ | 100059830 | CN | BRIDGE REPLACEMENTS AND APPROACHES ON CR-79 (MEHEARG ROAD) OVER CALLAWAY CREEK BIN \# 7575 | 0.302 | 03/27/2015 | BRIDGE <br> REPLACEMENT | \$1,327,244 |
| SMNAM | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STPMN } \\ & 2615(250) \end{aligned}$ | 100063125 | PE | ROAD WIDENING, INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS, AND BRIDGE REPLACEMENT ON CR-8 AT HARWELL MILL CREEK (BIN \#10936) AND INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS AT (CR-8) REDLAND ROAD AND (CR-4) RIFLE RANGE ROAD. | 1.480 | 02/01/2015 | WIDENING \& RESURFACING (RDWY) | \$0 |
| STMNM | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STPMN } \\ & 2615(250) \end{aligned}$ | 100063125 | PE | ROAD WIDENING, INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS, AND BRIDGE REPLACEMENT ON CR-8 AT HARWELL MILL CREEK (BIN \#10936) AND INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS AT (CR-8) REDLAND ROAD AND (CR-4) RIFLE RANGE ROAD. | 1.480 | 02/01/2015 | WIDENING \& RESURFACING (RDWY) | \$440,822 |

Sponsor: MONTGOMERY COUNTY COMMISSION

| Program | Table No. | FA Nbr. | Project Number | Scope | Project Description | Project Length (miles) | Start Date | Type of Work | Estimated Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MTST | 1 | STPMN $5114(250)$ | 100059724 | CN | WIDEN, LEVEL, RESURFACE, AND TRAFFIC STRIPE ON CR-23 (BUTLER MILL ROAD) FROM CR-24 (WEST OLD HAYNEVILLE ROAD) TO THE ROW OF CSX RAILROAD - MCP 51-73 -13 | 5.711 | 11/07/2014 | RESURFACING | \$168,933 |

## Authorized Projects

STMNM 1 STPMN
CN WIDEN, LEVEL, RESURFACE, AND TRAFFIC 5.711
WIDEN, LEVEL, RESURFACE, AND TRAFF
STRIPE ON CR-23 (BUTLER MILL ROAD) FROM CR-24 (WEST OLD HAYNEVILLE ROAD) TO THE ROW OF CSX RAILROAD - MCP 51-73 -13

### 3.0 Appendices

### 3.1 List of Acronyms and Abbreviations

# ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS USED IN THE TRANSPORTATION PLANNING PROCESS 

AL/SR - Alabama Highway or State Route<br>ADA - Americans with Disabilities Act<br>ADECA - Alabama Department of Economic and Community Affairs<br>ADEM - Alabama Department of Environmental Management<br>ALDOT - Alabama Department of Transportation<br>BR - Bridge<br>BSP - Base and pave<br>CAC - Citizens Advisory Committee<br>CAP - Transit Capital<br>CARPDC - Central Alabama Regional Planning and Development Commission<br>CARE - Critical Analysis and Reporting Environment<br>CMP - Congestion Management Plan<br>CN - Construction<br>COOP - Continuity of Operations Plan<br>CR - County Road<br>DBE - Disadvantaged Business Enterprise<br>EJ - Environmental Justice<br>EPA - Environmental Protection Agency<br>FCS - Functional Classification System<br>FHWA - Federal Highway Administration<br>FTA - Federal Transit Administration<br>GDB - Grade, drain, and bridge<br>GPB - Grade, drain, base, pave, and bridge<br>GIS - Geographic Information System<br>IM - Interstate Maintenance<br>ITS - Intelligent Transportation System<br>LRTP - Long Range Transportation Plan (20-25 Year Plan)<br>MACIA - Mayors Advisory Council on Improving Accessibility<br>MAP-21 - Moving Ahead for Progress in the $21^{\text {st }}$ Century (Current Transportation Legislation)<br>MATS - Montgomery Area Transit System<br>MSA -Metropolitan Statistical Area<br>MP - Mile Post<br>MPO - Metropolitan Planning Organization<br>MT - Maintenance program<br>MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices<br>NHS - National Highway System<br>OTH - Other<br>PE - Preliminary Engineering<br>PL - FHWA Planning Funds<br>PPP/PIP - Public Participation Plan (in CFRs) or Public Involvement Plan<br>RW - Right of Way<br>SAFETEA-LU - Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users<br>Section 5303 - FTA Metropolitan Planning Funds<br>Section 5307 - FTA formula funds for urbanized areas<br>Section 5309 - FTA capital program funds (currently earmarked by Congress)<br>Section 5310 - FTA Elderly and Persons with Disabilities Program funds<br>Section 5311 - FTA Formula Funds for Rural Transportation Programs

Southeast Region - ALDOT field organization structure consisting of eighteen counties (Autauga, Barbour, Bullock, Butler, Coffee, Covington, Crenshaw, Dale, Elmore, Geneva, Henry, Houston, Lee, Lowndes, Macon, Montgomery, Pike and Russell)
SOV - Single Occupancy Vehicle
SR - State Road
STIP - State Transportation Improvement Program
STP - Surface Transportation Program
TAP - Transportation Alternatives Program
TAZ - Traffic Analysis Zone
TCC - Technical Coordinating Committee
TE - Transportation Enhancement
TIP - Transportation Improvement Program
TMA - Urbanized Area of 200,000 or more
UA/UZ/UZA - Urbanized Area (identified by the Census Bureau, 50,000 population and above)
UC - Urban Cluster (identified by the Census Bureau, 49,999 and below population)
UPWP - Unified Planning Work Program
USDOT - US Department of Transportation
UT - Utility Work


| Montgomery MPO Fiscal Years 2016-2019 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Financial Constraint Table |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | As of July 16, 2015 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIP MAP ID \# | State ID | Federal Project Number | Project Location | Project Work | Miles | Scope | Total \$ | Federal \$ | Local \$ | Start Date | Fiscal Year | Sponsor |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | FY-2014 CARRY OVER FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR PROGRAMMING IN FY2015 |  |  |  |  | \$ 16,667,284 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | TOTAL AUTHORZIED FOR FY-2015 PROJECTS A/0 6/30/15 |  |  |  | \$6,647,594 | \$5,318,075 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | FY-2015 ALDOT ANNUAL ALLOCATED FUNDS |  |  |  |  | \$5,554,827 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | TOTAL FEDERAL FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR PROGRAMMING IN FY-2015 |  |  |  |  | \$22,222,111 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | BALANCE OF FUNDS |  |  |  |  | \$16,904,036 |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 100053061 | STPMN 5115 | ANN ST FROM HIGHLAND AVE TO BREWTON AVE (PHASE II) | WIDEN, LEVEL, RESURFACE \& TRAFFIC STRIPE | 0.45 | RW | \$1,169,859 | \$935,887 | \$233,972 | 10/1/2015 | 2016 | CITY OF MONTGOMERY |
| 2 | 100054277 | STPMN 5115 | SOUTH COURT STREET FROM FAIRVIEW AVE TO SOUTH BLVD | RESURFACE, IMPROVE DRAINAGE, TRAFFIC SIGNALS AND SIDEWALKS | 0.85 | CN | \$4,000,000 | \$3,200,000 | \$800,000 | 11/6/2015 | 2016 | CITY OF MONTGOMERY |
| 44 | 100054292 | STPMN 5115 | EAST MAIN ST FROM SOUTH MEMORIAL DR TO VIRGINIA ST | RESURFACE | 0.6 | CN | \$284,305 | \$227,444 | \$56,861 | 10/30/2015 | 2016 | CITY OF PRATTVILLE |
| 45 | 100055242 | STPMN 0115 | MCQUEEN SMITH RD FROM COBBS FORD RD TO S. MEMORIAL DR (US-31) | INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS, WIDEN TO 5 LANES \& RESURFACE | 2 | RW | \$540,800 | \$432,640 | \$108,160 | 10/1/2015 | 2016 | CITY OF PRATTVILLE |
| 52 | 100056090 | STPOMN | COMPANY ST FROM LOGAN ST TO EAST BRIDGE ST AND SOUTH MAIN ST FROM EAST BRIDGE ST TO US-231 | RESURFACE AND SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENTS | 1.12 | CN | \$500,000 | \$400,000 | \$100,000 | 10/30/2015 | 2016 | CITY OF WETUMPKA |
| 53 | 100057512 | STPOA-UT12 | BRIDGE ST AND SOUTH MAIN ST FROM EAST BRIDGE ST TO US-231 (INCLUDES HILL AND ORLINE ST) | RESURFACE AND SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENTS | 1.12 | UT | \$108,160 | \$86,528 | \$21,632 | 11/1/2015 | 2016 | CITY OF WETUMPKA |
| 46 | 100057524 | STPOA | VARIOUS STREETS IN CITY OF PRATTVILLE | RESURFACE | 2 | CN | \$432,693 | \$346,154 | \$86,539 | 5/27/2016 | 2016 | CITY OF PRATTVILLE |
| 62 | 100057526 | STPMN | CR-85 (PIKE RD) FROM WALLAHATCHIE RD (CR-84) TO US-80 | WIDEN, LEVEL, RESURFACE \& TRAFFIC STRIPE | 5.8 | CN | \$1,102,300 | \$881,840 | \$220,460 | 11/6/2016 | 2016 | MONTGOMERY COUNTY COMMISSION |
| 47 | 100057564 | STPMN 0115 | VARIOUS STREETS IN CITY OF PRATTVILLE | RESURFACE | 2 | PE | \$5,000 | \$4,000 | \$1,000 | 10/1/2015 | 2016 | CITY OF PRATTVILLE |
| 54 | 100057626 | STPMN 2614 | HILL ST FROM E. BRIDGE ST NORTH TO ORLINE ST AND ORLINE ST FROM HILL ST EAST TO FISH ST | RESURFACE AND SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENTS | 0.5 | PE | \$67,529 | \$54,023 | \$13,506 | 11/6/2015 | 2016 | CITY OF WETUMPKA |
| 3 | 100060095 | STPMN 5115 | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT ON WOODLEY ROAD AT WHITES SLOUGH (BIN \# 003048 \& 003047) | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT | 0 | UT | \$200,000 | \$160,000 | \$40,000 | 11/1/2015 | 2016 | CITY OF <br> MONTGOMERY |
| 4 | 100060096 | STPMN 5115 | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT ON WOODLEY ROAD AT WHITES SLOUGH (BIN \# 003048 \& 003047) | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT | 0 | RW | \$75,000 | \$60,000 | \$15,000 | 10/1/2015 | 2016 | CITY OF MONTGOMERY |
| 5 | 100061710 | STPMN 5115 | ZELDA RD FROM ANN ST TO CARTER HILL RD | WIDEN, LEVEL, RESURFACE \& TRAFFIC STRIPE | 1.18 | PE | \$247,500 | \$198,000 | \$49,500 | 11/1/2015 | 2016 | CITY OF MONTGOMERY |
| 6 | 100063020 | STPMN 5115 | SOUTHEAST PROPERTY OF PERRY HILL ROAD AND ATLANTA HIGHWAY (FLIPS) | PROTECTIVE PURCHASE | 0 | RW | \$600,000 | \$480,000 | \$120,000 | 10/1/2015 | 2016 | CITY OF MONTGOMERY |


| TIP MAP <br> ID \# | State ID | Federal Project Number | Project Location | Project Work | Miles | Scope | Total \$ | Federal \$ | Local \$ | Start Date | Fiscal Year | Sponsor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 55 | 100063025 | STPMN 2614 | COURT ST; E BRIDGE ST FROM S MAIN ST; COMPANY ST FROM HILL ST TO ORLINE ST, ORLINE ST FROM COMPNAY ST TO HILL ST, HILL ST FROM ORLINE TO COMPANY ST | RESURFACING, SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENTS, LANDSCAPING AND DECORATIVE LIGHTING | 0.3 | CN | \$482,408 | \$385,926 | \$96,482 | 1/29/2016 | 2016 | CITY OF WETUMPKA |
| 56 | 100063568 | STPMN 2615 | COURT ST; E BRIDGE ST FROM S MAIN ST; COMPANY ST FROM HILL ST TO ORLINE ST, ORLINE ST FROM COMPNAY ST TO HILL ST, HILL ST FROM ORLINE TO COMPANY ST | RESURFACING, SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENTS, LANDSCAPING AND DECORATIVE LIGHTING | 0.3 | PE | \$82,411 | \$65,929 | \$16,482 | 10/1/2015 | 2016 | CITY OF WETUMPKA |
| 27 | 100063718 | STPMN 2614 | DEXTER RD/PIERCE CHAPEL RD FROM GRIER ROAD TO SR 170 | RESURFACE/PAVEMENT PRESERVATION | 4.4 | PE | \$5,000 | \$4,000 | \$1,000 | 1/1/2016 | 2016 | ELMORE COUNTY COMMISSION |
| 28 | 100063728 | STPMN 2615 | GRIER ROAD FROM WEOKA ROAD TO DEXTER ROAD | WIDEN TO STATE STANDARDS AND RESURFACE | 4 | PE | \$5,000 | \$4,000 | \$1,000 | 1/1/2016 | 2016 | ELMORE COUNTY COMMISSION |
| 29 | 100064367 | STPMN 2615 | INGRAM RD FROM SR-14 TO DEATSVILLE HIGHWAY | INSTALL SAFETY WIDENING, PAVE SHOULDERS, TRAFFIC STRIPE AND MICROSURFACING | 6.5 | PE | \$5,000 | \$4,000 | \$1,000 | 1/1/2016 | 2016 | ELMORE COUNTY COMMISSION |
| 30 | 100064368 | STPMN 2615 | INGRAM RD FROM SR-14 TO DEATSVILLE HIGHWAY | INSTALL SAFETY WIDENING, PAVE SHOULDERS, TRAFFIC STRIPE AND MICROSURFACING | 6.5 | CN | \$975,000 | \$780,000 | \$195,000 | 5/27/2016 | 2016 | ELMORE COUNTY COMMISSION |
| 69 | 100064369 | STPMN | CR-80 FROM PRATTVILLE CITY LIMITS NORTH TO CR-85 | RESURFACING AND TRAFFIC STRIPE | 2.715 | PE | \$5,000 | \$4,000 | \$1,000 | 11/17/2015 | 2016 | AUTAUGA COUNTY COMMISSION |
| 70 | 100064370 | STPMN | CR-80 FROM PRATTVILLE CITY LIMITS NORTH TO CR-85 | RESURFACING AND TRAFFIC STRIPE | 2.715 | CN | \$220,000 | \$176,000 | \$44,000 | 3/25/2016 | 2016 | AUTAUGA COUNTY COMMISSION |
| 7 | 100064608 | STPMN 5115 | PERRY HILL RD FROM HARRISON RD TO THE INTERSECTION OF ATLANTA HWY | CORRIDOR STUDY | 2.4 | PE | \$100,000 | \$80,000 | \$20,000 | 10/1/2015 | 2016 | CITY OF MONTGOMERY |
| 58 | 100064611 | STPMN | DEATSVILLE HIGHWAY (CR-7) FROM SR-14 NORTH TO LEGACY PARK (END OF MILLBROOK CITY LIMITS) | MILLING, RESURFACE, IMPROVE DRAINAGE AND TRAFFIC STRIPE | 2 | PE | \$5,000 | \$4,000 | \$1,000 | 10/1/2015 | 2016 | CITY OF MILLBROOK |
| 59 | 100064612 | STPMN | DEATSVILLE HIGHWAY (CR-7) FROM SR-14 NORTH TO LEGACY PARK (END OF MILLBROOK CITY LIMITS) | MILLING, RESURFACE, IMPROVE DRAINAGE AND TRAFFIC STRIPE | 2 | CN | \$500,000 | \$400,000 | \$100,000 | 5/30/2016 | 2016 | CITY OF MILLBROOK |
| 60 | 100064613 | STPMN | BROWNS RD FROM SR-143 (MAIN STREET) NORTH TO SR-14 | BIKE LANES, INIERSECIIUN IMPROVEMENTS, WIDEN, LEVEL, RESURFACE, IMPROVE DRAINAGE AND TRAFFIC STRIPE | 0.76 | PE | \$10,000 | \$8,000 | \$2,000 | 10/1/2015 | 2016 | CITY OF MILLBROOK |
| 61 | 100064614 | STPMN | BROWNS RD FROM SR-143 (MAIN STREET) NORTH TO SR-14 | BIKE LAIVES, IINIERSECIIUIN IMPROVEMENTS, WIDEN, LEVEL, RESURFACE, IMPROVE DRAINAGE AND TRAFFIC STRIPE | 0.76 | CN | \$380,000 | \$304,000 | \$76,000 | 5/30/2016 | 2016 | CITY OF MILLBROOK |
|  |  |  | FY-2015 CARRY OVER FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR PROGRAMMING IN FY2016 |  |  |  |  | \$16,904,036 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | TOTAL FUNDS REQUIRED FOR FY-2016 PROJECTS |  |  |  | \$12,107,964 | \$9,686,371 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10 \% SET ASIDE FOR FUNDS REQUIRED FOR FY-2016 PROJECTS |  |  |  |  | \$968,637 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | FY-2016 ALDOT ANNUAL ALLOCATED FUNDS |  |  |  |  | \$5,554,827 |  |  |  | 96 |


| TIP MAP ID \# | State ID | Federal Project Number | Project Location | Project Work | Miles | Scope | Total \$ | Federal \$ | Local \$ | Start Date | Fiscal Year | Sponsor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | FEDERAL FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR PROGRAMMING IN FY-2016 |  |  |  |  | \$22,458,863 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | BALANCE OF FUNDS |  |  |  |  | \$12,772,491 |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | 100050962 | STPMN 0115 | MCQUEEN SMITH RD FROM COBBS FORD RD TO S. MEMORIAL DR (US-31) | INTERSECTION <br> IMPROVEMENTS, WIDEN TO 5 <br> LANES \& RESURFACE | 2 | UT | \$58,493 | \$46,794 | \$11,699 | 3/1/2017 | 2017 | CITY OF PRATTVILLE |
| 8 | 100055809 | STPMN 5115 | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT ON WOODLEY ROAD AT WHITES SLOUGH (BIN \# 003048 \& 003047) | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT | 0 | CN | \$2,924,646 | \$2,339,717 | \$584,929 | 7/1/2017 | 2017 | CITY OF MONTGOMERY |
| 57 | 100057627 | STPMN | HILL ST FROM E. BRIDGE ST NORTH TO ORLINE ST AND ORLINE ST FROM HILL ST EAST TO FISH ST | RESURFACE AND SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENTS | 0.5 | CN | \$ 300,000 | 240,000 | \$60,000 | 9/25/2017 | 2017 | CITY OF WETUMPKA |
| 9 | 100060091 | STPMN-7704 | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT ON NARROW LANE ROAD AT HANNON SLOUGH (BIN \# 003250) | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT | 0 | UT | \$200,000 | \$160,000 | \$40,000 | 7/1/2017 | 2017 | CITY OF MONTGOMERY |
| 10 | 100060092 | STPMN-7703 | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT ON NARROW LANE ROAD AT HANNON SLOUGH (BIN \# 003250) | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT | 0 | RW | \$75,000 | \$60,000 | \$15,000 | 5/1/2017 | 2017 | CITY OF MONTGOMERY |
| 31 | 100063230 | STPMN 2615 | REDLAND RD FROM SR-9 (US-231) TO CR-4 (RIFLE RANGE RD) AND HARWELL MILL CREEK (BIN\#10936), CR-4 AND CR-8 | WIDENING AND RESURFACING , BRIDGE REPLACEMENT AND INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS | 1.48 | RW | \$500,000 | \$400,000 | \$100,000 | 11/1/2016 | 2017 | ELMORE COUNTY COMMISSION |
| 32 | 100063232 | STPMN 2615 | REDLAND RD FROM SR-9 (US-231) TO CR-4 (RIFLE RANGE RD) AND HARWELL MILL CREEK (BIN\#10936), CR-4 AND CR-9 | WIDENING AND RESURFACING <br> , BRIDGE REPLACEMENT AND INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS | 1.48 | UT | \$500,000 | \$400,000 | \$100,000 | 9/1/2017 | 2017 | ELMORE COUNTY COMMISSION |
| 63 | 100062338 | STPMN 5115 | VAUGHN ROAD (CR-626) FROM TAYLOR RD TO CHANTILLY PARKWAY (SR-110) | FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR PEDESTRIAN FACILITES | 4.4 | PE | \$300,000 | \$240,000 | \$60,000 | 5/1/2017 | 2017 | MONT./MONTGOMER Y COUNTY COMM |
| 11 | 100063692 | STPMN | MONTGOMERY DOWNTOWN CBD | STREET LIGHT CONVERSION TO LED | 0 | PE | \$626,000 | \$500,800 | \$125,200 | 10/1/2016 | 2017 | CITY OF MONTGOMERY |
| 33 | 100063719 | STPMN 2615 | DEXTER RD/PIERCE CHAPEL RD FROM GRIER ROAD TO SR 170 | RESURFACE/PAVEMENT PRESERVATION | 4.4 | CN | \$308,000 | \$246,400 | \$61,600 | 1/27/2017 | 2017 | ELMORE COUNTY COMMISSION |
| 34 | 100063724 | STPMN 2615 | COOSADA RD/RUCKER RD FROM BLACKWELLS DR TO SR-14 | RESURFACE/PAVEMENT PRESERVATION | 3.3 | PE | \$5,000 | \$4,000 | \$1,000 | 1/1/2017 | 2017 | ELMORE COUNTY COMMISSION |
| 35 | 100063726 | STPMN 2615 | KENNEDY AVENUE FROM COOSADA RD TO AIRPORT RD | RESURFACE/PAVEMENT PRESERVATION | 1.2 | PE | \$5,000 | \$4,000 | \$1,000 | 1/1/2017 | 2017 | ELMORE COUNTY COMMISSION |
| 36 | 100063729 | STPMN 2615 | GRIER ROAD FROM WEOKA ROAD TO DEXTER ROAD | WIDEN TO STATE STANDARDS AND RESURFACE | 4 | CN | \$900,000 | \$720,000 | \$180,000 | 1/27/2017 | 2017 | ELMORE COUNTY COMMISSION |
| 12 | 100064179 | STPMN 5115 | PERRY HILL AT ATLANTA HWY | INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS | 0 | PE | \$690,000 | \$552,000 | \$138,000 | 1/27/2017 | 2017 | CITY OF MONTGOMERY |
| 71 | 100064371 | STPMN | CR-23 FROM PRATTVILLE CITY LIMITS TO SR31 | SAFETY WIDENING, PAVE SHOULDER, AND TRAFFIC STRIPE | 1.6 | PE | \$5,000 | \$4,000 | \$1,000 | 11/17/2016 | 2017 | AUTAUGA COUNTY COMMISSION |
| 72 | 100064372 | STPMN | CR-23 FROM PRATTVILLE CITY LIMITS TO SR31 | SAFETY WIDENING, PAVE SHOULDER, AND TRAFFIC STRIPE | 1.6 | CN | \$250,000 | \$200,000 | \$50,000 | 6/30/2017 | 2017 | AUTAUGA COUNTY COMMISSION |
| 13 | 100064606 | STPMN 5115 | ZELDA RD FROM ANN ST TO CARTER HILL RD | WIDEN, LEVEL, RESURFACE \& TRAFFIC STRIPE | 1.18 | RW | \$250,000 | \$200,000 | \$50,000 | 1/0/1900 | 2017 | CITY OF MONTGOMERY |
|  |  |  | FY-2016 CARRY OVER FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR PROGRAMMING IN FY-2017 |  |  |  |  | \$12,772,491 |  |  |  | 97 |


| TIP MAP <br> ID \# | State ID | Federal Project Number | Project Location | Project Work | Miles | Scope | Total \$ | Federal \$ | Local \$ | Start Date | Fiscal Year | Sponsor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TOTAL FUNDS REQUIRED FOR FY-2017 PROJECTS |  |  |  | \$7,897,139 | \$6,317,711 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10 \% SET ASIDE FOR FUNDS REQUIRED FOR FY-2017 PROJECTS |  |  |  |  | \$631,771 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | FY-2017 ALDOT ANNUAL ALLOCATED FUNDS |  |  |  |  | \$5,554,827 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | FEDERAL FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR PROGRAMMING IN FY-2017 |  |  |  |  | \$18,327,318 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | BALANCE OF FUNDS |  |  |  |  | \$12,009,608 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 100055807 | STPMN-7702 | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT ON NARROW LANE ROAD AT HANNON SLOUGH (BIN \# 003250) | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT | 0 | CN | \$2,000,000 | \$1,600,000 | \$400,000 | 11/3/2017 | 2018 | CITY OF MONTGOMERY |
| 15 | 100059725 | STPMN 5115 | E. FAIRVIEW AVE. FROM S. COURT ST TO CLOVERDALE RD | RESURFACE TO INCLUDE CURB AND GUTTER, INLET CONVERSION, TRAFFIC STRIPE AND SIDEWALKS | 1 | PE | \$200,000 | \$160,000 | \$40,000 | 3/1/2018 | 2018 | CITY OF MONTGOMERY |
| 16 | 100059732 | STPMN 5115 | CORRIDOR STUDY FOR WIDENING AND RESURFACING CARTER HILL ROAD FROM ZELDA ROAD TO MCGEHEE RD | CORRIDOR STUDY FOR WIDENING AND RESURFACING | 1.06 | PE | \$300,000 | \$240,000 | \$60,000 | 3/2/2018 | 2018 | CITY OF MONTGOMERY |
| 17 | 100060097 | STPMN 5115 | BRIDGE PAINTING ON VARIOUS STRUCTURES | BRIDGE PAINTING | 0 | PE | \$50,000 | \$40,000 | \$10,000 | 3/3/2018 | 2018 | CITY OF MONTGOMERY |
| 18 | 100060099 | STPMN 5115 | VARIOUS STREETS IN DOWNTOWN MONTGOMERY CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT | RETROFITTING OF HANDICAP RAMPS | 0 | PE | \$80,000 | \$64,000 | \$16,000 | 3/4/2018 | 2018 | CITY OF MONTGOMERY |
| 37 | 100063714 | STPMN-2615 | HOGAN RD FROM SR-143 TO SR-111 | RESURFACE/PAVEMENT PRESERVATION | 4.4 | PE | \$5,000 | \$4,000 | \$1,000 | 1/1/2018 | 2018 | ELMORE COUNTY COMMISSION |
| 39 | 100063725 | STPMN-2615 | COOSADA RD/RUCKER RD FROM BLACKWELLS DR TO SR-14 | RESURFACE/PAVEMENT PRESERVATION | 3.3 | CN | \$231,000 | \$184,800 | \$46,200 | 1/26/2018 | 2018 | ELMORE COUNTY COMMISSION |
| 40 | 100063233 | STPMN-2615 | REDLAND RD FROM SR-9 (US-231) TO CR-4 (RIFLE RANGE RD) AND HARWELL MILL CREEK (BIN\#10936), CR-4 AND CR-8 | WIDENING AND RESURFACING , BRIDGE REPLACEMENT AND INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS | 1.48 | CN | \$3,000,000 | \$2,400,000 | \$600,000 | 11/3/2017 | 2018 | ELMORE COUNTY COMMISSION |
| 49 | 100063686 | STPMN 0115 | SR-3 (US-31) BETWEEN 6TH STREET (PRATTVILLE) NORTH TO I-65 | ADD TURN LANES, INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS | 0 | PE | \$250,000 | \$200,000 | \$50,000 | 4/1/2018 | 2018 | CITY OF PRATTVILLE |
| 41 | 100063727 | STPMN 2615 | KENNEDY AVENUE FROM COOSADA RD TO AIRPORT RD | RESURFACE/PAVEMENT PRESERVATION | 1.2 | CN | \$84,000 | \$67,200 | \$16,800 | 1/26/2018 | 2018 | ELMORE COUNTY COMMISSION |
| 73 | 100064373 | STPMN | CR-85 FROM SR-3 (US-31) TO CR-40 | SAFETY WIDENING, PAVE SHOULDER, RESURFACING AND TRAFFIC STRIPE | 4.24 | PE | \$5,000 | \$4,000 | \$1,000 | 11/1/2017 | 2018 | AUTAUGA COUNTY COMMISSION |
| 74 | 100064374 | STPMN | CR-85 FROM SR-3 (US-31) TO CR-40 | SAFETY WIDENING, PAVE SHOULDER, RESURFACING AND TRAFFIC STRIPE | 4.24 | CN | \$640,000 | \$512,000 | \$128,000 | 7/27/2018 | 2018 | AUTAUGA COUNTY COMMISSION |
| 19 | 100064607 | STPMN 5115 | ZELDA RD FROM ANN ST TO CARTER HILL RD | WIDEN, LEVEL, RESURFACE \& TRAFFIC STRIPE | 1.18 | UT | \$50,000 | \$40,000 | \$10,000 | 6/1/2018 | 2018 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CITY OF } \\ & \text { MONTGOMERY } \end{aligned}$ |


| TIP MAP ID \# | State ID | Federal Project Number | Project Location | Project Work | Miles | Scope | Total \$ | Federal \$ | Local \$ | Start Date | Fiscal Year | Sponsor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 100063693 | STPMN 5115 | MONTGOMERY DOWNTOWN CBD | STREET LIGHT CONVERSION TO LED | 0 | CN | \$6,000,000 | \$4,800,000 | \$1,200,000 | 9/1/2018 | 2018 | CITY OF MONTGOMERY |
| 21 |  | STPMN 5115 | STATE ROUTES WITHIN THE MONTGOMERY STUDY AREA | STREET LIGHT CONVERSION TO LED | 0 | PE | \$274,000 | \$219,200 | \$54,800 | 9/1/2018 | 2018 | CITY OF MONTGOMERY |
| 22 |  | STPMN 5115 | STATE ROUTES WITHIN THE MONTGOMERY STUDY AREA | STREET LIGHT CONVERSION TO LED | 0 | CN | \$2,200,000 | \$1,760,000 | \$440,000 | 9/1/2018 | 2018 | CITY OF MONTGOMERY |
|  |  |  | FY-2017 CARRY OVER FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR PROGRAMMING IN FY2018 |  |  |  |  | \$12,009,608 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | TOTAL FUNDS REQUIRED FOR FY-2018 PROJECTS |  |  |  | \$15,369,000 | \$12,295,200 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10 \% SET ASIDE FOR FUNDS REQUIRED FOR FY-2018 PROJECTS |  |  |  |  | \$1,229,520 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | FY-2018 ALDOT ANNUAL ALLOCATED FUNDS |  |  |  |  | \$5,554,827 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | FEDERAL FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR PROGRAMMING IN FY-2018 |  |  |  |  | \$17,564,435 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | BALANCE OF FUNDS |  |  |  |  | \$5,269,235 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 100040817 | STPMN-7714(600) | ZELDA RD FROM ANN ST TO CARTER HILL RD | WIDEN, LEVEL, RESURFACE \& TRAFFIC STRIPE | 1 | CN | \$1,560,000 | \$1,248,000 | \$312,000 | 7/1/2019 | 2019 | CITY OF MONTGOMERY |
| 24 | 100059721 | STPMN | CONGRESS WL DICKINSON DRIVE FROM SOUTH ROW OF CSX RR TO ATL HWY | RESURFACE TO INCLUDE CURB AND GUTTER, INLET CONVERSION, TRAFFIC STRIPE AND SIDEWALKS | 2.13 | PE | \$300,000 | \$240,000 | \$60,000 | 5/1/2019 | 2019 | CITY OF MONTGOMERY |
| 25 | 100060100 | STPMN 5115 | HANDICAP RAMPS IN MONTGOMERY CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT | RETROFITTING OF HANDICAP RAMPS | 0 | CN | \$500,000 | \$400,000 | \$100,000 | 7/31/2019 | 2019 | CITY OF MONTGOMERY |
| 50 | 100063684 | STPMN 0115 | VARIOUS STREETS IN THE CITY OF PRATTVILLE | RESURFACING | 2 | PE | \$100,000 | \$80,000 | \$20,000 | 4/1/2019 | 2019 | CITY OF PRATTVILLE |
| 51 | 100063688 | STPMN 0115 | INTERSECTIONS ON SR-14 (FAIRVIEW AVE.) BETWEEN SR-3 (US-31) AND JASMINE TRAIL | ADD TURN LANES | 0 | PE | \$300,000 | \$240,000 | \$60,000 | 4/1/2019 | 2019 | CITY OF PRATTVILLE |
| 42 | 100063704 | STPMN 2615 | RIFLE RANGE RD FROM DOZIER RD TO TOLL BRIDGE RD | WIDEN AND RESURFACE | 0 | PE | \$100,000 | \$80,000 | \$20,000 | 1/1/2019 | 2019 | ELMORE COUNTY COMMISSION |
| 43 | 100063715 | STPMN 2615 | HOGAN ROAD FROM SR-143 TO SR-111 | RESURFACE/PAVEMENT PRESERVATION | 4.4 | CN | \$308,000 | \$246,400 | \$61,600 | 5/1/2019 | 2019 | ELMORE COUNTY COMMISSION |
| 26 | 100064162 | STPMN 5115 | PERRY HILL AT ATLANTA HWY | INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS | 0 | CN | \$6,900,000 | \$5,520,000 | \$1,380,000 | 9/25/2019 | 2019 | CITY OF MONTGOMERY |
| 65 | 100064377 | STPMN 7903 | HYUNDAI BOULEVARD (CR-42) FROM US-31 TO US-331 | RESURFACING AND TRAFFIC STRIPE | 2.7 | PE | \$5,000 | \$4,000 | \$1,000 | 1/1/2019 | 2019 | MONTGOMERY COUNTY COMMISSION |
| 66 | 100064378 | STPMN 7903 | HYUNDAI BOULEVARD (CR-42) FROM US-31 TO US-332 | RESURFACING AND TRAFFIC STRIPE | 2.7 | CN | \$1,599,100 | \$1,279,280 | \$319,820 | 4/30/2019 | 2019 | MONTGOMERY COURFY COMMISSION |



## ALDOT SPREADSHEET FOR ALL TIP Fiscal Years 2016 Through 2019 - Financial Plan Montgomery Area MPO

Carryover From Previous Year (Federal Funds Only) Apportionment (Federal Funds Only)
Surface Transportation Attributable Projects

| Carryover From Previous Year (Federal Funds Only) | \$16,768,682 | \$12,637,138 | \$11,874,254 | \$5,125,881 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apportionment (Federal Funds Only) | \$5,554,827 | \$5,554,827 | \$5,554,827 | \$5,554,827 |
| Funds Available to the MPO for Programming (Federal Funds Only) | \$22,323,509 | \$18,191,965 | \$17,429,081 | \$10,680,708 |
| Estimated Cost of Planned Projects (Federal Funds Only) | \$9,686,371 | \$6,317,711 | \$12,303,200 | \$10,546,080 |
| Balance Forward (Federal Funds Only) | \$12,637,138 | \$11,874,254 | \$5,125,881 | \$134,628 |
| Other Surface Transportation Program Projects (includes Bridge projects not on NH System) |  |  |  |  |
| Funds Available for Programming Statewide (Federal Funds Only) | \$111,298,342 | \$111,298,342 | \$111,298,342 | \$111,298,342 |
| MPO Area Estimated Cost of Planned Projects (Federal Funds Only) | \$8,812,410 | \$2,653,930 | \$0 | \$5,024,037 |
| Percentage Programmed in the MPO Area (Federal Funds Only) | 8\% | 2\% | 0\% | 5\% |
| National Highway Performance Program ( APD, IM, Bridge projects on NH System) |  |  |  |  |
| Funds Available for Programming Statewide (Federal Funds Only) | \$425,075,248 | \$425,075,248 | \$425,075,248 | \$425,075,248 |
| MPO Area Estimated Cost of Planned Projects (Federal Funds Only) | \$12,732,025 | \$5,738,288 | \$18,127,918 | \$4,823,273 |
| Percentage Programmed in the Tuscaloosa Area (Federal Funds Only) | 3\% | 1\% | 4\% | 1\% |
| State Funded Projects |  |  |  |  |
| State Funds Available for Programming Statewide (Total Funds) | \$25,500,000 | \$25,500,000 | \$25,500,000 | \$25,500,000 |
| MPO Area Estimated Cost of Planned Projects (Total Funds) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Percentage Programmed in the MPO Area (Total Funds) | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) (formerly TE) |  |  |  |  |
| Projects in this category are funded through annual grant applications and will not be known until late each year. |  |  |  |  |
| Funds Available for Programming Statewide (Federal Funds Only) | \$15,278,816 | \$15,278,816 | \$15,278,816 | \$15,278,816 |
| MPO Area Estimated Cost of Planned Projects (Federal Funds Only) | \$672,458 | \$965,011 |  |  |
| Percentage Programmed in the MPO Area (Federal Funds Only) | 4\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Transit Projects |  |  |  |  |
| Funds Available for Programming Statewide (Federal Funds Only) | \$32,000,000 | \$32,000,000 | \$32,000,000 | \$32,000,000 |
| MPO Area Estimated Cost of Planned Projects (Federal Funds Only) | \$4,794,200 | \$5,509,811 | \$4,950,799 | \$6,955,200 |
| Percentage Programmed in the MPO Area (Federal Funds Only) | 15\% | 17\% | 15\% | 22\% |
| System Maintenance Projects |  |  |  |  |
| State Funds Available for Programming Statewide (Total Funds) | \$30,000,000 | \$30,000,000 | \$30,000,000 | \$30,000,000 |
| MPO Area Estimated Cost of Planned Projects (Total Funds) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Percentage Programmed in the MPO Area (Total Funds) | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |


| ALDOT SPREADSHEET FOR ALL TIP Fiscal Years 2016 Montgomery Area MPO |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| Safety Projects |  |  |  |  |
| Funds Available for Programming Statewide (Federal Funds Only) | \$64,958,603 | \$64,958,603 | \$64,958,603 | \$64,958,603 |
| MPO Area Estimated Cost of Planned Projects (Federal Funds Only) | \$222,463 | \$510,446 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Percentage Programmed in the MPO Area (Federal Funds Only) | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Other Federal and State Aid Projects |  |  |  |  |
| Funds Available for Programming Statewide (Federal Funds Only) | \$20,051,181 | \$20,051,181 | \$20,051,181 | \$20,051,181 |
| MPO Area Estimated Cost of Planned Projects (Federal Funds Only) | \$591,250 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Percentage Programmed in the MPO Area (Federal Funds Only) | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Projects - Birmingham Area Only |  |  |  |  |
| Carryover From Previous Year (Federal Funds Only) | \$10,902,559 | \$10,902,559 | \$10,902,559 | \$10,902,559 |
| Apportionment (Federal Funds Only) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Funds Available for Programming (Federal Funds Only) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Estimated Cost of Planned Projects (Federal Funds Only) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Balance Forward (Federal Funds Only) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| High Priority and Congressional Earmark Projects (Discontinued but money still available via carryover) <br> This group of projects usually results from congressional action in an annual appropriations bill. These projects and the amount available for programming annually is an unknown factor. |  |  |  |  |
| Funds Available for Programming Statewide (Federal Funds Only) | \$33,501,939 | \$33,501,939 | \$33,501,939 | \$33,501,939 |
| MPO Area Estimated Cost of Planned Projects (Federal Funds Only) | \$999,829 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |


| URBAN AREA | MONTGOMERY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROJECT NO | PROJECT DESC |  |
| 100057541 | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT AND APPROACHES ON CR-3 |  |
| 100057542 | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT AND APPROACHES ON COO |  |
| 100057564 | RESURFACE VARIOUS CITY STREETS IN THE CITY |  |
| 100059724 | WIDEN, LEVEL, RESURFACE, AND TRAFFIC STRIPE |  |
| 100059830 | BRIDGE REPLACEMENTS AND APPROACHES ON CR |  |
| 100061710 | WIDEN AND RESURFACE ZELDA ROAD FROM ANN S |  |
| 100063018 | RESURFACE WARES FERRY ROAD FROM ATLANTA |  |
| 100063125 | ROAD WIDENING, INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS, |  |
| 100063568 | RESURFACING ONLY COURT ST; EAST BRIDGE FRO |  |
|  | Prior FY Carryover | \$19,530,385 |
|  | FY Apportionment | \$5,554,827 |
|  | FY Special Allocation | \$0 |
|  | Total Funds | \$25,085,212 |


| 100053061 | WIDEN \& RESURFACE ANN STREET FROM HIGHLAND AVENUE TO BREWTON STREET (PHASE2) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 100054277 | RESURFACE AND SIDEWALK BEAUTIFICATION SOUTH COURT STREET FROM CR-233 (FAIRVIEW |
| 100054292 | RESURFACE CR-2 (EAST MAIN STREET) FROM SR-3 (US-31, SOUTH MEMORIAL DRIVE) TO SHA |
| 100055242 | WIDEN \& RESURFACE CR-75 (MCQUEEN SMITH RD) FROM SR-3 (US-31, S MEMORIAL DR) TO CR |
| 100056090 | RESURFACE, SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENTS, LANDSCAPING AND PEDESTRIAN LIGHTING FIXTURE |
| 100057512 | UTILITY RELOCATION AND STREE |
| 100057524 | RESURFACE VARIOUS CITY STREETS IN THE CITY OF PRATTVILLE |
| 100057526 | RESURFACE AND WIDEN CR-85 (PIKE ROAD) FROM CR-83 (WALLAHATCHIE ROAD) TO SR-8 (US- |
| 100057626 | RESURFACE AND SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENTS ON |
| 100060095 | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT ON CR-39 (WOODLEY ROAD) @ WHITES SLOUGH \& TRIBU |
| 100060096 | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT ON CR-39 (WOODLEY ROAD) @ |
| 100063020 | PROTECTIVE PURCHASE |
| 100063025 | RESURFACING ONLY COURT ST; EAST BRIDGE FROM S MAIN ST TO HILL ST; RESURFACE, SIDE' |
| 100063718 | RESURFACE/PRESERVATION DEXTER ROAD/PIERCE CHAPEL ROAD FROM GRIER ROAD TO SR |
| 100063728 | WIDEN TO STATE STANDARDS AND RESURFACE GRIER ROAD FROM WEOKA ROAD |
| 100064367 | INSTALL SAFETY WIDENING, PAVE SHOULDERS, TRAFFIC STRIPE AND MICRO-SURFACING ON I |
| 100064368 | INSTALL SAFETY WIDENING, PAVE SHOULDERS, TRAFFIC STRIPE AND MICRO-SURFACING |
| 100064369 | RESURFACING AND TRAFFIC STRIPE ON CR-80 FROM PRATTVILLE CITY LIMITS TO CR-85 |
| 100064370 | RESURFACING AND TRAFFIC STRIPE ON CR-80 FROM PRATTVILLE CITY LIMITS TO CR-85 |
| 100064608 | CORRIDOR STUDY ON PERRY HILL ROAD FROM HARRISON ROAD TO THE INTERSECTION OF A |
| 100064611 | RESURFACE \& DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS ON CR-7 (DEATSVILLE HIGHWAY) FROM SR-14 TO Li |
| 100064612 | RESURFACE \& DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS ON CR-7 (DEATSVILLE HIGHWAY) FROM SR-14 TO LE |
| 100064613 | RESURFACE, DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS \& BIKE LANES ON BROWNS ROAD FROM SR-143 (MAI |


| RW | \$973,322 | 03/01/2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CN | \$3,200,000 | 01/29/2016 |
| CN | \$238,908 | 12/04/2015 |
| RW | \$432,640 | 11/01/2015 |
| CN | \$400,000 | 05/27/2016 |
| UT | \$90,889 | 11/01/2015 |
| CN | \$346,154 | 05/27/2016 |
| CN | \$881,840 | 03/25/2016 |
| PE | \$67,529 | 07/01/2016 |
| UT | \$160,000 | 07/01/2016 |
| RW | \$60,000 | 01/01/2016 |
| RW | \$480,000 | 10/01/2015 |
| CN | \$385,926 | 01/29/2016 |
| PE | \$4,000 | 01/01/2016 |
| PE | \$4,000 | 01/01/2016 |
| PE | \$4,000 | 01/01/2016 |
| CN | \$780,000 | 05/27/2016 |
| PE | \$4,000 | 11/01/2015 |
| CN | \$176,000 | 03/25/2016 |
| PE | \$80,000 | 10/01/2015 |
| PE | \$4,000 | 10/01/2015 |
| CN | \$400,000 | 05/27/2016 |
| PE | \$8,000 | 10/01/2015 |


| Planned | $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Planned | $\square$ |
| Planned |  |
| Planned |  |
| Planned |  |
| Planned |  |
| Planned |  |
| Planned |  |
| Planned |  |
| Planned |  |
| Planned |  |
| Planned |  |
| Planned |  |
| Planned |  |
| Planned | $\square$ |
| Planned |  |
| Planned |  |
| Planned |  |
| Planned |  |
| Planned |  |
| Planned | $\square$ |
| Planned |  |
| Planned | 103 |


| PROJECT NO | PROJECT DESCRIPTION | SCOPE | FEDERAL FUNDS | Start Date | Status | Authorized |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100064614 | RESURFACE, DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS \& BIKE LANES ON BROWNS ROAD FROM SR-143 (MAI | CN | \$304,000 | 05/27/2016 | Planned |  |

TOTALS FOR FISCAL YEAR $\quad 2016$

| Prior FY Carryover | \$19,499,208 |
| :---: | :---: |
| FY Apportionment | \$5,554,827 |
| FY Special Allocation | \$0 |
| Total Funds | \$25,054,035 |


| Authorized Projects | \$0 | Unobligated Balance | \$25,054,035 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Planned Projects | \$9,485,209 | Remaining Balance | \$15,568,826 |
| Total Project Funds | \$9,485,209 |  |  |


| 100045392 | RESURFACE AND WIDENING ANN STREET FROM HIGHLAND AVENUE TO BREWTON STREET PH | CN | \$1,473,844 | 09/29/2017 | Planned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100050962 | WIDEN \& RESURFACE CR-75 (MCQUEEN SMITH RD) FROM SR-3 (US-31, S MEMORIAL DR) TO CR | UT | \$49,713 | 11/01/2016 | Planned |
| 100055809 | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT ON CR-39 (WOODLEY ROAD) @ WHITES SLOUGH \& TRIBUTARY (BIN \# c | CN | \$2,339,717 | 09/29/2017 | Planned |
| 100057627 | RESURFACE AND SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENTS ON HILL STREET FROM EAST BRIDGE STREET TC | CN | \$240,000 | 02/24/2017 | Planned |
| 100060091 | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT ON CR-33 (NARROW LANE ROAD) AT HANNON SLOUGH (BIN \# 003250 \& | UT | \$160,000 | 07/01/2017 | Planned |
| 100060092 | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT ON CR-33 (NARROW LANE ROAD) AT HANNON SLOUGH (BIN \# 003250 \& | RW | \$60,000 | 11/01/2016 | Planned |
| 100062338 | FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR SIDEWALKS/MULTI-USE PATH ON (CR-626) VAUGHN ROAD FROM TAYL' | PE | \$240,000 | 08/01/2017 | Planned |
| 100063230 | WIDENING ON CR-8 (REDLAND ROAD) FROM SR-9 (US-231) TO CR-4 (RIFLE RANGE ROAD) AND I | RW | \$400,000 | 11/01/2016 | Planned |
| 100063232 | WIDENING ON CR-8 (REDLAND ROAD) FROM SR-9 (US-231) TO CR-4 (RIFLE RANGE ROAD) AND | UT | \$400,000 | 09/01/2017 | Planned |
| 100063692 | STREET LIGHT CONVERSION TO LED IN CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT (CITY OF MONTGOMER) | PE | \$625,600 | 07/01/2017 | Planned |
| 100063694 | RESURFACE, CURB AND GUTTER, INLET CONVERSION, STRIPING, SIDEWALKS AND CONVERSII | PE | \$218,736 | 04/01/2017 | Planned |
| 100063719 | RESURFACE/PRESERVATION DEXTER ROAD/PIERCE CHAPEL ROAD FROM GRIER ROAD TO SR- | CN | \$246,400 | 01/27/2017 | Planned |
| 100063724 | RESURFACE/PRESERVATION COOSADA ROAD/RUCKER ROAD FROM BLACKWELLS DRIVE TO S | PE | \$4,000 | 01/01/2017 | Planned |
| 100063726 | RESURFACE/PRESERVATION KENNEDY AVENUE FROM COOSADA ROAD TO AIRPORT ROAD | PE | \$4,000 | 01/01/2017 | Planned |
| 100063729 | WIDEN TO STATE STANDARDS AND RESURFACE GRIER ROAD FROM WEOKA ROAD TO DEXTER | CN | \$720,000 | 01/27/2017 | Planned |
| 100064179 | INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS PERRY HILL ROAD AT ATLANTA HIGHWAY | PE | \$552,000 | 01/01/2017 | Planned |
| 100064371 | SAFETY WIDENING, PAVE SHOULDER, RESURFACING AND TRAFFIC STRIPE ON CR-23 FROM PF | PE | \$4,000 | 11/01/2016 | Planned |
| 100064372 | SAFETY WIDENING, PAVE SHOULDER, RESURFACING AND TRAFFIC STRIPE ON CR-23 FROM PF | CN | \$200,000 | 06/30/2017 | Planned |
| 100064606 | WIDEN AND RESURFACE ZELDA ROAD FROM ANN STREET TO CARTER HILL ROAD | RW | \$200,000 | 09/01/2017 | Planned |


| Prior FY Carryover | \$15,568,826 |
| :---: | :---: |
| FY Apportionment | \$5,554,827 |
| FY Special Allocation | \$0 |
| Total Funds | \$21,123,653 |


| 100055807 | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT ON CR-33 (NARROW LANE ROAD) AT HANNON SLOUGH (BIN \# 003250 \& | CN | \$1,600,000 | 11/03/2017 | Planned |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100059732 | CORRIDOR STUDY FOR WIDENING (ADDING CAPACITY) AND RESURFACING CARTER HILL ROAL | PE | \$240,000 | 08/01/2018 | Planned |  |
| 100060097 | BRIDGE PAINTING ON AIRBASE BOULEVARD (BIN\#011513, \#011514), MAXWELL AFB ENTRANCE ( | PE | \$40,000 | 08/01/2018 | Planned |  |
| 100060099 | RETROFITTING OF HANDICAP RAMPS ON VARIOUS STREETS IN THE CITY OF MONTGOMERY Di | PE | \$64,000 | 08/01/2018 | Planned |  |
| 100063233 | WIDENING ON CR-8 (REDLAND ROAD) FROM SR-9 (US-231) TO CR-4 (RIFLE RANGE ROAD) AND 1 | CN | \$2,400,000 | 11/03/2017 | Planned |  |
| 100063686 | ADD TURN LANES AT INTERSECTIONS ON SR-3 (US-31) BETWEEN 6TH STREET (PRATTVILLE) N | PE | \$200,000 | 04/01/2018 | Planned | O4 |


| URBAN AREA | MONTGOMERY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROJECT NO | PROJECT DES |  |
| 100063700 | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT ON INGRAM ROAD AT MIDD |  |
| 100063702 | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT ON INGRAM ROAD AT MIDD |  |
| 100063714 | RESURFACE HOGAN ROAD FROM SR-143 TO SR-111 |  |
| 100063720 | RESURFACE/PRESERVATION COOSA RIVER ROAD F |  |
| 100063725 | RESURFACE/PRESERVATION COOSADA ROAD/RUC |  |
| 100063727 | RESURFACE/PRESERVATION KENNEDY AVENUE FR |  |
| 100064161 | INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS PERRY HILL ROAD |  |
| 100064373 | SAFETY WIDENING, PAVE SHOULDER, RESURFACIN |  |
| 100064374 | SAFETY WIDENING, PAVE SHOULDER, RESURFACIN |  |
| 100064375 | RESURFACING AND TRAFFIC STRIPE ON OLD SELM |  |
| 100064376 | RESURFACING AND TRAFFIC STRIPE ON OLD SELM |  |
| 100064607 | WIDEN AND RESURFACE ZELDA ROAD FROM ANN S |  |
|  | Prior FY Carryover | \$12,985,643 |
|  | FY Apportionment | \$5,554,827 |
|  | FY Special Allocation | \$0 |
|  | Total Funds | \$18,540,470 |


| 100040817 | WIDEN AND RESURFACE ZELDA ROAD FROM ANN STREET TO CARTER HILL ROAD |
| :---: | :---: |
| 100058115 | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT ON CR-3 (INGRAM RD) AT MIDDLE CREEK (BIN\#7620) |
| 100058117 | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT ON CR-3 (INGRAM RD) AT MIDDLE CREEK TRIBUTARY (BIN\#7619) |
| 100059721 | RESURFACE, CURB AND GUTTER, INLET CONVERSION, TRAFFIC STRIPING AND SIDEWALKS ON |
| 100063684 | RESURFACING VARIOUS STREETS IN THE CITY OF PRATTVILLE |
| 100063688 | ADDITIONAL TURN LANES AT INTERSECTIONS ON SR-14 (FAIRVIEW AVE.) BETWEEN SR-3 (US-3 |
| 100063693 | STREET LIGHT CONVERSION TO LED IN CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT (CITY OF MONTGOMER) |
| 100063704 | WIDEN TO ACCOMMODATE A CENTER TURN LANE ON RIFLE RANGE RD FROM DOZIER RD TO T |
| 100063715 | RESURFACE HOGAN ROAD FROM SR-143 TO SR-111 |
| 100063716 | RESURFACE POSSOM TROT ROAD FROM COOSA RIVER ROAD TO LIGHTWOOD ROAD |
| 100063721 | RESURFACE/PRESERVATION COOSA RIVER ROAD FROM SR-143 TO LIGHTWOOD ROAD |
| 100063722 | RESURFACE/PRESERVATION AIRPORT ROAD FROM KENNEDY AVENUE TO SR-14 |
| 100064160 | PURCHASE AND INSTALLATION OF CLOSED CIRCUIT TELEVISION (CCTV) CAMERAS AT VARIOU؟ |
| 100064162 | INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS PERRY HILL ROAD AT ATLANTA HIGHWAY |
| 100064180 | CONVERT SOUTH COURT STREET FROM FAIRVIEW AVENUE TO ARBA STREET FROM ONE-WAY |
| 100064377 | RESURFACING AND TRAFFIC STRIPE ON HYUNDAI BOULEVARD (CR-42) FROM SR-3 (US-31) TO |
| 100064378 | RESURFACING AND TRAFFIC STRIPE ON HYUNDAI BOULEVARD (CR-42) FROM SR-3 (US-31) TO |
| 100064379 | SAFETY WIDENING, PAVE SHOULDER, RESURFACING AND TRAFFIC STRIPE ON ANDERSON RO، |


| CN | \$1,248,000 | 05/31/2019 | Planned |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CN | \$389,509 | 06/28/2019 | Planned |  |
| CN | \$292,132 | 06/28/2019 | Planned |  |
| PE | \$240,000 | 08/01/2019 | Planned |  |
| PE | \$80,000 | 04/01/2019 | Planned |  |
| PE | \$240,000 | 04/01/2019 | Planned |  |
| CN | \$5,630,400 | 08/30/2019 | Planned |  |
| PE | \$80,000 | 01/01/2019 | Planned |  |
| CN | \$246,400 | 01/25/2019 | Planned |  |
| PE | \$4,000 | 01/01/2019 | Planned |  |
| CN | \$324,800 | 01/25/2019 | Planned |  |
| PE | \$4,000 | 01/01/2019 | Planned |  |
| CN | \$158,400 | 04/26/2019 | Planned |  |
| CN | \$5,600,000 | 05/31/2019 | Planned |  |
| PE | \$8,000 | 05/01/2019 | Planned |  |
| PE | \$4,000 | 12/01/2018 | Planned |  |
| CN | \$1,279,280 | 04/26/2019 | Planned |  |
| PE | \$4,000 | 01/01/2019 | Planned |  |


| 8/12/2015 |  | URBAN AREA FUNDING AVAILABILITY REPORT |  |  |  |  |  |  | Page 4 of 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| URBAN AREA | MONTGOMERY | FEDERAL FUNDING ONLY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PROJECT NO | PROJECT DESCRIPTION |  |  |  | SCOPE | FEDERAL FUNDS | Start Date | Status | Authorized |
| 100064380 | SAFETY WIDENING, PAVE SHOULDER, RESURFACING AND TRAFFIC STRIPE ON ANDERSON RO، |  |  |  | CN | \$1,204,400 | 07/26/2019 | Planned |  |
|  |  |  | TOTALS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Prior FY Carryover | \$11,618,070 | Authorized Projects | \$0 | Unoblig | gated Balance | \$17,172,897 |  |  |
|  | FY Apportionment | \$5,554,827 | Planned Projects | \$17,037,321 | Remain | ing Balance | \$135,576 |  |  |
|  | FY Special Allocation | \$0 | Total Project Funds | \$17,037,321 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Funds | \$17,172,897 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Following are the Livability Principles and the Livability Indicators that measure each:

1. Provide more transportation choices

- Number of Households by Traffic Analysis Zone and Transit Fixed Bus Routes
- Number of Employees by Traffic Analysis Zone and Transit Fixed Bus Routes

2. Promote equitable, affordable housing

- Percent of Household Income Spent on Housing
- Percent of Household Income Spent on Transportation

3. Enhance economic competitiveness

- Percent of Workforce With 29 Minute or Less Commute Time
- Percent of Workforce With 30 Minute or More Commute Time

4. Support existing communities

- Allocation of Work Program per Funding Sources

Note: Includes percent of transportation investment dedicated to enhancing accessibility of existing transportation systems
5. Coordinate policies and leverage investment

- Allocation of Work Program per Funding Sources

Note: Includes percent of transportation projects where more than one funding source is utilized
6. Value Communities and neighborhoods

- Number of Households by Traffic Analysis Zone and Transit Fixed Bus Routes
- Number of Employees by Traffic Analysis Zone and Transit Fixed Bus Routes
- Households and Retail Employers
- Households and Recreational Facilities

Table 3.4.1 Allocation of Work Program per Funding Category

| Funding Sources | Improvement Types |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Capacity | Roadway MO | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bicycle/ } \\ \text { Pedestrian (1) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Transit | Totals |
| NHPP | \$16,403,751.20 | \$7,749,788.80 | \$0.00 |  | \$24,153,540.00 |
| Surface <br> Transportation <br> Program - Other <br> Area (STPOA) | \$48,103,688.80 | \$90,241,482.40 | \$0.00 |  | \$138,345,171.20 |
| Surface <br> Transportation <br> Program - Any <br> Area (STPAA) | \$22,236,900.80 | \$8,822,878.40 | \$0.00 |  | \$31,059,779.20 |
| Bridge Funding | \$0.00 | \$10,981,898.40 | \$0.00 |  | \$10,981,898.40 |
| Interstate <br> Maintenance | \$0.00 | \$38,571,769.60 | \$0.00 |  | \$38,571,769.60 |
| ATRIP | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |  | \$0.00 |
| Transit (2) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$123,202,650.00 | \$123,202,650.00 |
| Congestion <br> Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |  | \$0.00 |
| Highway Safety <br> Improvement <br> Program (HSIP) | \$0.00 | \$23,443,000.00 | \$0.00 |  | \$23,443,000.00 |
| Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$10,385,000.00 |  | \$10,385,000.00 |
| TOTAL <br> FEDERAL | \$86,744,340.80 | \$179,810,817.60 | \$10,385,000.00 | \$123,202,650.00 | \$400,142,808.40 |
| Local Match | \$21,686,085.20 | \$44,952,704.40 | \$2,596,250.00 | \$30,800,662.50 | \$100,035,702.10 |
| TOTALFUNDS | \$108,430,426.00 | \$224,763,522.00 | \$12,981,250.00 | \$154,003,312.50 | \$500,178,510.50 |
| Percentage of Total | 21.7\% | 44.9\% | 2.6\% | 30.8\% | 100.0\% |
| Percent of Transportation Investment Dedicated to Enhancing Accessibility of Existing Transportation Systems |  |  |  |  | 33.4\% |
| 1 - Some bicycle and pedestrian improvements will be incorporated into roadway capacity and MO projects |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 - Transit funds based on historical allocations projected through 2040 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Note: It is assumed that all projects $(\mathbf{1 0 0 \%})$ in the LRTP work program will be funded through a combination of federal and local sources |  |  |  |  |  |

## MPO SELF-CERTIFICATION

In accordance with 23 CFR 450.334, the STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, and the Montgomery Metropolitan Planning Organization for the Montgomery urbanized areas) hereby certify that te transportation planning process is addressing the major issues in the metropolitan planning area and is being conducted in accordance with all applicable requirements of:
(1) 23 USC 134, 49 U.S.C. Section 5303, and 23 CFR Part 450.
(2) In nonaltainment and maintenance areas, Sections 174 and 176(c) and (d) of the Clean Air Act as amended (42 U.S.C. 7504, 7506(c) and (d)) and 40 CFR Part 93.
(3) Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2000d-1) and 49 CFR Part 21.
(4) 49 U.S.C. 5332, prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, color, creed, national origin, sex or age in employment or business opportunity.
(5) Section 1101 (b) of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: Legacy for Users (Pub. L. 109-59) and 49 CFR Part 26 regarding the involvement of Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in USDOT funded planning projects.
(6) 23 CFR Part 230, regarding the implementation of an equal employment opportunity program on Federal and Federal-aid highway construction contracts.
(7) The provisions of the Americans with Disapilitigf Act of 1990 ( $(42$ U.S.C. 12101 et seq.) and 49 CFR Parts 27, 37, and 38. (4)
(8) Older Americans Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 6101), prohibiting discrimination on the basis of age in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance.
(9) Section 324 of CFR 23, regarding prohibition of discrimination based on gender.
(10) Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and 49 CFR-Part 27 regarding discrimination against individuals with disabilities:

## Montgomery

Metropolitan Planning Organization


Printed Name

## MPO Chairman

Title
$\frac{6-24-2015}{\text { Date }}$


John R. Cooper
Printed Name

Transportation Director
Title


## Certification Questions Statewide and Metropolitan Planning Organization Transportation Planning Process

## A. 23 U.S.C. 134 and 135, 49 U.S.C. 5303 and 5304, and subparts A, B, and C of this part;

1. Is the MPO properly designated by agreement between the Governor and $75 \%$ of the urbanized area, including the largest incorporated city, and in accordance with procedures set forth in state and local law? [23 U.SC. 134 (d)(1)(A) and (B); 49 U.S.C. 5303 (c); 23 C.F.R. 450.310 (b)] Yes
2. For Transportation Management Areas (TMAs) only, does the MPO policy board include local elected officials, officials that administer or operate major modes of transportation, and appropriate state officials? [23 U.S.C. 134 (d)(2)(A), (B), \& (C); 49 U.S.C. 5303 (c); 23 C.F.R. 450.310 (d)] Yes
3. Does the MPO have up-to-date agreements, such as the transportation planning agreement that creates the MPO, the financial agreement, and, if applicable, a transportation planning agreement between the MPOs, State, and public transportation operators where more than one MPO has been designated to serve an urbanized area? [23 C.F.R. 450.310 (b); 23 C.F.R. 450.314 (a) and (d)] Yes
4. Does the MPO boundary encompass the existing urbanized area and contiguous area expected to become urbanized within 20 -year forecast period? [ 23 U.S.C. 134 (e)(2); 49 U.S.C. 5303 (d); 23 C.F.R. 450.312 (a)] Yes
5. Did the Department send a copy of the boundary map to FHWA and FTA? [23 C.F.R. 450.312 (j)] Yes
6. For projects located within the boundaries of more than one MPO, does the MPO coordinate the planning of these projects with the other MPO(s)? [23 U.S.C. 134 (g)(2)] Yes
7. Does the MPO planning process provide for consideration of the 8 planning factors? [23 U.S.C. 134 (h); 23 C.F.R. 450.306 (a)] Yes
8. Did the Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) have at least a 20 year horizon at the time of adoption of the last major update? [23 U.S.C. 134 (i)(2)(A); 23 C.F.R. 450.322 (a)] Yes
9. Did the LRTP address the following areas in accordance with 23 U.S.C. 134 (i)(2), 49 U.S.C. 5303 (f)? Yes

- Identify major transportation facilities that function as an integrated metropolitan transportation system, giving emphasis to facilities that serve national and regional transportation functions.
- Include discussion of types of potential environmental mitigation activities and potential areas to carry out these activities, including activities that may have the greatest potential to restore and maintain the environmental functions affected by the plan.
- Include a financial plan that showed the public and private revenue sources that could reasonably be expected.
- Include discussion of operational and management strategies to improve the performance of existing transportation facilities to relieve vehicular congestion and maximize the safety and mobility of people and goods.
- Include discussion of capital investment and other strategies to preserve the existing and projected future metropolitan transportation infrastructure and provide for multimodal capacity increases based on regional priorities and needs.
- Indicate as appropriate proposed transportation and transit enhancement activities.

10. Did the LRTP address the following minimum required areas in accordance with 23 C.F.R. 450.322 (f)? Yes

- Identify projected transportation demand of persons and goods in the metropolitan planning area over the period of the transportation plan;
- Identify existing and proposed transportation facilities (including major roadways, transit, multimodal and intermodal facilities, pedestrian walkways and bicycle facilities, and intermodal connectors);
- Include operational and management strategies to improve the performance of existing transportation facilities;
- In TMA areas, consider the results of the congestion management process;
- Include an assessment of capital investment and other strategies to preserve the existing and projected future metropolitan transportation infrastructure and provide for multimodal capacity increases based on regional priorities and needs;
- Describe the proposed improvements in sufficient detail to develop cost estimates;
- Discuss types of potential environmental mitigation activities and potential areas to carry out these activities;
- Include pedestrian walkway and bicycle transportation facilities;
- Include transportation and transit enhancement activities;
- Include a financial plan that demonstrates how the adopted transportation plan can be implemented

11. Has the LRTP been reviewed and updated at least 5 years since the date of the last MPO Board action? [23 U.S.C. 134 (i)(1); 23 C.F.R. 450.322 (c)] Yes
12. Has the MPO sent all updates/amendments of the LRTP to FHWA and FTA via the ALDOT's Bureau of Transportation \& Modal Programs? [23 C.F.R. 450.322 (c)] Yes
13. Was the TIP developed in cooperation with the State and local transit operators? [23 U.S.C. 134 (j)(1)(A); 49 U.S.C. 5304 (a); 23 C.F.R. 450.324 (a)] Yes
14. Was the TIP updated at least every 4 years and approved by the MPO and the Governor? [23 U.S.C. 134 (j)(1)(D); 23 C.F.R. 450.324 (a)] Yes
15. Was the TIP financially constrained and did it include only revenues that could be reasonably expected? [23 U.S.C. 134 (j)(2)(B); 49 U.S.C. 5304 (a); 23 C.F.R. 450.324 (h)] Yes
16. Did the TIP contain a priority list of federally supported projects to be supported over the next four years? [23 U.S.C. 134 (j)(2)(A); 49 U.S.C. 5304 (b); 23 C.F.R. 450.324 (a)] Yes
17. Did the TIP contain all regionally significant projects, as defined by 23 C.F.R. 450.104 ? [23 U.S.C. 134 (j)(3)(B); 49 U.S.C. 5304 (c)(6); 23 C.F.R. 450.324 (d)] Yes
18. Was the TIP consistent with the LRTP? [23 U.S.C. 134 (j)(3)(C); 49 U.S.C. 5304 (c)(2); and 23 C.F.R. 450.324 (g)] Yes
19. Does the TIP identify the criteria and process for prioritizing implementation of transportation plan elements (including inter-modal trade-offs) for inclusion in the TIP and any changes in priorities from previous TIPs? [23 C.F.R. 450.324 (I) (1)]
Yes
20. Did the TIP include a listing of projects for which Federal funds have been obligated in the preceding year, or was this list otherwise made available for public review? [23 U.S.C. 134 (j)(7)(B); 49 U.S.C. 5304 (c)(5); 23 C.F.R. 450.324 (I)(2)] Yes
21. When developing the LRTP and TIP, did the MPO provide citizens, affected public agencies, representatives of transportation agency employees, freight shippers, providers of freight transportation services, private providers of transportation, representatives of users of public transit, and other interested parties with a reasonable opportunity to comment on the proposed plan and program? [23 U.S.C. 134 (h)(5)(A)] Yes
22. Is the LRTP and TIP of the MPO published or otherwise readily available for public review? [23 U.S.C. 134 (i)(6) and (j)(7)(A)] Yes
23. Did the UPWP identify work proposed for the next one- or two-year period by major activity and task in sufficient detail to indicate who will perform the work, the schedule for completing the work, the resulting products, the proposed funding by activity/task, and a summary of the total amounts and sources of Federal and matching funds? [23 C.F.R. 450.308 (c)] Yes
24. Did the UPWP document planning activities to be funded with through Title 23 U.S.C. and the Federal Transit Act? [23 C.F.R. 450.308 (c)] Yes
25. Were the transportation plans and programs of the MPO based on a continuing, comprehensive, and cooperative process? [23 U.S.C. 134 (c)(3), 49 U.S.C. 5303 (a)(3)] Yes
26. If located in a Transportation Management Area, does the MPO have an up to date congestion management process? [23 U.S.C. $134(k)(3)]$ Yes
27. Does the MPO have a documented Public Participation Plan that defines a process for members of the public to have reasonable opportunity to participate in the planning process? [23 C.F.R. 450.316 (a)] Yes
28. Has the MPO recently reviewed its Public Participation Plan? [23 C.F.R. 450.316 (a)(1)(x)] Yes
29. When the Public Participation Plan was adopted, was it made available for public review for at least 45 days? [23 C.F.R. 450.316(a)(3)] Yes

## B. The requirements of Sections 174 and 176 (c) and (d) of the Clean Air Act (for air quality nonattainment and maintenance areas only)

1. How does the MPO coordinate the development of the Transportation Plan with SIP development?
2. How does the MPO's UPWP incorporate all of the metropolitan transportationrelated air quality planning activities addressing air quality goals, including those not funded by FHWA/FTA?
3. Does the metropolitan planning process include a Congestion Management Process that meets the requirements of 23 CFR Part 450.320? What assurances are there that the Transportation Plan incorporates travel demand and operational management strategies, and that necessary demand reduction and operational management commitments are made for new SOV projects?
4. How does the MPO ensure that the TIP includes all proposed federally and nonfederally funded regionally significant transportation projects, including intermodal facilities?

## C. The prohibitions against discrimination on the basis of race, color, creed, national origin, age, gender, or disability as dictated by Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; 49 U.S.C. 5332; 23 U.S.C. 324; The Americans with Disabilities Act; The Older Americans Act; and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973

1. Does the MPO have a signed Title VI policy statement expressing commitment to non-discrimination? [23 CFR 200.9 (a)(1)] Yes
2. Does the MPO take action to correct any deficiencies found by the Department within a reasonable time period, not to exceed 90 days, in order to implement Title VI compliance? [23 CFR 200.9 (a)(3)] Yes
3. Does the MPO have a staff person assigned to handle Title VI and ADA related issues? This does not need to be a full time equivalent position, but there should be at least someone at the MPO for whom Title VI and ADA is an extra duty area. [23 CFR 200.9 (b)(1); 49 C.F.R. 27.13] Yes
4. Does the MPO have a procedure in place for the prompt processing and disposition of Title VI and Title VIII complaints, and does this procedure comply with the Department's procedure? [23 C.F.R. 200.9 (b)(3)] Yes
5. Does the MPO collect statistical data (race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability) of participants in, and beneficiaries of the programs and activities of the MPO? [23 CFR 200.9 (b)(4)] Yes
6. Does the MPO conduct an annual review of their program areas (for example: public involvement) to determine their level of effectiveness in satisfying the requirements of Title VI? [23 CFR 200.9 (b)(6)] Yes
7. Has the MPO participated in any recent Title VI training, either offered by the state, organized by the MPO, or some other form of training, in the pastyear?
Yes a training was heid on August 20,2014 mere at
8. Does the MPO have a signed Non Discrimination Agreement, including Title VI Assurances, with the State? Yes
9. Do the MPO's contracts and bids include the appropriate language as shown in the appendices of the Non Discrimination Agreement with the State? Yes
10. Does the MPO hold its meetings in locations that are ADA accessible? [49 C.F.R. 27.7 (5) Yes, MPO meetings are held here at the MATS intermodal facility located at 495 Molton St in the City of Montgomery.
11. Does the MPO take appropriate steps to ensure its communications are available to persons with impaired vision and hearing? [49 C.F.R. 27.7 (6)(c)] Yes
12. Does the MPO keep on file for 1 year all complaints of ADA non-compliance received and for 5 years a record of all complaints in summary form? [49 C.F.R. 27.121] Yes
13. Have all the local governments (city and county) included within the MPO's study area boundary completed an ADA Transition Plan? Please provide a table indicating the status of the transition plans (e.g. date of completion, status of plan implementation). See attached table. Process is under development for
all member governments.
D. Section 1101(b) of SAFETEA-LU regarding the involvement of disadvantaged business enterprises in FHWA and FTA planning projects (49 CFR Part 26)
(Note): MPOs that are part of municipal or county governments may have some of these processes handled by the host agency.
14. Does the MPO have an ALDOT approved DBE plan? Yes
15. Does the MPO track DBE participation? Yes
16. Does the MPO report actual payments to DBEs? Yes
17. Does the MPO include the DBE policy statement in its boilerplate contract language for consultants and sub-consultants? Yes
E. 23 C.F.R. Part 230 regarding implementation of an equal employment opportunity program on Federal and Federal-aid highway construction contracts.
18. Has the MPO implemented an equal employment opportunity program? Yes

## MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

# Alabama Department of Transportation Statewide Procedures for FY 2016-2019 TIP/STIP 

 Revisions
## Purpose

This Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) establishes a set of procedures to be used in the State of Alabama for processing revisions to the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) FY 2016-2019 Transportation Improvement Programs (TIPs), and the Alabama Department of Transportation's Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). The STIP is the aggregation of the MPO TIPs, ALDOT statewide programs, and the Statewide Interstate Management (IM) Program.

## Definitions

- Administrative Modification means a minor revision to a long-range statewide or metropolitan transportation plan, Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), or Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) that includes minor changes to project/project phase costs, minor changes to funding sources of previously-included projects, and minor changes to project/project phase initiation dates. An administrative modification is a revision that does not require public review and comment, redemonstration of fiscal constraint, or a conformity determination (in nonattainment and maintenance areas). [23 CFR 450.104]
- Amendment means a revision to a long-range statewide or metropolitan transportation plan, TIP, or STIP that involves a major change to a project included in a metropolitan transportation plan, TIP, or STIP, including the addition or deletion of a project or a major change in project cost, project/project phase initiation dates, or a major change in design concept or design scope (e.g., changing project termini or the number of through traffic lanes.) Changes to projects that are included only for illustrative purposes do not require an amendment. An amendment is a revision that requires public review and comment, re-demonstration of fiscal constraint, or a conformity determination (for metropolitan transportation plans and TIPs involving "non-exempt" projects in nonattainment and maintenance areas). In the context of a long-range statewide transportation plan, an amendment is a revision approved by the State in accordance with its public involvement process. [23 CFR 450.104]
- Betterment consists of surface treatments/corrections to existing roadway [preferably within Alabama Department of Transportation (ALDOT) right-of-way], to maintain and bring the infrastructure to current design standards for that classification of highway. This may involve full depth base repair, shoulder-widening, increased lane-widths, correction super-elevation, as well as drainage improvements and guide rail upgrades.
- Change in Scope is a substantial alteration to the original intent or function of a
programmed project; (e.g., change project termini or the number of through-traffic lanes).
- Cooperating Agencies include ALDOT, Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs), and Rural Planning Organizations (RPOs), Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Federal Transit Administration (FTA), and transit agencies.
- Financially Constrained (Fiscal Constraint) means that the metropolitan transportation plan, TIP, and STIP include sufficient financial information for demonstrating that projects in the metropolitan transportation plan, TIP, and STIP can be implemented using committed, available, or reasonably available revenue sources, with reasonable assurance that the federally supported transportation system is being adequately operated and maintained. For the TIP and the STIP, financial constraint/fiscal constraint applies to each program year. Additionally, projects in air quality nonattainment and maintenance areas can be included in the first two years of the TIP and STIP only if funds are "available" or "committed." [23 CFR 450.104]
- Fiscal Constraint Chart (FCC) is an Excel spreadsheet, or a chart generated by the Comprehensive Project Management System (CPMS), that depicts the transfer of funds from one source of funding to a donee project, or multiple projects, that net out to zero.
- Interstate Maintenance (IM) Program is the ALDOT four-year listing of statewide interstate maintenance (non-capacity-adding) projects.
- Level of Effort (LVOE) is the term used to describe certain grouped projects in the TIPs and STIP that are not considered of appropriate scale to be identified individually. Projects may be grouped by function, work type, or geographical area, using the applicable classifications under 23 CFR 771.117(c) and (d), and/or 40 CFR part 93. In air quality nonattainment and maintenance areas, project classifications must be consistent with the exempt project classifications, contained in the transportation conformity regulations (40 CFR part 93). These projects are placed in the TIPs and STIP according to selected funding programs, with their anticipated fiscal year apportionments within the plan.
- New Project is a project that is not programmed in the current TIP/STIP, and does not have previous obligations from a prior TIP/STIP.
- Obligated projects means strategies and projects funded under Title 23 U.S.C. and Title 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53 for which the supporting federal funds were authorized and committed by the State or designated recipient in the preceding program year, and authorized by the FHWA or awarded as a grant by the FTA.
- Planning Partner may refer to one of the following: ALDOT, FHWA, MPOs, RPOs, or other federal or state agencies.
- Project Selection means the procedures followed by MPOs, States, and public transportation operators to advance projects from the first four years of an approved TIP and/or STIP to implementation, in accordance with agreed upon procedures. [23 CFR 450.104]
- Public Participation Plan (PPP) is a documented, broad-based public involvement process that describes how the Planning Partner will involve and engage the public, the under-served, and interested parties in the transportation planning process, and ensure that the concerns of stakeholders are identified and addressed in the development of transportation plans and programs.

Note: The Alabama MPO Public Participation Plans may be found on the individual MPO websites. A complete listing of MPO websites may be found on the following ALDOT site: http://cpmsweb2.dot.state.al.us/TransPlan/Default.aspx.

- Revision means a change to a long-range statewide or metropolitan transportation plan, TIP, or STIP that occurs between scheduled periodic updates. A major revision is an "amendment," while a minor revision is an "administrative modification." [23 CFR 450.104]
- Statewide-managed Program (Statewide Program) includes those transportation improvements or projects that are managed in the STIP, including project selection, at the ALDOT Central Office level, with possible regional Planning Partner solicitation and input. Examples include, but are not limited to HSIP, RRX, and TAP projects.
- Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) means a statewide prioritized listing/program of transportation projects covering a period of four years that is consistent with the long range statewide transportation plan, metropolitan transportation plans, and TIPs, and required for projects to be eligible for funding under Title 23 U.S.C. and Title 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53. [23 CFR 450.104]
- Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) means a prioritized listing/program of transportation projects covering a period of four years that is developed and formally adopted by an MPO as part of the metropolitan transportation planning process, consistent with the metropolitan transportation plan, and required for projects to be eligible for funding under Title 23 U.S.C. and Title 49 U.S.C. [23 CFR 450.104]


## What is a Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and what is a Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)?

The TIP consists of the approved MPO TIP projects, developed by the MPOs, and statewide programs and projects developed by ALDOT within the urban areas of the MPOs. The STIP is the official transportation improvement program document, mandated by federal statute and recognized by FHWA and FTA. The STIP is a statewide, prioritized listing or program, of transportation projects to be implemented over a four-year period, consistent with MPO Long Range, Regional, or Metropolitan Plans, Statewide Transportation Plans, and MPO Transportation Improvement Programs (TIPs). The State's Five-Year Program, which incorporates the TIPs and STIP, is required by Alabama state law.

## TIP/STIP Administration

FHWA and FTA will only authorize projects, and approve grants for projects, that are programmed in the currently-approved STIP. If a Planning Partner, Transit Agency, or ALDOT, wishes to proceed with a project not programmed in the STIP, a revision must be made to the STIP.

Highway and road projects will be approved by FHWA, and Transit projects will be approved by FTA.

The federal Statewide and Metropolitan Planning regulations contained in 23 CFR 450 et al, govern the provisions of the STIP and of individual MPO TIPs, parts related to STIP and TIP
revisions, and other actions taken to revise the TIP. The intent of this federal regulation is to acknowledge the relative significance, importance, and/or complexity, of individual programming actions. Federal Transportation Planning and Programming, Code of Regulation, 23 CFR 450.324, permits the use of alternative procedures by the cooperating parties, to effectively manage actions encountered during a given STIP cycle. The regulations require that any alternative procedures be agreed upon, and such alternative procedures be documented and included in the STIP document.

All revisions must maintain year-to-year fiscal constraint [23 CFR 450.324(e), (h), and (i)] for each of the four years of the TIPs and STIP. All revisions shall account for year of expenditure (YOE), and maintain the estimated total cost of the project, which may extend beyond the four years of the TIP/STIP. The arbitrary reduction of the overall cost of a project, or project phase(s), shall not be utilized for the advancement of another project.

In addition, TIP revisions must be consistent with the Long Range Transportation Plan of the individual MPO, and must correspond to the adopted provisions of the MPO 2013 Public Participation Plans. A reasonable opportunity for public review and comment shall be provided for significant revisions to the TIPs and STIP.

If a revision adds a project, deletes a project, or impacts the schedule or scope of work of an air quality significant project in a nonattainment or maintenance area, a new air quality conformity determination will be required, if deemed appropriate by the Interagency Air Quality Consultation Group (IAC). If a new conformity determination is necessary, an amendment to the Long Range or Regional Transportation Plan (project listings only), shall be developed and approved by the MPO. The modified conformity determination would then be based on the amended LRTP conformity analysis, and public involvement procedures, consistent with the existing PPP, would be required.

If the August Redistribution of Federal Highway Funds adds, advances, or adjusts federal funding for a project, the MPOs and other Planning Partners will be notified of the Administrative Modification by ALDOT.

## Revisions: Amendments and Administrative Modifications

Note: This MOU does NOT change the Codes of Federal Regulations. It does modify some language within those regulations to make clear the understanding between the agreeing parties. For full application of the CFRs, visit definitions for Amendment, Administrative Modification, and Revision on p. 1.

An Amendment is a major STIP/TIP revision that:

- Affects air quality conformity, regardless of the cost of the project or the funding source.
- Adds a new project, or deletes a project, that utilizes federal funds from a statewide line item, exceeds the thresholds listed below, and excludes those federally-funded statewide program projects.
- Adds a new project phase(s), or increases a current project phase, or deletes a project phase(s), or decreases a current project phase that utilizes federal funds, where the
revision exceeds the following thresholds:
* $\quad \$ 5$ million or 10 percent, whichever is greater, for ALDOT federally-funded projects and Transportation Management Area (TMA) attributable projects.
* The lesser amount of $\$ 1$ million or 50 percent, of project cost for non-TMA MPOs.
$\% \quad \$ 750,000$ for the county highway and bridge program.
- Involves a change in the Scope of Work to a project(s) that would:
$\% \quad$ Result in an air quality conformity reevaluation.
* Result in a revised total project estimate that exceeds the thresholds established between ALDOT and the Planning Partner (not to exceed any federally-funded threshold contained in this MOU).
* Results in a change in the Scope of Work on any federally-funded project that is significant enough to essentially constitute a New Project.
* Level of Effort (LVOE) planned budget changes, exceeding 20\% of the original budgeted amount per ALDOT region.

The initial submission and approval process of the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP, will establish federal funding for Level of Effort (LVOE) project groups. Subsequent placement of individual projects in the STIP that are LVOE, will be considered Administrative Modifications.

Approval by the MPO (or cooperative effort with an RPO) is required for Amendments. The MPO/RPO must then request ALDOT Central Office approval, using the electronic Financial Constraint Chart (FCC) process. An FCC must be provided (in Excel format), which summarizes previous actions, the requested adjustments, and after the changes, an updated TIP. ALDOT's Central Office will review, approve, and forward to the appropriate federal agency for review and approval, with copies to other partner federal agencies.

All revisions shall be identified and grouped as one action on an FCC, demonstrating both project and program fiscal constraint. The identified grouping of projects (the entire a mendment action) will require approval by the cooperating parties. In the case that a project phase is pushed out of the TIP four-year cycle, the Planning Partner will demonstrate, through a Fiscal Constraint Chart, fiscal balance of the subject project phase, in the second period of the respective Long Range Transportation Plan.

An Administrative Modification is a minor STIP/TIP revision that:

- Adds a project from a level of effort category or line item, utilizing 100 percent state or non-federal funding, or an MPO TIP placement of the federally-funded, Statewide Program, or federal funds from a statewide line item that do not exceed the thresholds established by the Planning Partner.
- Adds a project for emergency repairs to roadways or bridges, except those involving substantive or functional adjustments, or location and capacity changes.
- Draws down, or returns funding, from an existing STIP/TIP Reserve Line Item, and does not exceed the threshold established between ALDOT and the Planning Partners.
- Adds federal or state capital funds from low-bid savings, de-obligations, release of encumbrances, from savings on programmed phases, and any other project-cost modification sent to and approved by FHWA or FTA, to another programmed project
phase or line item.
Administrative Modifications do not affect air quality conformity, nor involve a significant change in a project scope of work that would trigger an air quality conformity reevaluation; do not exceed the threshold estabiished in the MOU between ALDOT and the Planning Partners, or the threshold established by this MOU (as detailed in the Revisions: Amendments and Administrative Modifications section); and do not result in a change in scope on any federallyfunded project that is significant enough to essentially constitute a new project.

Administrative Modifications do not require federal approval. ALDOT and the Planning Partner will work cooperatively to address and respond to any FHWA or FTA comments. FHWA and FTA reserve the right to question any administrative action that is not consistent with federal regulations or with this MOU, where federal funds are being utilized.

## Level of Effort Funding Categories

Projects in the STIP/TIP, referred to as Level of Effort (LVOE) projects, represent grouped projects not considered of appropriate scale to be identified individually. Projects may be grouped by function, work type, and/or geographical area, using the applicable classifications under 23 CFR 771.117 (c) and (d), and/or 40 CFR part 93 . In nonattainment and maintenance areas, project classifications must be consistent with the exempt project classifications contained in the EPA transportation conformity regulations (40 CFR part 93).

LVOE projects are placed in the STIP/TIP according to selected funding programs, with the planned funding amounts for each year. ALDOT, and the affected MPOs, will be required to make a formal amendment to the STIP/TIPs for any adjustment of funding of an LVOE group that exceeds 20 percent of it originally-planned funding to a particular Region. The selected statewide funding programs include:

- Transportation Alternative Program (TAP)
- Safety Projects [Hazard elimination, roadway and rail, high-speed passenger rail, seatbelt, blood alcohol content, and others.]
- Recreational Trails [Funds are transferred to ADECA.]
- Federal-Aid Resurfacing Program for each ALDOT Region
- County Allocation Funds [Off-system bridges and STP non-urban.]
- Federal Transit Programs: 5307 (urbanized), 5311 (non-urban), 5310 (Elderly and Disabilities), and 5339 (Buses and Bus Facilities)

Addition or deletion of individual LVOE projects are considered an administrative modification, and do not require any further MPO action prior to authorization, subject to the dollar thresholds established in the sections above. ALDOT will maintain a matrix listing, on the STIP website, of LVOE projects for each of the five ALDOT Regions. The MPOs will be notified as soon as any specific projects within their urban areas, are identified and selected, and will have ten (10) days to decline the project. Additionally, the MPOs will be notified as soon as any specific projects are modified or deleted within their urban areas, and will have ten (10) days to decline the project deletion or change.

Level of Effort (LVOE) holds funds that are not dedicated to specific projects, and may be used to cover cost increases, or add new projects or project phases. LVOE shall not exceed the
thresholds, or the requirements, of any other items that require an amendment. LVOE may include the Statewide Transportation Alternative Program (TAP), Safety Projects, Federal-Aid Resurfacing, Off-System Bridge, STP Non-urban, and FTA Programs 5307, 5310, 5311, and 5339 (see listing above).

Level of Effort resurfacing shall be programmed annually for the five (5) ALDOT Regions, and shown as line items in each category for each Region. Projects or project lists will be added as soon as available, and MPOs will be notified of all changes that occur in the list.

## Financial Constraint

Demonstration of STIP/TIP financial constraint to FHWA and FTA, takes place through a summary of recent Administrative Modifications and proposed Amendments. Real-time versions of the STIP/TIP are available to FHWA and FTA through ALDOT's Comprehensive Project Management System (CPMS).

Note: While there is no stipulated timeframe established in this MOU for securing federal approval for formal Amendments or Administrative Modifications, the agencies are expected to act responsibly and with all due diligence in order to complete these processes in a timely manner.

## STIP/TIP Financial Reporting

At the end of each quarter, ALDOT will provide each MPO or Planning Partner with a STIP/TIP financial report of actual federal obligations and state encumbrances for highway, bridge, and transit programs in the respective Metropolitan Planning Areas. At the end of the federal fiscal year, the ALDOT report card can be used by the Planning Partners as the basis for compiling information, in order to meet the Federal Annual Listing of Obligated Projects requirement. The STIP/TIP Financial Report, provided to FHWA and FTA, will also include performance measures as allowed under the Project Approval and Oversight Agreement a Partnership between the Federal Highway Administration Alabama Division and the Alabama Department of Transportation, applicable to LVOE and to include:

- The total percent of STIP/TIP construction projects advanced each year
- The total percent of STIP/TIP construction projects advanced each year per urbanized area

A summary report detailing this information will be provided at the end of the federal fiscal year.
As each MPO TIP is adopted, this MOU will be included with the TIP documentation. The MPO or Planning Partner may choose to adopt an MOU that will clarify how the MPO or Planning Partner will address TIP revisions. In all cases, individual MPO revision procedures will be developed under the guidance umbrella of this document. If an MPO elects to set more stringent procedures, then ALDOT, FHWA, and FTA will adhere to the more restrictive procedures.

The procedures set forth in this document will serve as the basis from which ALDOT addresses federally-funded, Statewide Program TIP revisions. This Memorandum of Understanding will begin October 1, 2015, and remain in effect until September 30 2019, unless revised or terminated.

We, the undersigned herby agree to the above procedures and principles.


Federal Highway Administration


Federal Transit Administration


Qabama Department of Transportation
$\qquad$
Date:
$\frac{5-11-15}{\text { Date: }}$



[^0]:    This TIP was prepared as a cooperative effort of the US Department of Transportation (USDOT), Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Alabama Department of Transportation (ALDOT), Federal Transit Administration (FTA), and local governments as a requirement of 23 USC 134 and 135 as amended by MAP-21 Sections 1201 and 1202, July 2012. This document does not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the US Department of Transportation.

[^1]:    TIP Map ID Number:7

